



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt



Asymptotically trivial linear homogeneous partition inequalities

Jacob Katriel

Department of Chemistry, Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 January 2017
 Received in revised form 21 August 2017
 Accepted 22 August 2017
 Available online xxxx
 Communicated by S.J. Miller

MSC:

05A17
 11P81

Keywords:

Partition inequalities
 Hardy–Ramanujan asymptotic formula

ABSTRACT

We consider linear homogeneous partition inequalities of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^r a_k p(n + \mu_k) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell p(n + \nu_\ell), \quad (*)$$

where $p(n)$ is the number of integer partitions of n , $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$, $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ are positive integers, and $0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_r$, $0 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_s$ are integers. From the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n+\mu)}{p(n)} = 1$ (μ an integer) it follows that the inequality $(*)$ can only hold if $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$. If the last relation is a strict inequality than $(*)$ holds for all $n > N$, for an appropriately specified N , and can be established for all $n \geq 1$ by verifying that it holds for the finite set of cases specified by $1 \leq n \leq N$. Such inequalities will be referred to as *asymptotically trivial*. Several examples of such inequalities are presented. The inequality $(*)$ is *trivial* if the stronger condition $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k p(\mu_k - \min(\mu_1, \nu_1) + 1) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$ holds, *i.e.*, the supremum of the left-hand side of $(*)$ is smaller than or equal to the infimum of its right-hand side. If $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$ then we say that $(*)$ is *non-trivial*. In this case $(*)$ can be an identity. A “conventional” proof, establishing the nature of $(*)$ for all n , is required.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

E-mail address: jkatriel@technion.ac.il.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2017.08.012>

0022-314X/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the present paper we consider linear homogeneous partition inequalities of the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^r a_k p(n + \mu_k) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell p(n + \nu_\ell), \quad (1)$$

where $p(n)$ is the number of integer partitions of n , $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r\}$, $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ are positive integers, and $0 \leq \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \dots < \mu_r$, $0 \leq \nu_1 < \nu_2 < \dots < \nu_s$ are integers. From the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p(n+\mu)}{p(n)} = 1$ (μ an integer) it follows that the inequality (1) can only hold if $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$. If the last relation is a strict inequality then (1) holds for all $n > N$, for an appropriately specified N , and can be established for all $n \geq 1$ by verifying that it holds for all $1 \leq n \leq N$, a task which is easily feasible for at least $N \sim 10000$. Such inequalities will be referred to as *asymptotically trivial*. Several examples of such inequalities are presented. An argument of this type was recently used by Bessenrodt and Ono [3]. Admittedly, proofs of the kind described above do not provide the insight that a “conventional” proof often yields. However, they provide a fairly powerful generator of inequalities, motivating the search for more insightful “conventional” proofs. The inequality (1) is *trivial* if the stronger condition $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k p(\mu_k - \min(\mu_1, \nu_1) + 1) \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$ holds, *i.e.*, the supremum of the left-hand side of (1) is smaller than or equal to the infimum of its right-hand side. Finally, if $\sum_{k=1}^r a_k = \sum_{\ell=1}^s b_\ell$ then we say that (1) is *non-trivial*. In this case (1) can be an identity. A “conventional” proof, establishing the nature of (1) for all n , is required.

The characterization of the three classes of linear partition inequalities, *non-trivial*, *asymptotically trivial* and *trivial*, is the main contribution of the present article. These three classes are illustrated by the inequalities

$$p(n) + p(n - 5) \leq p(n - 1) + p(n - 2), \quad (2)$$

$$p(n) \leq p(n - 1) + p(n - 2), \quad (3)$$

and

$$p(n) \leq 2p(n - 1), \quad (4)$$

respectively. Inequality (2) is *non-trivial* in the sense defined above (the sum of the coefficients on the left-hand side is equal to the sum of the coefficients on the right-hand side, so nothing can be said about the validity of this inequality by examining the asymptotic behavior of the partition numbers). It was proved by Merca [15] using Euler’s generating function for $p(n)$, an approach that can certainly be extended to many more linear partition inequalities. Inequality (2) was shown by Andrews and Merca [2] to be a member of an infinite family of partition inequalities, all of which are non-trivial in the sense defined above. While inequality (3) follows straightforwardly from inequality (2), it can be proved independently by noting that it is *asymptotically trivial* in the sense defined

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8897077>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8897077>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)