



New examples of dimension zero categories

Andrew Gitlin



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 8 December 2017

Available online 15 March 2018

Communicated by M. Broué

Keywords:

Representations of categories

Finitely generated representations

Finite length representations

Finite idempotent semirings

ABSTRACT

We say that a category \mathcal{D} is dimension zero over a field F provided that every finitely generated representation of \mathcal{D} over F is finite length. We show that $\text{Rel}(R)$, a category that arises naturally from a finite idempotent semiring R , is dimension zero over any infinite field. One special case of this result is that Rel , the category of finite sets with relations, is dimension zero over any infinite field.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We define a representation of a category \mathcal{D} over a field F to be a functor from \mathcal{D} to Vect_F , the category of vector spaces over F . We say that a category \mathcal{D} is dimension zero over a field F provided that every finitely generated representation of \mathcal{D} over F is finite length. The purpose of this paper is to show that Rel , the category of finite sets with relations, is dimension zero over any infinite field. Our method of argument allows this result to be generalized to categories that we call $\text{Rel}(R)$, where R is any finite idempotent semiring (Definition 1.8). Bouc and Thévenaz [1] have independently shown that Rel , the category of finite sets with relations, is dimension zero over any field. Theorem 3.2 states that $\text{Rel}(R)$ is dimension zero over any infinite field for any finite idempotent semiring R .

E-mail address: agitlin@umich.edu.

For the rest of this paper, let \mathcal{D} be a combinatorial category, i.e. a category such that $\text{Hom}(a, b)$ is finite for all objects $a, b \in \mathcal{D}$, and let F be a field. We will let Vect_F denote the category of vector spaces over F ; the objects are vector spaces over F and the morphisms are linear transformations. Finally, for the rest of this paper, let $[n]$ be the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for any whole number n .

We will now introduce several notions in representation theory which will be important in this paper.

Definition 1.1. A representation of \mathcal{D} over F is a functor from \mathcal{D} to Vect_F .

Concretely, a representation V of \mathcal{D} over F takes every object $d \in \mathcal{D}$ to a vector space $V(d)$ over F , takes every morphism $g \in \text{Hom}(d, e)$ to a linear map $V(g) \in \text{Hom}(V(d), V(e))$ for all $d, e \in \mathcal{D}$, and satisfies the following two properties.

- $V(f \circ g) = V(f) \circ V(g)$ for all $f \in \text{Hom}(y, z), g \in \text{Hom}(x, y)$ for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{D}$
- $V(Id_d) = Id_{V(d)}$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$

Definition 1.2. Let V be a representation of \mathcal{D} over F . A subrepresentation of V is a representation W of \mathcal{D} over F such that $W(d)$ is a vector subspace of $V(d)$ for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$ and $W(f)$ is the restriction of $V(f)$ to $W(d)$ for all $d, d' \in \mathcal{D}$ and $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, d')$.

Two particularly easy examples of a representation of \mathcal{D} over F are the zero representation and the trivial representation. The zero representation sends every object of \mathcal{D} to 0 and every morphism in \mathcal{D} to the zero transformation. The trivial representation sends every object of \mathcal{D} to F and every morphism in \mathcal{D} to the identity transformation.

Definition 1.3. A representation V is irreducible provided that V is not the zero representation and that the only subrepresentations of V are the zero representation and V itself.

Definition 1.4. A representation V of \mathcal{D} over F is finitely generated provided that there exist objects $d_1, \dots, d_i \in \mathcal{D}$ and $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j_1} \in V(d_1), \dots, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,j_i} \in V(d_i)$ such that if W is a subrepresentation of V and $v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{1,j_1} \in W(d_1), \dots, v_{i,1}, \dots, v_{i,j_i} \in W(d_i)$ then $W = V$.

Definition 1.5. A representation V is finite length provided that any non-repetitive chain of subrepresentations of V is finite.

An equivalent definition of finite length is that a representation V is finite length provided that there exists some non-repetitive finite chain $0 = W_0 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq W_n = V$ of subrepresentations of V such that each W_{i+1}/W_i is irreducible. When this is the case, W_0, \dots, W_n is called a composition series for V and n is called the length of V . The Jordan–Hölder Theorem guarantees that if W'_0, \dots, W'_m is another composition series for

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896064>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896064>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)