



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa



On Green functions of second-order elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds: The critical case

Debdip Ganguly, Yehuda Pinchover*

Department of Mathematics, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology,
Haifa 32000, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 22 June 2017

Accepted 5 July 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by H. Brezis

MSC:

primary 35J08

secondary 31C35, 35A08, 35B09,
58G03

Keywords:

Fundamental solution

Green function

Critical operator

Positive solutions

ABSTRACT

Let P be a second-order, linear, elliptic operator with real coefficients which is defined on a noncompact and connected Riemannian manifold M . It is well known that the equation $Pu = 0$ in M admits a positive supersolution which is not a solution if and only if P admits a unique positive minimal Green function on M , and in this case, P is said to be *subcritical* in M . If P does not admit a positive Green function but admits a global positive (super)solution, then such a solution is called a *ground state* of P in M , and P is said to be *critical* in M .

We prove for a critical operator P in M , the existence of a Green function which is dominated above by the ground state of P away from the singularity. Moreover, in a certain class of Green functions, such a Green function is unique, up to an addition of a product of the ground states of P and P^* . Under some further assumptions, we describe the behavior at infinity of such a Green function. This result extends and sharpens the celebrated result of P. Li and L.-F. Tam concerning the existence of a *symmetric* Green function for the Laplace–Beltrami operator on a smooth and *complete* Riemannian manifold M .

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: gdebdi@technion.ac.il (D. Ganguly), pincho@technion.ac.il (Y. Pinchover).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.07.004>

0022-1236/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let M be a noncompact and connected manifold of dimension $N \geq 2$ and of class C^2 . We assume that ν is a positive measure on M , satisfying $d\nu = f \, \text{dvol}$, where f is a strictly positive function and vol is the volume form of M . On M we consider a second-order elliptic operator P with real coefficients which (in any coordinate system $(U; x_1, \dots, x_N)$) is of the divergence form

$$Pu := -\text{div} [(A(x)\nabla u + u\tilde{b}(x))] + b(x) \cdot \nabla u + c(x)u. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, the minus divergence is the formal adjoint of the gradient with respect to the measure ν . We assume that for every $x \in \Omega$ the matrix $A(x) := [a^{ij}(x)]$ is symmetric and that the real quadratic form

$$\xi \cdot A(x)\xi := \sum_{i,j=1}^N \xi_i a^{ij}(x) \xi_j \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.2)$$

is positive definite. Moreover, throughout the paper it is assumed that P is locally uniformly elliptic, and that locally, the coefficients of P are sufficiently regular in M such that standard elliptic (local) regularity results hold true. Our results hold for example when A and f are locally Hölder continuous, b, \tilde{b} are Borel measurable vector fields in M of class $L^p_{\text{loc}}(M)$, and $c \in L^{p/2}_{\text{loc}}(M)$ for some $p > N$. In fact, we need to assume further local regularity on the coefficients that guarantee the existence of the limit

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} \frac{u(x)}{v(x)}, \quad (1.3)$$

where u and v are positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in a punctured neighborhood of any $x_0 \in M$, and the limit might be ∞ (for sufficient conditions that guarantee it, see for example [9] and references therein).

The formal adjoint P^* of the operator P is defined on its natural space $L^2(M, d\nu)$. When P is in divergence form (1.1) and $b = \tilde{b}$, the operator

$$Pu = -\text{div} [(A\nabla u + ub)] + b \cdot \nabla u + cu,$$

is *symmetric* in the space $L^2(M, d\nu)$. Throughout the paper, we call this setting the *symmetric case*.

By a solution v of the equation $Pu = 0$ in a domain $\Omega \subset M$, we mean $v \in W^{1,2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)$ that satisfies the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω in the *weak sense*. Subolutions and supersolutions are defined similarly. We denote the cone of all positive solutions of the equation $Pu = 0$ in Ω by $\mathcal{C}_P(\Omega)$. We say that P is *nonnegative in Ω* (and denote it by $P \geq 0$ in Ω) if $\mathcal{C}_P(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$. We recall that in the symmetric case, by the Allegretto–Piepenbrink theorem,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896701>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896701>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)