## Journal of Algebra 502 (2018) 45–48



## Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

# Morita equivalence and quotient rings

# Morton E. Harris

Department of Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science (M/C 249), University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL 60607-7045, USA

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 22 May 2017 Available online 31 January 2018 Communicated by Markus Linckelmann

MSC: 16D90

Keywords: Morita equivalences Quotient algebras

### ABSTRACT

Let A and B be rings and let M be an A–B-bimodule that is finitely generated and projective in A-mod and in mod-B. Also let I be an ideal of A and let J be an ideal of B such that IM = MJ. Our main result is a partial converse of a known result:

**Proposition.** Suppose that  $I \leq J(A)$ ,  $J \leq J(B)$  so that M/(IM) is an  $\overline{A} = A/I - \overline{B} = B/J$ -bimodule that is finitely generated and projective in  $\overline{A}$ -mod and in mod- $\overline{B}$  and that induces a Morita Equivalence between  $\overline{A}$ -mod and  $\overline{B}$ -mod. Then M induces a Morita Equivalence between A-mod and B-mod.

This result should be particularly useful in the context that A and B are  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras where  $\mathcal{O}$  is a commutative local ring,  $I = J(\mathcal{O})A$  and  $J = I(\mathcal{O})B$ . In which case,  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$  are finite dimensional algebras over the field  $k = \mathcal{O}/J(\mathcal{O})$ .

@ 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction and main results

Our notation and terminology are standard and tend to follow [1]. All rings have identities and all modules over a ring are unitary.

 $\label{eq:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.11.054} 0021-8693 @ 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$ 



ALGEBRA

E-mail address: meharris@uic.edu.

Let R be a ring. Then R-mod (resp. mod-R) will denote the category of left (resp. right) R-modules.

In this section, we state and prove our main result (Proposition 1.1). In Section 2, we prove several results that are required in our proof of Proposition 1.1.

Let A and B be rings and let M be an A–B-bimodule such that M is finitely generated and projective in A-mod and in mod-B. Also let I be an ideal of A and let J be an ideal of B such that IM = MJ. Then, it is well-known that, if M induces a Morita equivalence between A-mod and B-mod, then M/(IM) induces Morita equivalence between the rings A/I and B/J (cf. [1, Proposition 21.11]).

Our main result is a partial converse to this result:

**Proposition 1.1.** Assume the hypotheses above and that  $I \leq J(A)$  and  $J \leq I(B)$  and suppose also that M/(IM) induces a Morita equivalence between A/I and B/J. Then M induces a Morita equivalence between A and B.

**Remark 1.2.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{O}$  is a commutative ring, that A and B are finitely  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras, and that M is an A-B-bimodule such that  $\alpha m = m\alpha$  for all  $m \in M$ , and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}$ . Let I be an ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  contained in  $J(\mathcal{O})$  so that IM = MI, IA = AI is an ideal in A and IB = BI is an ideal in B. Assume also that M is finitely generated over  $\mathcal{O}$  and hence  $_AM$  is finitely generated in A-mod and  $M_B$  is finitely generated in mod-B. Assume also that M is a projective in A-mod and in mod-B. Then, of course, Proposition 1.1 applies.

We proved Proposition 1.1 in this context in a previous version. A very astute referee suggested that similar arguments might avail to prove a generalization (Proposition 1.1) of our  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra investigations.

**Remark 1.3.** In the case that A and B are  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebras with  $\mathcal{O}$  a commutative local ring, then  $k = \mathcal{O}/J(\mathcal{O})$  is a field. Set  $I = J(\mathcal{O})$ . Then Proposition 1.1 reduces, under the hypotheses of Proposition 1.1, a proof of the Morita Equivalence of A and B to the " $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$  are finite dimensional algebras over the field k" case. This particular issue arose in [2, Proposition 4.14.5].

A proof of proposition. Assume the hypotheses of Proposition 1.1. Here M/(IM) = M/(MJ) is a projective generator in A/I-mod and in mod-B/J (cf. [1, Theorem 22.1]).

Thus Lemma 2.1 implies that M is a finitely generated projective generator in mod-B. Thus  $\operatorname{End}_A(M) = \mathcal{H}om_A(M, M)$  is a finitely generated module in mod-B by Lemma 2.2.

Let  $\pi_B: B \to B/J$  denote the canonic ring epimorphism and let  $\Gamma: \mathcal{H}om_A(M, M) =$ End<sub>A</sub>(M)  $\to \mathcal{H}om_A(M/(IM), M(IM))$  denote the ring homomorphism such that  $\Gamma(f)(m + IM) = f(m) + IM$  for all  $m \in M$  and all  $f \in \mathcal{H}om_A(M, M)$ . Let  $\rho_A: B^{op} \to \operatorname{End}_A(M)$  denote the ring homomorphism such that  $\rho_B(b)(m) = mb$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $b \in B$  and similarly define  $\rho_{B/J}: (B/I)^{op} \to \operatorname{End}_{A/I}(M/(IM))$ . By [1, Theorem 17.8(i)] both  $\rho_B$  and  $\rho_{B/I}$  are injective. Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896192

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8896192

Daneshyari.com