



## On clique convergence of graphs

S.M. Hegde<sup>\*</sup>, Suresh Dara

*Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, Mangalore-575025, India*

Received 11 February 2016; received in revised form 29 June 2016; accepted 7 July 2016

### Abstract

Let  $G$  be a graph and  $\mathcal{K}_G$  be the set of all cliques of  $G$ , then the clique graph of  $G$  denoted by  $K(G)$  is the graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{K}_G$  and two elements  $Q_i, Q_j \in \mathcal{K}_G$  form an edge if and only if  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . Iterated clique graphs are defined by  $K^0(G) = G$ , and  $K^n(G) = K(K^{n-1}(G))$  for  $n > 0$ . In this paper we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a clique graph  $K(G)$  to be complete when  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , give a partial characterization for clique divergence of the join of graphs and prove that if  $G_1, G_2$  are Clique-Helly graphs different from  $K_1$  and  $G = G_1 \square G_2$ , then  $K^2(G) = G$ .

© 2016 Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

*Keywords:* Maximal clique; Clique graph; Graph operator

### 1. Introduction

Given a simple graph  $G = (V, E)$ , not necessarily finite, a clique in  $G$  is a maximal complete subgraph in  $G$ . Let  $G$  be a graph and  $\mathcal{K}_G$  be the set of all cliques of  $G$ , then the clique graph operator is denoted by  $K$  and the clique graph of  $G$  is denoted by  $K(G)$ , where  $K(G)$  is the graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{K}_G$  and two elements  $Q_i, Q_j \in \mathcal{K}_G$  form an edge if and only if  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . Clique graph was introduced by Hamelink in 1968 [1]. Iterated clique graphs are defined by  $K^0(G) = G$ , and  $K^n(G) = K(K^{n-1}(G))$  for  $n > 0$  (see [2–4]).

**Definition 1.1.** A graph  $G$  is said to be  $K$ -periodic if there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $G \cong K^n(G)$  and the least such integer is called the  $K$ -periodicity of  $G$ , denoted  $K$ -per( $G$ ).

**Definition 1.2.** A graph  $G$  is said to be  $K$ -Convergent if  $\{K^n(G) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite, otherwise it is  $K$ -Divergent (see [5]).

**Definition 1.3.** A graph  $H$  is said to be  $K$ -root of a graph  $G$  if  $K(H) = G$ .

If  $G$  is a clique graph then one can observe that, the set of all  $K$ -roots of  $G$  is either empty or infinite.

Peer review under responsibility of Kalasalingam University.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail addresses:* [smhegde@nitk.ac.in](mailto:smhegde@nitk.ac.in) (S.M. Hegde), [suresh.dara@gmail.com](mailto:suresh.dara@gmail.com) (S. Dara).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2016.07.002>

0972-8600/© 2016 Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Please cite this article in press as: S.M. Hegde, S. Dara, On clique convergence of graphs, AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics (2016), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2016.07.002>

**Definition 1.4** ([3]). A graph  $G$  is a Clique-Helly Graph if the set of cliques has the Helly-Property. That is, for every family of pairwise intersecting cliques of the graph, the total intersection of all these cliques should be non-empty also.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ ,  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  be the two graphs. Then their join  $G_1 + G_2$  is obtained by adding all possible edges between the vertices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

**Definition 1.6.** The Cartesian product of two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , denoted  $G \square H$ , is a graph with vertex set  $V(G \square H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ , i.e., the set  $\{(g, h) | g \in G, h \in H\}$ . The edge set of  $G \square H$  consists of all pairs  $[(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2)]$  of vertices with  $[g_1, g_2] \in E(G)$  and  $h_1 = h_2$ , or  $g_1 = g_2$  and  $[h_1, h_2] \in E(H)$  (see [6] page no 3).

## 2. Results

One can observe that the clique graph of a complete graph and star graph are always complete. Let  $G$  be a graph with  $n$  vertices and having a vertex of degree  $n - 1$ , then the clique graph of  $G$  is also complete.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then  $X$  is a clique in  $G_1$  and  $Y$  is a clique in  $G_2$  if and only if  $X + Y$  is a clique in  $G_1 + G_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  and  $X$  be a clique in  $G_1$  and  $Y$  be a clique in  $G_2$ . Suppose that  $X + Y$  is not a maximal complete subgraph in  $G_1 + G_2$ , then there is a maximal complete subgraph (clique)  $Q$  in  $G_1 + G_2$  such that  $X + Y$  is a proper subgraph of  $Q$ . Since  $X + Y$  is a proper subgraph of  $Q$ , there is a vertex  $v$  in  $Q$  which is not in  $X + Y$  and  $v$  is adjacent to every vertex of  $X + Y$ , then by the definition of  $G_1 + G_2$ ,  $v$  should be in either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ . Suppose  $v$  is in  $G_1$ , then the induced subgraph of  $V(X) + \{v\}$  is complete in  $G_1$ , which is a contradiction as  $X$  is maximal. Therefore  $X + Y$  is the maximal complete subgraph (clique) in  $G_1 + G_2$ .

Conversely, let  $Q$  is a clique in  $G_1 + G_2$ . Suppose that  $Q \neq X + Y$  where  $X$  is a clique in  $G_1$  and  $Y$  is a clique in  $G_2$ . If  $Q \cap G_1 = \emptyset$ , then  $Q$  is a subgraph of  $G_2$ . This implies that  $Q$  is a clique in  $G_2$  as  $Q$  is a clique in  $G$ . Let  $v$  be a vertex of  $G_1$ . Then by the definition of  $G_1 + G_2$ , one can observe that the induced subgraph of  $V(Q) \cup \{v\}$  is complete in  $G$ , which is a contradiction as  $Q$  is a maximal complete subgraph. Therefore  $Q \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly we can prove that  $Q \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $X$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  with vertex set  $V(Q) \cap V(G_1)$  and  $Y$  be the induced subgraph of  $G$  with vertex set  $V(Q) \cap V(G_2)$ , then  $Q = X + Y$ . Since  $Q$  is a maximal complete subgraph of  $G$ ,  $X$  and  $Y$  should be maximal complete subgraphs in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Otherwise, if  $X$  is not a maximal complete subgraph in  $G_1$  then there is a maximal complete subgraph  $X'$  in  $G_1$  such that  $X$  is subgraph of  $X'$ , and this implies that  $X + Y$  is a subgraph of  $X' + Y$  and  $X' + Y$  is complete, which is a contradiction. Therefore  $X$  and  $Y$  are maximal complete subgraphs (cliques) in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. ■

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If  $n, m$  are the number of cliques in  $G_1, G_2$  respectively, then  $G$  has  $nm$  cliques.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_2$ . Then by Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{X_i + Y_j : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$  is the set of all cliques of  $G$ . Since  $G_1$  has  $n$ ,  $G_2$  has  $m$  number of cliques,  $G_1 + G_2$  has  $nm$  number of cliques. ■

In the following result we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a clique graph  $K(G)$  to be complete when  $G = G_1 + G_2$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two graphs. If  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then  $K(G)$  is complete if and only if either  $K(G_1)$  is complete or  $K(G_2)$  is complete.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  and  $K(G)$  be complete. Suppose that neither  $K(G_1)$  nor  $K(G_2)$  is complete, then there exist two cliques  $X, X'$  in  $G_1$  and two cliques  $Y, Y'$  in  $G_2$  such that  $X \cap X' = \emptyset$  and  $Y \cap Y' = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $X + Y, X' + Y'$  are cliques in  $G$ . Since  $X \cap X'$  and  $Y \cap Y'$  are empty, it follows that  $\{X + Y\} \cap \{X' + Y'\} = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction as  $K(G)$  is complete.

Conversely, suppose that  $K(G_1)$  is complete and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\}$ . By Corollary 2.2, it follows that  $G$  has exactly  $nm$  number of cliques. Let  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{Q_{ij} : Q_{ij} = X_i + Y_j \text{ for } i =$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8902803>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8902803>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)