



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa



The space of stable weak equivalence classes of measure-preserving actions

Lewis Bowen ^{*,1}, Robin Tucker-Drob ²

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 29 June 2017

Accepted 6 December 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by Stefaan Vaes

MSC:

37A35

Keywords:

Weak containment

Pmp actions

ABSTRACT

The concept of (stable) weak containment for measure-preserving actions of a countable group Γ is analogous to the classical notion of (stable) weak containment of unitary representations. If Γ is amenable then the Rokhlin lemma shows that all essentially free actions are weakly equivalent. However if Γ is non-amenable then there can be many different weak and stable weak equivalence classes. Our main result is that the set of stable weak equivalence classes naturally admits the structure of a Choquet simplex. For example, when $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ this simplex has only a countable set of extreme points but when Γ is a nonamenable free group, this simplex is the Poulsen simplex. We also show that when Γ contains a nonabelian free group, this simplex has uncountably many strongly ergodic essentially free extreme points.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Related literature	4
2. Preliminaries	5

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: lpbowen@math.utexas.edu (L. Bowen).¹ Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1500389, NSF CAREER Award DMS-0954606.² Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-1600904.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.12.003>

0022-1236/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

2.1.	Glossary	5
2.2.	Notation	5
3.	Strong ergodicity	6
4.	Ergodic decomposition	7
5.	Stable weak equivalence classes	10
6.	Compactness	12
6.1.	Lower semi-continuity	12
7.	Convex integrals and couplings	13
8.	Coupling Theorem	15
8.1.	Ultrapowers of measure spaces	17
8.2.	Proof of the Coupling Theorem	18
9.	Convexity	21
10.	Simplex	22
11.	Property (T) groups	24
12.	Groups with many extreme stable weak equivalence classes	24
	Acknowledgments	26
	References	26

1. Introduction

A. Kechris introduced the notion of weak containment for group actions as an analogue of weak containment for unitary representations [21, II.10 (C)]. Given a countable group Γ and probability measure-preserving (pmp) actions $\mathbf{a} := \Gamma \curvearrowright^a (X, \mu)$, $\mathbf{b} := \Gamma \curvearrowright^b (Y, \nu)$ on standard probability spaces, we say \mathbf{a} is **weakly contained** in \mathbf{b} (denoted $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$) if for every finite measurable partition $\{P_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of X , finite $S \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a measurable partition $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of Y satisfying

$$|\mu(\gamma^a P_i \cap P_j) - \nu(\gamma^b Q_i \cap Q_j)| < \epsilon$$

for all $\gamma \in S$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ (where the action of $\Gamma \curvearrowright^a X$ is denoted $\gamma^a x$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X$ for example). We say \mathbf{a} is **weakly equivalent** to \mathbf{b} , denoted $\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}$, if both $\mathbf{a} \prec \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{b} \prec \mathbf{a}$.

The Rokhlin Lemma is essentially equivalent to the statement that for the group $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ all essentially free³ pmp actions are weakly equivalent. Indeed, as remarked in [22], this statement holds for all countable amenable groups. However it fails for nonamenable groups because strong ergodicity is an invariant of weak equivalence [21, Prop. 10.6]. This motivates the problem of providing a description of the set of all weak equivalence classes, denoted by \mathcal{W}_Γ , for a given group Γ .

We start with an equivalent definition of weak containment. Let \mathbf{Cantor} denote any space homeomorphic to a Cantor set. Let Γ act on \mathbf{Cantor}^Γ by $(\gamma x)(f) = x(\gamma^{-1}f)$. Let $\text{Prob}_\Gamma(\mathbf{Cantor}^\Gamma)$ denote the space of all Γ -invariant Borel probability measures on \mathbf{Cantor}^Γ equipped with the weak* topology. It is well-known that $\text{Prob}_\Gamma(\mathbf{Cantor}^\Gamma)$ is a

³ An action is essentially free if almost every point has trivial stabilizer.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896669>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896669>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)