



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Functional Analysis

[www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa)

# Moving-centre monotonicity formulae for minimal submanifolds and related equations

Jonathan J. Zhu

*Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA*

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 3 May 2017

Accepted 13 July 2017

Available online xxxx

Communicated by S. Brendle

### Keywords:

Monotonicity

Minimal submanifolds

Geometric flows

## ABSTRACT

Monotonicity formulae play a crucial role for many geometric PDEs, especially for their regularity theories. For minimal submanifolds in a Euclidean ball, the classical monotonicity formula implies that if such a submanifold passes through the centre of the ball, then its area is at least that of the equatorial disk. Recently Brendle and Hung proved a sharp area bound for minimal submanifolds when the prescribed point is not the centre of the ball, which resolved a conjecture of Alexander, Hoffman and Osserman. Their proof involves asymptotic analysis of an ingeniously chosen vector field, and the divergence theorem.

In this article we prove a sharp ‘moving-centre’ monotonicity formula for minimal submanifolds, which implies the aforementioned area bound. We also describe similar moving-centre monotonicity formulae for stationary  $p$ -harmonic maps, mean curvature flow and the harmonic map heat flow.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

For many geometric partial differential equations, monotonicity formulae play an essential role and their discovery often leads to deep and fundamental results for those

*E-mail address:* [jjzhu@math.harvard.edu](mailto:jjzhu@math.harvard.edu).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.07.008>

0022-1236/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

systems. Monotonicity is a particularly useful tool in the study of variational problems, and for regularity theory (see for example [3,5,11,14,13,24,27] and references therein). These formulae often control the evolution of energy-type quantities with respect to changes in scale, or time.

An important example is the classical monotonicity formula for minimal submanifolds – critical points of the area functional – which states:

**Proposition 0.1.** *Let  $\Sigma^k$  be a minimal submanifold in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then so long as  $\partial\Sigma \cap \overline{B_r^n} = \emptyset$ , we have*

$$\frac{d}{dr} (r^{-k} |\Sigma \cap B_r^n|) = r^{-k-1} \int_{\Sigma \cap \partial B_r^n} \frac{|x^\perp|^2}{|x^T|} \geq 0. \quad (0.1)$$

Here  $B_r^n = B^n(0, r)$  denotes the Euclidean ball of radius  $r$  about the origin in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Thus the area ratio  $r^{-k} |\Sigma \cap B_r^n|$  is monotone on balls with fixed centre, and so comparing to the limiting density as  $r \searrow 0$  yields that any minimal submanifold  $\Sigma^k \subset B_r^n$  with  $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B_r^n$ , which passes through the origin, satisfies the sharp area bound

$$\frac{|\Sigma \cap B_r^n|}{r^k} \geq |B_1^k|, \quad (0.2)$$

with equality if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a flat  $k$ -disk.

In the case that the minimal submanifold  $\Sigma^k \subset B_r^n$  does not necessarily pass through the centre of the ball, Alexander, Hoffman and Osserman [2] conjectured (see also [20]) the following sharp area bound, which has recently been proven in full generality by Brendle and Hung [7] (see also Corollary 1.5). Alexander and Osserman had previously proven the conjecture only in the case of simply connected surfaces [1].

**Theorem 0.2** ([7]). *Let  $\Sigma^k$  be a minimal submanifold in the ball  $B_r^n$  with  $\partial\Sigma \subset \partial B_r^n$ . Then*

$$\frac{|\Sigma \cap B_r^n|}{(r^2 - d^2)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \geq |B_1^k|, \quad (0.3)$$

where  $d = d(0, \Sigma)$  is the distance from  $\Sigma$  to the centre of the ball.

The proof of Theorem 0.2 by Brendle–Hung involves the choice of a clever, but somewhat geometrically mysterious, vector field  $W$ . They apply the divergence theorem to  $W$  away from small balls  $B_\epsilon(y)$ , where  $y \in \Sigma \cap B_r$ , and obtain the estimate in the limit as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

In this paper, we show that the area bound (0.3) in fact arises from a sharp ‘moving-centre’ monotonicity formula, in which the centres of the extrinsic balls are allowed to move, and the scale is adjusted in a particular manner:

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8896760>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8896760>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)