ARTICLE IN PRESS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. ••• (••••) •••-•••



Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



YJMAA:21795

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Note

Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability

ABSTRACT

Horst Alzer

Morsbacher Straße 10, 51545 Waldbröl, Germany

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 18 January 2017 Available online xxxx Submitted by V. Pozdnyakov

Dedicated to Professor Man Kam Kwong on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Keywords: Binomial probability Complete monotonicity Gamma and psi functions Combinatorial inequalities

. . .

is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$. This extends a result of Leblanc and Johnson, who showed in 2007 that the sequence $\{G(j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing.

Let k and n be integers with $0 \le k \le n$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. We prove that the function

 $G(a) = G_{k,n,p}(a) = \frac{\Gamma(an+1)}{\Gamma(ak+1)\Gamma(a(n-k)+1)} p^{ak} (1-p)^{a(n-k)}$

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

We denote by f(k, n, p) the probability of achieving exactly k successes in n Bernoulli trials with success probability p, that is,

$$f(k,n,p) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(n-k+1)} p^k (1-p)^{n-k} = \binom{n}{k} p^k (1-p)^{n-k}$$

As usual, Γ denotes Euler's gamma function. In 2006, Leblanc and Johnson [6] considered the following problem for Bernoulli trials: which is more likely to happen: k successes in n trials or 2k successes in 2n trials? They proved that for all integers k and n with $0 \le k \le n$ and $p \in (0, 1)$, the former is more likely to happen, that is,

$$f(2k, 2n, p) \le f(k, n, p).$$
 (1.1)

E-mail address: h.alzer@gmx.de.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.10.077 0022-247X/© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: H. Alzer, Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.10.077

ARTICLE IN PRESS

One year later, the same authors [7] presented an interesting generalization of (1.1), as follows. They showed that if $0 \le k \le n$ and $p \in (0, 1)$, then

$$f((j+1)k, (j+1)n, p) \le f(jk, jn, p) \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, ...).$$
(1.2)

The aim of this note is to provide an extension of this result. We consider the function

$$G(a) = G_{k,n,p}(a) = \frac{\Gamma(an+1)}{\Gamma(ak+1)\Gamma(a(n-k)+1)} p^{ak} (1-p)^{a(n-k)},$$

where a is a positive real number, k and n are integers with $0 \le k \le n$ and $p \in (0, 1)$. Inequality (1.2) states that the sequence $\{G(j)\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is decreasing. In the next section, we prove that the function G is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

We recall that a function F is said to be completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, if F has derivatives of all orders and satisfies

$$(-1)^N F^{(N)}(x) \ge 0$$
 $(N = 0, 1, 2, ...; x > 0).$

In particular, F is decreasing and convex on $(0, \infty)$. Completely monotonic functions have numerous applications in probability theory, potential theory, numerical analysis and other fields. The main properties of these functions are collected in the monograph [8, chapter IV]. See also the papers [2], [3] and the references cited therein.

2. Results

First, we present two lemmas which play an important role in the proof of our monotonicity theorem.

Lemma 1. If $c \in (0, 1)$ and y > 1, then

$$S_c(y) = \frac{1}{y-1} - \frac{1}{y^{1/c} - 1} - \frac{1}{y^{1/(1-c)} - 1} > 0.$$
(2.1)

Proof. Let y > 1. We define $H_c(y) = 1/(y^{1/c} - 1)$ and set $t = y^{1/c}$. Then,

$$\frac{c^2(t-1)^3}{2t(\log t)^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial c^2}H_c(y) = \frac{t+1}{2} - \frac{t-1}{\log t} = \frac{t+1}{2\log t}\int_{-1}^t \frac{(s-1)^2}{s(s+1)^2}ds > 0.$$

It follows that the function $c \mapsto J_c(y) = H_c(y) + H_{1-c}(y)$ is strictly convex on (0,1). Since

$$\lim_{c \to 0+} J_c(y) = \lim_{c \to 1-} J_c(y) = \frac{1}{y-1},$$

we obtain $J_c(y) < 1/(y-1)$ for $c \in (0,1)$. This leads to (2.1). \Box

The next lemma is a special case of a more general monotonicity theorem given in [4, p. 83].

Lemma 2. Let $h : (0, \infty) \to (0, \infty)$. If $(-\log h)'$ is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$, then h is completely monotonic on $(0, \infty)$.

We are now in a position to present our main result. The following theorem offers an extension of inequality (1.2).

Please cite this article in press as: H. Alzer, Complete monotonicity of a function related to the binomial probability, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2017.10.077

 $\mathbf{2}$

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8900184

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8900184

Daneshyari.com