Accepted Manuscript

Research papers

Estimating groundwater discharge to a lowland alluvial stream using methods at point-, reach-, and catchment-scale

Rasmus Rumph Frederiksen, Steen Christensen, Keld Rømer Rasmussen

PII:	S0022-1694(18)30541-9
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.07.036
Reference:	HYDROL 22968
To appear in:	Journal of Hydrology
Received Date:	22 December 2017
Revised Date:	15 May 2018
Accepted Date:	14 July 2018



Please cite this article as: Frederiksen, R.R., Christensen, S., Rasmussen, K.R., Estimating groundwater discharge to a lowland alluvial stream using methods at point-, reach-, and catchment-scale, *Journal of Hydrology* (2018), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2018.07.036

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Estimating groundwater discharge to a lowland alluvial

stream using methods at point-, reach-, and

catchment-scale

Rasmus Rumph Frederiksen¹*, Steen Christensen¹, Keld Rømer Rasmussen¹

¹Department of Geoscience, Aarhus University, Høegh-Guldbergs Gade 2, 8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

*corresponding author: rasmus.rumph@geo.au.dk

Abstract

The groundwater contribution to streamflow along a lowland alluvial stream in Denmark was estimated using a variety of methods and at different spatial scales. At the point-scale (less than a few metres), groundwater discharge to the stream was measured using seepage meters. At the reach-scale (0.5-1.5 km), groundwater discharge to the stream was estimated using differential streamflow gauging. And at the catchment-scale (greater than 1.5 km), groundwater discharge to the stream was estimated using point-scale measurements range from 12 to 41 cm/d which is lower than fluxes estimated at the reach-scale which range from 18 to 333 cm/d. This discrepancy was attributed to the partitioning of groundwater discharge estimates obtained using hydrograph separation were generally the highest and ranged from 194 to 289 cm/d. For this study, this discrepancy from the reach-scale estimates was attributed to the assumption that baseflow obtained using hydrograph separation represents groundwater discharge to the stream when part of the baseflow actually comes from artificial drainage systems. Anyhow, seepage meter measurements, differential streamflow gauging,

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8894514

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8894514

Daneshyari.com