

# Accepted Manuscript

Minimal and maximal matrix convex sets

Benjamin Passer, Orr Moshe Shalit, Baruch Solel

PII: S0022-1236(17)30440-8  
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.11.011>  
Reference: YJFAN 7921

To appear in: *Journal of Functional Analysis*

Received date: 29 June 2017  
Accepted date: 19 November 2017

Please cite this article in press as: B. Passer et al., Minimal and maximal matrix convex sets, *J. Funct. Anal.* (2018), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.11.011>

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



## MINIMAL AND MAXIMAL MATRIX CONVEX SETS

BENJAMIN PASSER, ORR MOSHE SHALIT, AND BARUCH SOLEL

ABSTRACT. To every convex body  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , one may associate a minimal matrix convex set  $\mathcal{W}^{\min}(K)$ , and a maximal matrix convex set  $\mathcal{W}^{\max}(K)$ , which have  $K$  as their ground level. The main question treated in this paper is: under what conditions on a given pair of convex bodies  $K, L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  does  $\mathcal{W}^{\max}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{W}^{\min}(L)$  hold? For a convex body  $K$ , we aim to find the optimal constant  $\theta(K)$  such that  $\mathcal{W}^{\max}(K) \subseteq \theta(K) \cdot \mathcal{W}^{\min}(K)$ ; we achieve this goal for all the  $\ell^p$  unit balls, as well as for other sets. For example, if  $\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{p,d}$  is the closed unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the  $\ell^p$  norm, then

$$\theta(\overline{\mathbb{B}}_{p,d}) = d^{1-|1/p-1/2|}.$$

This constant is sharp, and it is new for all  $p \neq 2$ . Moreover, for some sets  $K$  we find a minimal set  $L$  for which  $\mathcal{W}^{\max}(K) \subseteq \mathcal{W}^{\min}(L)$ . In particular, we obtain that a convex body  $K$  satisfies  $\mathcal{W}^{\max}(K) = \mathcal{W}^{\min}(K)$  if and only if  $K$  is a simplex.

These problems relate to dilation theory, convex geometry, operator systems, and completely positive maps. We discuss and exploit these connections as well. For example, our results show that every  $d$ -tuple of self-adjoint operators of norm less than or equal to 1, can be dilated to a commuting family of self-adjoints, each of norm at most  $\sqrt{d}$ . We also introduce new explicit constructions of these (and other) dilations.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. **Overview.** This paper treats containment problems for matrix convex sets. A *matrix convex set* in  $d$ -variables is a set  $\mathcal{S} = \cup_n \mathcal{S}_n$ , where every  $\mathcal{S}_n$  consists of  $d$ -tuples of  $n \times n$  matrices, that is closed under direct sums, unitary conjugation, and the application of completely positive maps. Matrix convex sets are closely connected to operator systems and have been investigated for several decades. Recently, they appeared in connection with the interpolation problem for UCP maps (see, e.g., [6, 11, 24]), and also in the setting of relaxation of spectrahedral containment problems [11, 13].

Given a closed convex set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , one can define several matrix convex sets  $\mathcal{S}$  such that  $\mathcal{S}_1 = K$ . One may ask, to what extent does the “ground level”  $\mathcal{S}_1$  determine the structure and the size of  $\mathcal{S}$ ? For example: given two matrix convex sets  $\mathcal{S} = \cup_n \mathcal{S}_n$  and  $\mathcal{T} = \cup_n \mathcal{T}_n$ , what does containment at the first level  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$  imply about the relationship between  $\mathcal{S}$  and  $\mathcal{T}$ ? Of course, there is (usually) no reason that  $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$  would follow as a consequence of  $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1$ , but in many cases — given some conditions on  $\mathcal{S}_1$  — one can find a constant  $C$  such that

$$\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{T}_1 \implies \mathcal{S} \subseteq C \cdot \mathcal{T}.$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 47A20, 47A13, 46L07, 47L25.

*Key words and phrases.* matrix convex set; dilation; abstract operator system; matrix range.

The work of B. Passer is partially supported by a Zuckerman Fellowship at the Technion.

The work of O.M. Shalit is partially supported by ISF Grants no. 474/12 and 195/16.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896670>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896670>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)