



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Number Theory

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

## On interlacing of the zeros of a certain family of modular forms



Ekata Saha\*, N. Saradha

School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Navy Nagar, Mumbai, 400 005, India

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 24 January 2017 Received in revised form 16 July 2017 Accepted 25 July 2017 Available online 30 August 2017 Communicated by the Principal Editors

MSC: 11F11 11F03

Keywords: Modular forms Location of zeros Interlacing of zeros

#### ABSTRACT

For  $s \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14\}$ , let  $k = 12m(k) + s \ge 12$  be an even integer and  $f_k$  be a normalised modular form of weight k with real Fourier coefficients, written as

$$f_k = E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j^{(k)} E_{k-12j} \Delta^j.$$

Under suitable conditions on  $a_j^{(k)}$  (rectifying an earlier result of Getz), we show that all the zeros of  $f_k$ , in the standard fundamental domain for the action of  $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$  on the upper half plane, lie on the arc  $A := \{e^{i\theta} : \pi/2 \le \theta \le 2\pi/3\}$ . Further, we provide a criterion for a family of normalised modular forms  $\{f_k\}_k$  so that the zeros of  $f_k$  and  $f_{k+12}$ interlace on  $A^\circ := \{e^{i\theta} : \pi/2 < \theta < 2\pi/3\}$ .

@ 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{H}$  denote the complex upper half plane. The full modular group  $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$  acts on  $\mathbb{H}$  by the transformation law

\* Corresponding author. E-mail addresses: ekata@math.tifr.res.in (E. Saha), saradha@math.tifr.res.in (N. Saradha).

 $\label{eq:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2017.07.013} 0022-314 X @ 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.$ 

E. Saha, N. Saradha / Journal of Number Theory 183 (2018) 213-232

$$z \mapsto \frac{az+b}{cz+d}$$

for  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ . The standard fundamental domain for this action of  $\mathbf{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$  on  $\mathbb{H}$  is the following subset of  $\mathbb{H}$ ,

$$\mathbb{F} := \left\{ |z| \ge 1, -\frac{1}{2} \le \Re(z) \le 0 \right\} \cup \left\{ |z| > 1, 0 < \Re(z) < \frac{1}{2} \right\}.$$

Throughout this article we take  $k \ge 4$  to be an even integer. For  $z \in \mathbb{H}$ , the Eisenstein series of weight k for the full modular group  $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$  is defined by the following absolutely convergent series,

$$E_k(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\substack{c,d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ (c,d)=1}} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^k}.$$

The Eisenstein series of weight 0 is defined by  $E_0 := 1$ . The Eisenstein series  $E_k$  is a modular form of weight k for  $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ . It is classical that the space of modular forms of weight k is generated by the Eisenstein series  $E_k$  and cusp forms of weight k. We write k = 12m(k) + s with  $s \in \{0, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14\}$ . We will use this notation for k throughout the article without any further mention. The unique normalised cusp form of weight 12, denoted by  $\Delta$ , is defined as follows:

$$\Delta := \frac{E_4^3 - E_6^2}{1728}.$$

Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer [8] proved that for  $k \ge 4$ , all the zeros of the Eisenstein series  $E_k$  lie in the arc

$$A := \left\{ |z| = 1, -\frac{1}{2} \le \Re(z) \le 0 \right\} = \left\{ e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta \le \frac{2\pi}{3} \right\}.$$

In 2004, extending the arguments of Rankin and Swinnerton-Dyer, Getz [4, Theorem 1] gave a criterion for a normalised modular form f of weight k for  $\mathbf{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$ , written as  $f = E_k + \sum_{j=1}^{m(k)} a_j E_{k-12j} \Delta^j$ , to have all its zeros on the arc A, in terms of the  $a_j$ 's.

However, there is a rectifiable error in his proof. While estimating  $H(\theta) := e^{ik\theta/2} f(e^{i\theta})$ for  $\theta \in [\pi/2, 2\pi/3]$  (see [4, p. 2225, eq. (2.5)]), he used an upper bound for  $R_{k-12j}$  from [4, p. 2224, eq. (2.3)] for each  $1 \leq j \leq m(k)$ , where  $R_{k-12j} := e^{i(k-12j)\theta/2} E_{k-12j}(e^{i\theta}) - 2\cos((k-12j)\theta/2)$ . But this upper bound is valid if  $k-12j \geq 12$ . Note that k-12m(k) is always less than 12, unless it is 14. We present a corrected version of his theorem below. For this we setup the following notation.

For k = 0 or  $k \ge 4$  an even integer, let the real number  $\delta_k$  be defined as follows:

214

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8897127

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8897127

Daneshyari.com