

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

Geometry of the word problem for 3-manifold groups



ALGEBRA

Mark Brittenham^a, Susan Hermiller^{a,*}, Tim Susse^b

 ^a Department of Mathematics, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, NE 68588-0130, USA
^b Department of Mathematics, Bard College at Simon's Rock, Great Barrington,

MA 01230, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 2 May 2017 Available online 8 December 2017 Communicated by Patrick Dehornoy

MSC: 20F65 20F10 57M05

Keywords: 3-manifold group Word problem Finite state automata Graph of groups Relatively hyperbolic group Automatic group Autostackable group Rewriting system

ABSTRACT

We provide an algorithm to solve the word problem in all fundamental groups of 3-manifolds that are either closed, or compact with (finitely many) boundary components consisting of incompressible tori, by showing that these groups are autostackable. In particular, this gives a common framework to solve the word problem in these 3-manifold groups using finite state automata.

We also introduce the notion of a group which is autostackable respecting a subgroup, and show that a fundamental group of a graph of groups whose vertex groups are autostackable respecting any edge group is autostackable. A group that is strongly coset automatic over an autostackable subgroup, using a prefix-closed transversal, is also shown to be autostackable respecting that subgroup. Building on work by Antolin and Ciobanu, we show that a finitely generated group that is hyperbolic relative to a collection of abelian subgroups is also strongly coset automatic relative to each subgroup in the collection. Finally, we show that fundamental groups of compact geometric 3-manifolds, with boundary consisting

* Corresponding author.

 $\label{eq:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2017.12.001 \\ 0021-8693/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$

E-mail addresses: mbrittenham2@unl.edu (M. Brittenham), hermiller@unl.edu (S. Hermiller), tsusse@simons-rock.edu (T. Susse).

of (finitely many) incompressible torus components, are autostackable respecting any choice of peripheral subgroup. © 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

One fundamental goal in geometric group theory since its inception has been to find algorithmic and topological characteristics of the Cayley graph satisfied by all closed 3-manifold fundamental groups, to facilitate computations. This was an original motivation for the definition of automatic groups by Epstein, Cannon, Holt, Levy, Paterson, and Thurston [12], and its recent extension to Cayley automatic groups by Kharlampovich, Khoussainov, and Miasnikov [21]. These constructions, as well as finite convergent rewriting systems, provide a solution to the word problem using finite state automata. However, automaticity fails for 3-manifold groups in two of the eight geometries, and Cayley automaticity and finite convergent rewriting systems are unknown for many 3-manifold groups. Autostackable groups, first introduced by the first two authors and Holt in [8], are a natural extension of both automatic groups and groups with finite convergent rewriting systems. In common with these two motivating properties, autostackability also gives a solution to the word problem using finite state automata. In this paper we show that the fundamental group of every compact 3-manifold with incompressible toral boundary, and hence every closed 3-manifold group, is autostackable.

Let G be a group with a finite inverse-closed generating set A. Autostackability is defined using a discrete dynamical system on the Cayley graph $\Gamma := \Gamma_A(G)$ of G over A, as follows. A flow function for G with bound $K \ge 0$, with respect to a spanning tree T in Γ , is a function Φ mapping the set \vec{E} of directed edges of Γ to the set \vec{P} of directed paths in Γ , such that

- (F1): for each $e \in \vec{E}$ the path $\Phi(e)$ has the same initial and terminal vertices as e and length at most K,
- (F2): Φ acts as the identity on edges lying in T (ignoring direction), and
- (F3): there is no infinite sequence e_1, e_2, e_3, \ldots of edges with each $e_i \in \vec{E}$ not in T and each e_{i+1} in the path $\Phi(e_i)$.

These three conditions are motivated by their consequences for the extension $\widehat{\Phi} : \overrightarrow{P} \to \overrightarrow{P}$ of Φ to directed paths in Γ defined by $\widehat{\Phi}(e_1 \cdots e_n) := \Phi(e_1) \cdots \Phi(e_n)$, where \cdot denotes concatenation of paths. Upon iteratively applying $\widehat{\Phi}$ to a path p, whenever a subpath of $\widehat{\Phi}^n(p)$ lies in T, then that subpath remains unchanged in any further iteration $\widehat{\Phi}^{n+k}(p)$, since conditions (F1–2) show that $\widehat{\Phi}$ fixes any point that lies in the tree T. Condition (F3) ensures that for any path p there is a natural number n_p such that $\widehat{\Phi}^{n_p}(p)$ is a path in the tree T, and hence $\widehat{\Phi}^{n_p+k}(p) = \Phi^{n_p}(p)$ for all $k \geq 0$. The bound K controls the extent Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896388

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8896388

Daneshyari.com