



Variance reduction for discretised diffusions via regression [☆]



Denis Belomestny ^{a,b}, Stefan Häfner ^c, Tigran Nagapetyan ^d, Mikhail Urusov ^{a,*}

^a University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany

^b IITP RAS, Moscow, Russia

^c PricewaterhouseCoopers GmbH, Frankfurt, Germany

^d University of Oxford, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 7 November 2016

Available online 14 September 2017

Submitted by U. Stadtmueller

Keywords:

Control variates

Monte Carlo methods

Regression methods

Stochastic differential equations

Weak schemes

ABSTRACT

In this paper we present a novel approach towards variance reduction for discretised diffusion processes. The proposed approach involves specially constructed control variates and allows for a significant reduction in the variance for the terminal functionals. In this way the complexity order of the standard Monte Carlo algorithm (ε^{-3} in the case of a first order scheme and $\varepsilon^{-2.5}$ in the case of a second order scheme) can be reduced down to $\varepsilon^{-2+\delta}$ for any $\delta \in [0, 0.25)$ with ε being the precision to be achieved. These theoretical results are illustrated by several numerical examples.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let $T > 0$ be a fixed time horizon. Consider a d -dimensional diffusion process $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined by the Itô stochastic differential equation

$$dX_t = \mu(X_t) dt + \sigma(X_t) dW_t, \quad X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (1.1)$$

for Lipschitz continuous functions $\mu: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times m}$, where $(W_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is a standard m -dimensional Brownian motion. Recall that, since μ and σ are Lipschitz, the stochastic differential equation (1.1) has a strong solution, and pathwise uniqueness holds. Suppose we want to find a continuous function

$$u: [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

[☆] The work of Denis Belomestny is supported by the Russian Science Foundation project 14-50-00150. Stefan Häfner thanks the Faculty of Mathematics of the University of Duisburg-Essen, where this work was carried out. We thank the anonymous referee for the comments that helped improve the paper.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: denis.belomestny@uni-due.de (D. Belomestny), stefan.haefner@de.pwc.com (S. Häfner), nagapetyan@stats.ox.ac.uk (T. Nagapetyan), mikhail.urusov@uni-due.de (M. Urusov).

which has a continuous first derivative with respect to the time argument and continuous first and second derivatives with respect to the components of the space argument on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d$ such that it solves the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \mathcal{L}u = 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.2}$$

$$u(T, x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1.3}$$

where f is a given continuous function on \mathbb{R}^d . Here and in what follows, t denotes the time argument, x denotes the space argument of u , and \mathcal{L} is the differential operator associated with the equation (1.1):

$$(\mathcal{L}u)(t, x) := \sum_{i=1}^d \mu^i(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(t, x) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^d (\sigma\sigma^\top)^{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}(t, x),$$

where σ^\top denotes the transpose of σ , and the components of μ and $\sigma\sigma^\top$ (and later the ones of σ) are denoted by superscripts. Under appropriate conditions on μ , σ and f , there is a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.2)–(1.3), which is unique in the class of solutions satisfying certain growth conditions, and it has the following Feynman–Kac stochastic representation

$$u(t, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{t,x})]$$

(see Section 5.7 in [4]), where $X^{t,x}$ denotes the solution started at time t in point x . Moreover it holds (see e.g. Newton [12])

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{0,x}) | X_t^{0,x}] = u(t, X_t^{0,x}), \quad \text{a.s.}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$ and

$$f(X_T^{0,x}) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{0,x})] + M_T^*, \quad \text{a.s.} \tag{1.4}$$

with

$$M_T^* := \int_0^T \nabla_x u(t, X_t^{0,x}) \sigma(X_t^{0,x}) dW_t = \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}(t, X_t^{0,x}) \sum_{j=1}^m \sigma^{ij}(X_t^{0,x}) dW_t^j. \tag{1.5}$$

The standard Monte Carlo (SMC) approach for computing $u(0, x)$ at a fixed point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ consists of three steps. First an approximation \bar{X}_T for $X_T^{0,x}$ is constructed via a time discretisation of the equation (1.1) (we refer to [5] for a nice overview of various discretisation schemes). Next N_0 independent copies of the approximation \bar{X}_T are generated and finally a Monte Carlo estimate V_{N_0} is defined as an average of the values of f at simulated points:

$$V_{N_0} := \frac{1}{N_0} \sum_{i=1}^{N_0} f(\bar{X}_T^{(i)}).$$

In the computation of $u(0, x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{0,x})]$ by the SMC approach there are two types of error inherent: a discretisation error $\mathbb{E}[f(X_T^{0,x})] - \mathbb{E}[f(\bar{X}_T)]$ and a Monte Carlo (statistical) error, which results from the substitution of $\mathbb{E}[f(\bar{X}_T)]$ with the sample average V_{N_0} . The aim of variance reduction methods is to reduce the statistical error. For example, in the so-called control variate variance reduction approach one looks

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8900317>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8900317>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)