

# Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities and non-inequalities: The full story

Haïm Brezis<sup>a,b</sup>, Petru Mironescu<sup>c,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Hill Center, Busch Campus, 110 Frelinghuysen Rd., Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA

<sup>b</sup> Mathematics Department, Technion - Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa, 32000, Israel

<sup>c</sup> Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5208 Institut Camille Jordan, 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France

<sup>d</sup> Simion Stoilow Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, Calea Griviței 21, 010702 București, Romania

Received 6 November 2017; accepted 10 November 2017

Available online 23 November 2017

## Abstract

We investigate the validity of the Gagliardo–Nirenberg type inequality

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega)}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}, \quad (1)$$

with  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ . Here,  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$  are non negative numbers (not necessarily integers),  $1 \leq p_1, p, p_2 \leq \infty$ , and we assume the standard relations

$$s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2, \quad 1/p = \theta/p_1 + (1 - \theta)/p_2 \text{ for some } \theta \in (0, 1).$$

By the seminal contributions of E. Gagliardo and L. Nirenberg, (1) holds when  $s_1, s_2, s$  are integers. It turns out that (1) holds for “most” of values of  $s_1, \dots, p_2$ , but not for all of them. We present an explicit condition on  $s_1, s_2, p_1, p_2$  which allows to decide whether (1) holds or fails.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Sobolev spaces; Gagliardo–Nirenberg inequalities; Interpolation inequalities

## 1. Introduction

In two seminal independent contributions, E. Gagliardo [8] and L. Nirenberg [10] established the interpolation inequality<sup>1</sup>

$$\|f\|_{W^{k,p}} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{k_1,p_1}}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{k_2,p_2}}^{1-\theta}, \quad \forall f \in W^{k_1,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap W^{k_2,p_2}(\mathbb{R}^N), \quad (1.1)$$

\* Corresponding author at: Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS UMR 5208 Institut Camille Jordan, 43, boulevard du 11 novembre 1918, F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex, France.

E-mail addresses: [brezis@math.rutgers.edu](mailto:brezis@math.rutgers.edu), [brezis@tx.technion.ac.il](mailto:brezis@tx.technion.ac.il) (H. Brezis), [mironescu@math.univ-lyon1.fr](mailto:mironescu@math.univ-lyon1.fr) (P. Mironescu).

<sup>1</sup> In Eq. (1.1),  $A \lesssim B$  means  $A \leq CB$  for some positive constant  $C$ .

where  $k_1, k_2, k$  are non negative integers and  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p \leq \infty$ . These quantities are related by the standard relations

$$k = \theta k_1 + (1 - \theta)k_2, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1 - \theta}{p_2} \text{ and } 0 < \theta < 1. \tag{1.2}$$

We investigate the validity of the analogous inequality when the smoothness exponents  $k_1, k_2, k$  are not necessarily integers. More specifically, assume that the real numbers  $0 \leq s_1, s_2, s, \theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $1 \leq p_1, p_2, p \leq \infty$  satisfy the relations

$$s = \theta s_1 + (1 - \theta)s_2, \quad \frac{1}{p} = \frac{\theta}{p_1} + \frac{1 - \theta}{p_2} \text{ and } 0 < \theta < 1. \tag{1.3}$$

We ask whether the estimate

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega)}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}, \quad \forall f \in W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega) \cap W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega) \tag{1.4}$$

holds. Here,  $\Omega$  is a *standard domain* in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , i.e.,

$$\Omega \text{ is either } \mathbb{R}^N \text{ or a half space or a Lipschitz bounded domain in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

and  $\|f\|_{W^{s,p}}$  denotes the usual Sobolev norm (see Section 2).

Let us note that (1.4) holds when  $s_1 = s_2$ ; this is simply Hölder’s inequality. In our analysis, we may thus assume that

$$s_1 < s < s_2. \tag{1.6}$$

It has been part of the folklore of the Sobolev spaces theory that (1.4) holds in “most” cases but fails in some “limiting” cases. For example if  $0 < s_1 < s_2 < 1$ , (1.4) is an immediate consequence of Hölder’s inequality. While if  $\Omega = (0, 1)$ ,  $s_1 = 0, s_2 = 1, p_1 = \infty, p_2 = 1, \theta = 1/2$ , (1.4) becomes

$$\|f\|_{H^{1/2}((0,1))} \lesssim \|f\|_{W^{1,1}((0,1))}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^\infty((0,1))}^{1/2}, \quad \forall f \in W^{1,1}((0, 1)), \tag{1.7}$$

which implies

$$\|f\|_{H^{1/2}((0,1))} \lesssim \|f\|_{BV((0,1))}^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^\infty((0,1))}^{1/2}, \quad \forall f \in BV((0, 1)). \tag{1.8}$$

But (1.8) is clearly wrong (take e.g.  $f = \mathbb{1}_{(0,1/2)}$ ), so that (1.7) also fails.

To the best of our knowledge, the precise “dividing line” between the “good” and the “bad” cases in (1.4) was never clarified. It is our goal to fill this gap.

The following condition plays an essential role.<sup>2</sup>

$$s_2 \text{ is an integer } \geq 1, \quad p_2 = 1 \text{ and } s_2 - s_1 \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p_1}. \tag{1.9}$$

Here is our main result.

**Theorem 1.** *Inequality (1.4) holds if and only if (1.9) fails.*

*More precisely, we have*

A) *If (1.9) fails then, for every  $\theta \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a constant  $C$  depending on  $s_1, s_2, p_1, p_2, \theta$  and  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega)}^\theta \|f\|_{W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta}, \quad \forall f \in W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega) \cap W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega). \tag{1.10}$$

B) *If (1.9) holds there exists some  $f \in W^{s_1,p_1}(\Omega) \cap W^{s_2,p_2}(\Omega)$  such that  $f \notin W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ ,  $\forall \theta \in (0, 1)$ .*

---

<sup>2</sup> The latter condition can also be written in the more symmetric form  $s_1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \geq s_2 - \frac{1}{p_2}$ .

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8898111>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8898111>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)