



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa



Centers of generalized quantum groups

Punita Batra^a, Hiroyuki Yamane^{b,*}^a Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Chhatnag Road, Jhansi, Allahabad 211 019, India^b Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Toyama, 3190 Gofuku, Toyama-shi, Toyama 930-8555, Japan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 4 September 2016
 Received in revised form 31 May 2017
 Available online xxxx
 Communicated by D. Nakano

MSC:

Primary: 17B10; secondary: 17B37

ABSTRACT

This paper treats the generalized quantum group $U = U(\chi, \pi)$ with a bi-homomorphism χ for which the corresponding generalized root system is a finite set. We establish a Harish-Chandra type theorem describing the (skew) centers of U .

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper, we study the center and skew-centers of the generalized quantum groups. To do so, we use some facts of Zariski topology. We introduce a sub-topology of it, and call it *Nichols topology*. Study of the topology has virtually been initiated by [11]. The main result of this paper is [Theorem 10.4](#). As a bi-product, we also give its ‘symmetric’ version, [Theorem 1.2](#). [Theorem 7.5](#) is just [11, [Theorem 7.3](#)]. [Theorem 7.3](#) and [Theorem 4.6](#) are similar to [11, [Lemma 6.7](#)] and [11, [Lemma 9.4](#)] respectively. We follow the Kac argument [12] for the center. However the proof of [Theorem 8.8](#) is very delicate since we treat ‘all roots of unit cases.’ The proofs of [Proposition 9.5](#) and [Lemmas 9.2](#) and [10.2](#) are original. We adopt the new and simpler definition [24] for the generalized root systems (see (5.1)), and in [Section 5](#), we clarify relation between the new and conventional ones. Argument in [Subsection 8.1](#) has originally been given in [11, [Section 7](#)]. We need delicate arguments in [Section 8](#) to obtain [Theorem 8.8](#).

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field. Let $\mathbb{K}^\times := \mathbb{K} \setminus \{0\}$. Let I be a finite set. Let \mathfrak{A} be a free \mathbb{Z} -module with $|I| = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{A}$. Let $\chi : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$ be a bi-homomorphism, that is,

$$\chi(\lambda, \mu + \nu) = \chi(\lambda, \mu)\chi(\lambda, \nu) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(\lambda + \mu, \nu) = \chi(\lambda, \nu)\chi(\mu, \nu) \quad (1.1)$$

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: batra@hri.res.in (P. Batra), hiroyuki@sci.u-toyama.ac.jp (H. Yamane).

for all $\lambda, \mu, \nu \in \mathfrak{A}$. In Introduction, for simplicity, we also assume $\chi(\lambda, \mu) = \chi(\mu, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{A}$. Let $\pi : I \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be a map such that $\pi(I)$ is a \mathbb{Z} -base of \mathfrak{A} . In the same way as in the Lusztig’s definition [16] of the quantum groups, to the pair (χ, π) , we can associate a unique \mathbb{K} -algebra $\check{U}(\chi, \pi)$ characterized by the following properties.

(i) As a \mathbb{K} -algebra, \check{U} has generators \check{K}_λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$), \check{E}_i, \check{F}_i ($i \in I$) satisfying the equations $\check{K}_0 = 1, \check{K}_\lambda \check{K}_\mu = \check{K}_{\lambda+\mu}, \check{K}_\lambda \check{E}_i = \chi(\lambda, \pi(i)) \check{E}_i \check{K}_\lambda, \check{K}_\lambda \check{F}_i = \chi(\lambda, -\pi(i)) \check{F}_i \check{K}_\lambda, \check{E}_i \check{F}_j - \check{F}_j \check{E}_i = \delta_{ij}(-\check{K}_{\pi(i)} + \check{K}_{-\pi(i)})$. As a \mathbb{K} -linear space, the elements \check{K}_λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$) are linearly independent.

(ii) Let \check{U}^0 be the subalgebra of \check{U} generated by \check{K}_λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$). Let \check{U}^+ (resp. \check{U}^-) be the subalgebra of \check{U} generated by \check{E}_i (resp. \check{F}_i) ($i \in I$) and 1. One has a \mathbb{K} -linear isomorphism $\check{U}^- \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \check{U}^0 \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \check{U}^+ \rightarrow \check{U}$ with $Y \otimes Z \otimes X \mapsto YZX$. One also has \mathbb{K} -linear subspaces \check{U}_λ ($\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}$) such that $\check{U} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{A}} \check{U}_\lambda, \check{U}_\lambda \check{U}_\mu \subset \check{U}_{\lambda+\mu}, \check{U}^0 \subset \check{U}_0$, and $\check{E}_i \in \check{U}_{\pi(i)}, \check{F}_i \in \check{U}_{-\pi(i)}$ ($i \in I$).

(iii) Let \check{U}^{\geq} (resp. \check{U}^{\leq}) be the subalgebra of \check{U} generated by \check{U}^+ (resp. \check{U}^-) and \check{U}^0 . One has a Drinfeld bilinear map $\check{\vartheta} = \check{\vartheta}^{x,\pi} : \check{U}^{\geq} \times \check{U}^{\leq} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\check{\vartheta}|_{\check{U}^+ \times \check{U}^-} : \check{U}^+ \times \check{U}^- \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is non-degenerate, and $\check{\vartheta}(\check{K}_\lambda, \check{K}_\mu) = \chi(\lambda, -\mu), \check{\vartheta}(\check{E}_i, \check{F}_j) = \delta_{ij}, \check{\vartheta}(\check{E}_i, \check{K}_\mu) = \check{\vartheta}(\check{K}_\lambda, \check{F}_j) = 0$.

We note that for each pair (χ, π) , one define the Karchenko’s root system $R(\chi, \pi)$, which is a subset of \mathfrak{A} including $\pi(I)$; $R(\chi, \pi)$ is used to give a PBW-type theorem of $\check{U}(\chi, \pi)$, see Theorem 6.4.

Example 1.1. Assume that \mathbb{K} is the complex field \mathbb{C} . Let $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times \setminus \{1\}$. Let $\langle, \rangle : \mathfrak{A} \times \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be a \mathbb{Z} -module bihomomorphism.

(1) Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra. Assume that $\langle \pi(i), \pi(i) \rangle \neq 0$ ($i \in I$) and $\left[\frac{2\langle \pi(i), \pi(j) \rangle}{\langle \pi(i), \pi(i) \rangle} \right]_{i,j \in I}$ coincides with the Cartan matrix of \mathfrak{g} . Assume that q is not a root of unity, and that $\chi(\pi(i), \pi(j)) = q^{\langle \pi(i), \pi(j) \rangle}$ ($i, j \in I$). Then \check{U} can be identified with the quantum group $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$, and $R(\chi, \pi)$ can be identified with the root system of \mathfrak{g} .

(2) Assume that \langle, \rangle is as in (1). Assume that q is an n -th primitive root of unity for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n \geq 2$. Assume that $\chi(\pi(i), \pi(j)) = q^{\langle \pi(i), \pi(j) \rangle}$ ($i, j \in I$), $\chi(\pi(i), \pi(i)) \neq 1$ ($i \in I$) and $\chi(\pi(i), \pi(i)) \neq \chi(\pi(j), \pi(j))$ ($i, j \in I$ with $\langle \pi(i), \pi(i) \rangle \neq \langle \pi(j), \pi(j) \rangle$). Let X be the two-sided ideal of \check{U} generated by $\check{K}_{\pi(i)}^n - 1$ ($i \in I$). Then the quotient algebra \check{U}/X can be identified with the Lusztig’s small quantum group $u_q(\mathfrak{g})$. It follows that $R(\chi, \pi)$ is the same as in (1).

(3) (See also Subsection 11.2.) Let \mathfrak{s} be a basic classical Lie superalgebra (which is also called a complex simple Lie superalgebra of type A–G). Identify \mathfrak{A} with the root lattice of \mathfrak{s} for which $\pi(I)$ is also a set of simple roots. Identify \langle, \rangle with the Killing form of \mathfrak{s} . Let $I' := \{i \in I \mid \pi(i) \text{ is an odd simple root}\}$. Define the \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism $\partial : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by $\partial(\pi(i)) := 0$ ($i \in I \setminus I'$) and $\partial(\pi(j)) := 1$ ($j \in I'$). Assume that q is not a root of unity, and that $\chi(\pi(i), \pi(j)) = (-1)^{\partial(\pi(i))\partial(\pi(j))} q^{\langle \pi(i), \pi(j) \rangle}$ ($i, j \in I$). Let $\check{U}^\sigma = \check{U} \oplus \check{U}\sigma$ be the \mathbb{C} -algebra obtained from \check{U} by adding an element σ such that $\sigma^2 = 1, \sigma \check{K}_\lambda \sigma = \check{K}_\lambda, \sigma \check{E}_i \sigma = (-1)^{\partial(\pi(i))} \check{E}_i$, and $\sigma \check{F}_i \sigma = (-1)^{\partial(\pi(i))} \check{F}_i$ ($i \in I$). Then the quantum superalgebra $U_q(\mathfrak{s})$ can be identified with the subalgebra of \check{U}^σ generated by $\sigma^{\partial(\pi(i))} \check{K}_{\pi(i)}, \check{E}_i$, and $\check{F}_i \sigma^{\partial(\pi(i))}$, so $\check{U}^\sigma = U_q(\mathfrak{s}) \oplus U_q(\mathfrak{s})\sigma$. It follows that the root system of \mathfrak{s} is given by $R(\chi, \pi) \cup \{2\beta \mid \beta \in R(\chi, \pi), (-1)^{\partial(\beta)} = -1, \langle \beta, \beta \rangle \neq 0\}$.

Let $\omega : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^\times$ be a \mathbb{Z} -module homomorphism. We call the \mathbb{K} -linear space

$$\check{\mathfrak{Z}}_\omega = \check{\mathfrak{Z}}_\omega(\chi, \pi) := \{Z \in \check{U}_0 \mid \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{A}, \forall X \in \check{U}_\lambda, ZX = \omega(\lambda)XZ\}$$

the ω -skew graded center of \check{U} . Define the \mathbb{K} -linear map $\check{\mathfrak{H}} = \check{\mathfrak{H}}^{x,\pi} : \check{U} \rightarrow \check{U}^0$ by $\check{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\check{U}^0} = \text{id}_{\check{U}^0}$ and $\check{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{U}\check{E}_i) = \check{\mathfrak{H}}(\check{F}_i\check{U}) = \{0\}$ ($i \in I$). Let $\check{\mathfrak{H}}\check{\mathcal{C}}_\omega = \check{\mathfrak{H}}\check{\mathcal{C}}_\omega^{x,\pi} := \check{\mathfrak{H}}|_{\check{\mathfrak{Z}}_\omega}$. It is easy to see that $\check{\mathfrak{H}}\check{\mathcal{C}}_\omega$ is injective.

The \check{U} is also appeared in the context of the Schneider–Andruskiewitsch program of classification of pointed Hopf algebras (see [1]). Let $R := R(\chi, \pi)$. Heckenberger classified R with $\text{Char}(\mathbb{K}) = 0$ and $|R| < \infty$ (see [8]). In 2010, Heckenberger and the second author [11] gave a factorization formula of the Shapovalov

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8897604>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8897604>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)