ARTICLE IN PRESS

Scientia Horticulturae xxx (xxxx) xxx-xxx

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Scientia Horticulturae

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/scihorti



Effects of electricity on plant responses

Dennis Dannehl

Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Faculty of Life Sciences, Division Biosystems Engineering, Albrecht-Thaer-Weg 3, 14195 Berlin, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Abiotic stress elicitor Electric field Magnetic field Electric current Plant growth Reactive oxygen species Carotenoids Phenolic compounds Proteins

ABSTRACT

Numerous investigations into the abiotic elicitation of plant responses with UV-B radiation, temperature, drought, CO_2 , nutrients, heavy metals and wounding have been carried out in the agronomic sector and are described in various reviews. However, it is not clear if electricity can be classified as an abiotic stress elicitor to affect plants. While the aforementioned abiotic stress elicitors are well investigated, the impact of electricity on plant development and accumulation of metabolites is not well understood. This review describes the effects of electricity, including strong and weak electric fields, magnetic fields and electric currents on plant growth and development, as well as on plant metabolites. Possible signalling pathways as affected by electricity are also discussed. It is further discussed the application of electricity to enhance plants in horticulture and it's classification as an abiotic stress elicitor.

1. Introduction

Elicitors are agents that induce plant defence responses, for example, the accumulation of secondary plant compounds to re-establish a new state of homeostasis (Wu and Lin, 2002). Generally, stress elicitors are classified as either biotic or abiotic. Biotic stress elicitors have biological origin and are derived from pathogens (e.g., fungi homogenate and yeast extract) or from the plant itself (e.g., jasmonic acid and salicylic acid) (Gundlach et al., 1992; Sanchez-Sampedro et al., 2005; Soylu et al., 2002; Vasconsuelo and Boland, 2007; Yang et al., 2004). In contrast, abiotic stress elicitors do not have a biological origin, e.g., UV-B radiation, temperature, drought, CO2, macro- and micronutrients, heavy metals, wounding and grafting (Giorgi et al., 2005; Vasconsuelo and Boland, 2007). In an effort to develop our basic knowledge of plant metabolism, numerous investigations into the effects of abiotic stress elicitors on plant responses have been carried out in the agronomic sector and are described in various reviews (De Pascual-Teresa and Sanchez-Ballesta et al., 2008; Martinez-Ballesta et al., 2008; Poiroux-Gonord et al., 2010; Treutter, 2010).

While the aforementioned abiotic stress elicitors are well investigated, investigations in terms of the effects of electricity on plant responses are still limited. In this context, electricity is the physical generic term for all phenomena associated with electric charge, such as lightning or the force action of magnetism. The term electricity is not sharply defined in the natural sciences, though specific properties belong to the core area of electricity. Electric fields, for example, are caused by electric charges and can occur, e.g., under high-voltage lines (Feynman et al., 1964). The SI units are newtons per coulomb or,

equivalently volts per metre (V/m). Electricity also includes the electric current, which is a flow of electric charge carried by moving electrons in conductors or semiconductors or by ions in an electrolyte and is measured in ampere (A) (Horowitz and Hill, 2015). Direct electric current (DC) means that there is a unidirectional flow of electric charge, or a system in which the movement of electric charge is directed in only one direction. In contrast, the movement of electric charge periodically reverses its direction in alternating electric current (AC) systems. Magnetic fields also belong to the term electricity and are the magnetic effects caused by magnetic materials (e.g., permanent magnet), electric currents (e.g., when currents flowing through a coil) or temporal changes of an electric field. A magnetic field is usually measured in terms of its magnetic flux density whose unit is expressed as Tesla (T). Arguably the most important magnetic field is the Earth's magnetic field, also called geomagnetic field witch has magnetic flux density on the order of 50 µT (Kobayashi et al., 2004). Although the geomagnetic field, for example, is steadily acting on living systems and is known to effect many biological processes (Maffei, 2014), it is not clear if magnetic fields, electric fields and electric currents can be classified as abiotic stress elicitors to affect plants. Therefore, the present review will discuss aspects regarding plant growth and development, synthesised metabolites and possible signalling pathways as affected by electricity.

2. Effects of strong electric fields and magnetic fields on plants

2.1. Plant responses caused by strong electric fields

Although experimental details often were incomplete, positive

E-mail address: Dennis.Dannehl@agrar.hu-berlin.de.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scienta.2018.02.007

Received 19 October 2017; Received in revised form 31 January 2018; Accepted 2 February 2018 0304-4238/ © 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Table 1
Plant responses caused by strong electric and magnetic fields.

Plant species	Treated plant organ	Treatment conditions	Effects*	Reference
Abelmoschus esculentus	Seeds	99 mT, 3 min	Germination rate (+) Plant growth (+) Yield (+)	Naz et al. (2012)
Apium graveolens	Plant	$3.5136\mathrm{mT}$ magnetized water, irrigated 158 d	Yield (+) Water uptake (+)	Maheshwari and Grewal (2009)
Avena sativa	Plant	40 kV/m, 2 m above the crop	Yield (+)	Blackman (1924)
Beta vulgaris Seeds Seeds	Seeds	5 mT, 16 Hz, 2 h	Root weight (+)	Rochalska (2008)
	0 1	5 m 1677 01	Leaf yield (+)	D 1 11 (000F)
	Seeds	5 mT, 16 Hz, 2 h	Chlorophyll (+) Nitrogen(+)	Rochalska (2005)
Brassica napus	Seeds	10 mT, 15 min	Plant growth (-) Fresh weight (-)	Shabrangi et al. (2010)
Cicer arietinum	Seeds	200 mT. 2 h	Dry weight (-) Germination rate (+) Seedling length (+) Root length (+) Root surface area (+)	Vashisth and Nagarajan (2008)
Cryptotagnia ignonica			Root volume (+)	
	Sanda	0.75 mT 7.Hz 16.d	Seedling dry weight (+)	Vobovoshi et al. (2004)
Cryptotaenia japonica Fragaria ananassa	Seeds Plant	0.75 mT, 7 Hz, 16 d 0.096 T, 50 Hz, applied during growth	Germination rate (+) Fruit yield (+)	Kobayashi et al. (2004) Esitken and Turan (2004)
	Time	0.192, 0.384, 50 Hz, applied during growth	Ca, Mg (+) Fruit number (-)	Estach and Tutun (2001)
Glycine max	Seeds	150 mT, 250 mT, 60 min	Fruit yield (-) Seedling length (+)	Shine et al. (2011)
Otycuie max	seeus	130 iii 1, 230 iii 1, 00 iiiii	Seedling fresh weight (+) Seedling dry weight (+) Photosynthesis (+) Water uptake (+)	Siline et al. (2011)
	Seeds	1500 nT, 10 Hz, 5 d	Catalase (+)	Radhakrishnan and Kumari (2012
S	Seeds	200 mT, 2 h	Germination rate (+) Seedling length (+) Root length (+) Root surface area (+) Root volume (+)	Vashisth and Nagarajan (2010)
			Seedling dry weight (+)	
	Seeds	15 mT, 2 h	Chlorophyll (+)	Turker et al. (2007)
Hordeum vulgare	Plant Seedling	10 kV/m 125 mT, 1 min–24 h	Yield (+) Seedling length (+) Seedling weight (+)	Lemström (1904) Martinez et al. (2000)
	Seeds	100 kV/m	Germination rate (+)	Lynikiene and Pozeliene (2003)
Lactuca sativa	Seeds	18–105 kV/m, 60 Hz	Germination rate (+)	Zhang and Hashinaga (1997)
Leymus chinensis	Seeds	200 mT, 10 min	Plant growth (+)	Xia and Guo (2000)
Linum usitatissimum	Plant	Magnetized water without specification, 55 d, irrigated twice per week	Peroxidase (+) Plant development (+)	Qados and Hozayn (2010)
		-	Chlorophyll a (+) Chlorophyll b (+)	
			Total indole acetic acid (+)	
			Total phenolics (+)	
Phaseolus vulgaris	Seeds	7 mT, 7 d	Germination rate (0)	Cakmak et al. (2010)
			Plant growth (0)	
			Root dry weight (0) Shoot dry weight (0)	
	Seeds	1.8 mT, 30 min/d, 10 d	Germination rate (-)	Najafi et al. (2013)
			Plant growth (-)	
			Chlorophyll (-)	
	Plant	130 mT permanent	Flavonoids (–) Plant growth (0)	Mroczek-Zdyrska et al. (2016)
	rialit	130 III permanent	Leaf height (0)	MIOCZEK-Zdylska et al. (2010)
			Gluthatione peroxidase (+)	
Pisum sativum	Seeds	250 mT, 1 min – 24 h	Seedling length (+)	Carbonell et al. (2011)
	Seedling	1500 μT, 15 min	Superoxide dismutase (+)	Polovinkina et al. (2011)
	Plant	3.5 – 136 mT magnetized water, irrigated 143 d	Yield (+) Water uptake (+)	Maheshwari and Grewal (2009)
			Germination rate (+)	Zhang and Hashinaga (1997)
Raphanus sativus	Seeds Seeds	18–105 kV/m, 60 Hz 5 mT, 60 Hz, 21 d	Germination period (-) Plant growth (+)	Smith et al. (1993)
Raphanus sativus			-	Smith et al. (1993)
Raphanus sativus			Plant growth (+) Plant height (+) Catalase (+) Superoxide dismutase (+)	Smith et al. (1993) Serdyukov and Novitskii (2013)
Raphanus sativus	Seeds	5 mT, 60 Hz, 21 d 650 μT	Plant growth (+) Plant height (+) Catalase (+)	

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8892797

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8892797

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>