



Keller–Osserman a priori estimates and the Harnack inequality for the evolution p-Laplace equation with singular absorption term



I.I. Skrypnik^{a,b,*}

^a Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, G. Batiouk str. 19, 84100 Slovjansjk, Ukraine

^b Vasyl' Stus Donetsk National University, 600-richya str. 21, 21021 Vinnytsia, Ukraine

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 31 December 2017
 Available online 25 July 2018
 Submitted by A. Cianchi

Keywords:

Large solutions
 A priori estimates
 Quasilinear parabolic equations
 Singular absorption term
 Harnack inequality

ABSTRACT

In this paper we study quasilinear equations of type

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + V(x)f(u) = 0, \quad p \geq 2, \quad u \geq 0.$$

Despite the lack of comparison principle, we prove a priori estimates of Keller–Osserman type. Using these estimates we give a proof of the intrinsic Harnack inequality.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we consider the evolution p-Laplace equation with measurable coefficients and singular lower order term. These classes of equations have numerous applications and have been attracting attention for several decades (see, e.g. the monographs [8,9,22] and references therein).

We study nonnegative solutions to the equation

$$u_t - \operatorname{div}A(x, t, u, \nabla u) + a_0(x, u) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$(x, t) \in \Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$ where Ω is a domain in \mathbb{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ and $0 < T < +\infty$.

Throughout the paper we assume that the functions $A : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+^1 \times \mathbb{R}_+^1 \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $a_0 : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ are such that $A(\cdot, \cdot, u, \cdot)$, $a_0(\cdot, u)$ are Lebesgue measurable for all $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^1$, $\cdot \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $A(x, t, \cdot, \cdot)$, $a_0(x, \cdot)$

* Correspondence to: Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, G. Batiouk str. 19, 84100 Slovjansjk, Ukraine.

E-mail address: iskrypnik@iamm.donbass.com.

are continuous for almost all $x \in \Omega, t \in (0, T)$. We also assume that the following structure conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} A(x, t, u, \varsigma) &\geq K_1|\varsigma|^p, \quad p > 2, \\ |A(x, t, u, \varsigma)| &\leq K_2|\varsigma|^{p-1}, \\ K_1V(x)f(u) &\leq a_0(x, u) \leq K_2V(x)f(u), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

with some positive constants K_1, K_2 . For the function $V(x)$ we assume that $V \in A_\infty$ Muckenhoupt class, i.e. there exist constants $q > 1$ and $c_q > 0$ such that for all $x \in \Omega$ and $B_r(x) \in \Omega$, there holds

$$V(B_r(x)) \left(\int_{B_r(x)} V^{-\frac{1}{q-1}}(x) dx \right)^{q-1} \leq c_q |B_r(x)|^q, \tag{1.3}$$

where $V(B_r(x)) = \int_{B_r(x)} V(y) dy, B_r(x) = \{y : |x - y| < r\}$.

We also assume that $V(x)$ belongs to the nonlinear Kato class, it means that

$$\sup_{x \in \Omega} W_{1,p}^V(x, R) < \infty, \tag{1.4}$$

where $W_{1,p}^V(x, R) = \int_0^R \left(r^{p-n} \int_{B_r(x)} V(y) dy \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \frac{dr}{r}$.

Example 1. Function $V(x) = |x|^{-p} \ln^{-\beta_1} \frac{1}{|x|}$ satisfies conditions (1.3), (1.4) if $\beta_1 > p - 1$.

For the function f we assume that

$$f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1), \quad f > 0 \quad f' \geq 0, \tag{1.5}$$

and with some $\mu > 0$ there holds

$$\frac{F_\alpha(u)}{F_\alpha(v)} \leq \left(\frac{u}{v} \right)^{1+\alpha(p-1)+\mu}, \quad 0 < u \leq v, \tag{1.6}$$

where $F_\alpha(u) = \int_0^u f^\alpha(s) ds$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{q(p+n)})$ is a fixed number.

Example 2. Function $F_\alpha(u)$ satisfies condition (1.6) with $\mu = (\beta - p + 1)\alpha > 0$, if $f(u) = u^\beta \tilde{f}(u), \beta > p - 1$ and \tilde{f} is nondecreasing continuous function. If $f(u) = u^{p-1} \tilde{f}(u)$, where \tilde{f} satisfies condition (1.5), $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$ and \tilde{f}' is nondecreasing, then $F_\alpha(u)$ satisfies (1.6) with $\mu = \alpha$.

In the sequel we say that a constant depends only upon the data if it depends only upon K_1, K_2, p, n, q, c_q and α .

Before formulating the main results, let us remind the reader the definition of a weak solution to equation (1.1). We say that a nonnegative function $u \in C_{loc}(0, T, L^2_{loc}(\Omega)) \cap L^p_{loc}(0, T, W^{1,p}_{loc}(\Omega))$ is a weak solution to equation (1.1), if for every subset $E \subset \Omega$ and every interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset (0, T]$ the following identity

$$\int_E u \varphi dx \Big|_{t_1}^{t_2} + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_E \{-u \varphi_t + A(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla \varphi + a_0(x, u) \varphi\} dx dt = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8899184>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8899184>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)