



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra



Some remarks on units in Grothendieck–Witt rings

Tom Bachmann¹

LMU Munich, Munich, Germany



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 April 2017

Available online 19 December 2017

Communicated by V. Srinivas

Keywords:

Grothendieck–Witt ring

Multiplicative transfer

Homotopy module

Unit

ABSTRACT

We establish new structures on Grothendieck–Witt rings, including a $GW(k)$ -module structure on the unit group $GW(k)^\times$ and a presentation of \underline{GW}^\times as an infinite \mathbb{G}_m -loop sheaf. Even though our constructions are motivated by speculations in stable \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory, our arguments are purely algebraic.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	230
2. Multiplicative transfers on GW	234
3. GW as a Tambara functor	238
4. The GW -module structure on GW^\times	242
5. The sheaf \underline{GW}^\times and the homotopy module F_*	251
6. The logarithm isomorphism	257
7. Delooping \underline{GW}^\times	262
Appendix A. Recollections on homotopy modules	265
Appendix B. Recollections on continuity	269
References	270

E-mail address: tom.bachmann@zoho.com.

¹ Present address: Fakultät Mathematik, Universität Duisburg–Essen, Thea-Leymann-Straße 9, 45127 Essen, Germany.

1. Introduction

The main objects of investigation of this article are the ring-valued functors $X \mapsto GW(X)$ and $X \mapsto \underline{GW}(X)$ and their subfunctors of units $GW^\times(X)$ and $\underline{GW}^\times(X)$. Recall that for a scheme X , $GW(X)$ is the *Grothendieck–Witt ring* of X [11], and for X smooth over a perfect field, $\underline{GW}(X)$ is the *unramified Grothendieck–Witt ring* of X [20, Chapter 3]. The connection is that for X (essentially) smooth local, we have $GW(X) = \underline{GW}(X)$, cf. [21, Theorem A].

Our principal contribution is the following. We show that if k is a field of characteristic not 2, then the group of units $GW^\times(k)$ has a canonical structure of a module over $GW(k)$, related to Rost’s multiplicative transfer on $GW(k)$. We use this to give a novel presentation of $GW^\times(k)$, see Proposition 25, and to construct a *homotopy module* T_* such that $T_0 \cong \underline{GW}^\times$. See Appendix A for some recollections regarding homotopy modules.

Organisation We now provide an overview of the article. The remaining subsections of the introduction provide a more leisurely account of some of the key ideas mentioned here.

In Section 2, we recall the results of Rost and his students on multiplicative transfers for the Grothendieck–Witt ring $GW(X)$ [22,12]. Specifically, the multiplicative transfer of Rost is defined using a certain norm functor for modules, also defined by Rost. We show that Rost’s norm construction coincides with a more general construction of Ferrand [7], in the situation where both apply.

In Section 3, using this comparison of norm constructions, we show that the assignment $F\acute{e}t/S \ni X \mapsto GW(X)$ defines a Tambara functor. Here $F\acute{e}t/S$ denotes the category of finite étale schemes over S , and by a Tambara functor on this category we mean the evident extension of the notion from [27]; see Definition 8 for details. Using a result of Tambara [27, Theorem 6.1], this also yields an alternative proof that the norm maps extend from $Iso(Bil(\bullet))$ to $GW(\bullet)$.

Section 4 contains our main observation. We show that if k is a field of characteristic not 2, then the group of units $GW^\times(k) \subset GW(k)$ is a module over $GW(k)$, in a unique way that is compatible with the projection formula. By this we mean that if A/k is finite étale, then for $x \in GW(A)$ and $y \in GW^\times(k)$ the following formula holds:

$$y^{tr_{A/k}(x)} = N_{A/k}((y|_A)^x).$$

Note that we write the module structure as “exponentiation”. This result is Proposition 22. Uniqueness of the $GW(k)$ -module structure follows from the fact that as an abelian group, $GW(k)$ is generated by the traces of finite étale algebras, in fact traces of degree at most 2 extensions suffice. This is explained before Proposition 22. Existence/well-definedness is a consequence of Serre’s splitting principle; see Lemma 20. In

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896398>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896398>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)