Accepted Manuscript

Distinct distances on regular varieties over finite fields

Do Duy Hieu, Pham Van Thang



To appear in: Journal of Number Theory

Received date:29 August 2016Revised date:16 October 2016Accepted date:17 October 2016

Please cite this article in press as: D.D. Hieu, P.V. Thang, Distinct distances on regular varieties over finite fields, *J. Number Theory* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jnt.2016.10.003

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

Distinct distances on regular varieties over finite fields

Do Duy Hieu Pham Van Thang

Abstract

In this paper we study some generalized versions of a recent result due to Covert, Koh, and Pi (2015). More precisely, we prove that if a subset \mathcal{E} in a *regular* variety satisfies $|\mathcal{E}| \gg q^{\frac{d-1}{2} + \frac{1}{k-1}}$, then

$$\Delta_{k,F}(\mathcal{E}) := \left\{ F(\mathbf{x}^1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}^k) \colon \mathbf{x}^i \in \mathcal{E}, 1 \le i \le k \right\} \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\},\$$

for some certain families of polynomials $F(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$.

1 Introduction

Let \mathbb{F}_q be a finite field of order q, where q is a prime power. Let $D(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 + \cdots + x_d^2$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$. For $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{F}_q^d$, we define the *D*-distance set of \mathcal{E} to be

$$\Delta(\mathcal{E}) = \{ D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \colon \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{E} \} \,.$$

There are various papers studying the cardinality of $\Delta(\mathcal{E})$, see for example [3, 9, 5, 4, 10, 12] and references therein. In this paper, we are interested in the case when \mathcal{E} is a subset in a *regular* variety. Let us first start with a definition of regular varieties which is taken from [4]

Definition 1.1. For $\mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^d$, let $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}$ denote the characteristic function on \mathcal{E} . Let $F(\mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_1, \ldots, x_d]$ be a polynomial. The variety $\mathcal{V} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d : F(\mathbf{x}) = 0\}$ is called a regular variety if $|\mathcal{V}| \asymp q^{d-1}$ and $\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{m})} \ll q^{-(d+1)/2}$ for all $\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d \setminus \mathbf{0}$, where

$$\widehat{\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{m})} = \frac{1}{q^d} \sum_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}_q^d} \chi(-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{x}).$$

Here and throughout, $X \simeq Y$ means that there exist positive absolute constants C_1 and C_2 which do not depend on X, Y, and q such that $C_1Y < X < C_2Y, X \ll Y$ means that there exists a positive absolute constant C that does not depend on X, Y and q such that $X \leq CY$, and X = o(Y) means that $X/Y \to 0$ as $q \to \infty$, where X, Y are viewed as functions in q.

There are several examples of regular varieties as follows:

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8897217

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8897217

Daneshyari.com