



Note

An interesting q -series related to the 4th symmetrized rank function

Alexander E. Patkowski

1390 Bumps River Rd., Centerville, MA 02632, USA



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 April 2018

Received in revised form 25 May 2018

Accepted 9 July 2018

Keywords:

Partitions

 q -series

Smallest parts function

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the method to utilizing the s -fold extension of Bailey's lemma to obtain spt -type functions related to the symmetrized rank function $\eta_{2k}(n)$. We provide the $k = 2$ example, but clearly illustrate how deep connections between higher-order spt functions exist for any integer $k > 1$, and provide several directions for possible research. In particular, we present why the function $spt_M^*(n)$, the total number of appearances of the smallest parts of partitions where parts greater than the smallest plus M do not occur, is an spt function that appears to have central importance. We also make note about extending spt -type functions to partition pairs.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and main results

As usual [9], set $(X)_n = (X; q)_n := \prod_{0 \leq k \leq n-1} (1 - Xq^k)$, and define $(X)_\infty = (X; q)_\infty := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (X; q)_n$. In [5], Andrews constructed an s -fold extension of Bailey's lemma, and obtained many interesting higher dimensional identities. In [3], we find that $spt(n)$, the number of appearances of the smallest parts in the number of partitions of n , satisfies the equation $spt(n) = np(n) - \frac{1}{2}N_2(n)$. Here $N_k(n) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} m^k N(m, n)$, where $N(m, n)$ is the number of partitions of n with rank m [1]. Here we have kept the usual notation for the number of unrestricted partitions of n , $p(n)$ [1].

The results of Garvan [8] extended Andrews' important smallest part identity, allowing for connections between higher-order spt functions with the symmetrized rank function (defined by Eq. (2.6) and [2]) $\eta_{2k}(n)$, for any integer $k > 1$. Other smallest part functions with further restrictions on parts have also been noted in Patkowski [13,14]. As it turns out, we are able to show there are other spt functions for each $k > 1$ that follow from the k -fold Bailey lemma. In this sense, the order of the smallest part functions in Garvan's paper [8] correspond to the number of folds in the Bailey lemma in the sense of [5]. This paper will provide the $k = 2$ example, which will therefore require only the 2-fold Bailey lemma.

Theorem 1.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1 \geq 1} \frac{q^{n_1}}{(1 - q^{n_1})^2 (q^{n_1+1})_\infty} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} \frac{q^{n_2}}{(1 - q^{n_2})^2 (q^{n_2+1})_{n_1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{nq^n}{1 - q^n} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{(q)_\infty} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{Z} \\ n \neq 0}} \frac{(-1)^n q^{3n(n+1)/2}}{(1 - q^n)^4}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

E-mail address: alexpatk@hotmail.com.

Define the sequence $SPT^+(n)$, to be

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} SPT^+(n)q^n = \sum_{n_1 \geq 1} \frac{q^{n_1}}{(1 - q^{n_1})^2 (q^{n_1+1})_\infty} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} \frac{q^{n_2}}{(1 - q^{n_2})^2 (q^{n_2+1})_{n_1}}.$$

$SPT^+(n)$ counts the number of partition pairs (λ, μ) with weight $w(\lambda, \mu)$ where λ is a partition, μ is a partition with the difference between its largest parts and the smallest parts bounded by the smallest part of λ , and $w(\lambda, \mu)$ is the product of the number of smallest parts of λ and the number of smallest parts of μ . Paraphrasing our [Theorem 1.1](#) in terms of partitions and the rank generating function, we may now claim the following corollary, which we state as our next theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For each natural number n , we have,*

$$SPT^+(n) = \frac{5}{72}M_4(n) - \frac{1}{6}n^2p(n) + \frac{1}{36}np(n) - \eta_4(n). \quad (1.2)$$

A natural consequence of [Theorem 1.2](#) and known congruences for $p(n)$, $M_4(n)$, and $\eta_4(n)$ is the following result.

Theorem 1.3. *We have,*

$$SPT^+(7n) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}, \quad (1.3)$$

$$SPT^+(11n) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}. \quad (1.4)$$

As an example, the partition pairs of 3 under this condition are $(\lambda$'s in the first component, μ 's in the second) $(3, 1)$, $(2 + 1, 1)$, $(1 + 1 + 1, 1)$, $(2, 2)$, $(2, 1 + 1)$, $(1 + 1, 2)$, $(1 + 1, 1 + 1)$, $(1, 3)$, $(1, 2 + 1)$, $(1, 1 + 1 + 1)$. The products of the number of smallest parts of the components yield $1 + 1 + 3 + 1 + 2 + 2 + 4 + 1 + 1 + 3 = 19$.

2. Proofs of theorems

In order to prove our results we need to state the $s = 2$ case of the s -fold Bailey lemma given in [\[5\]](#).

2-fold Bailey's Lemma [\[5, Theorem 1\]](#) *We define a pair of sequences $(\alpha_{n_1, n_2}, \beta_{n_1, n_2})$ to be a 2-fold Bailey pair with respect to a_j , $j = 1, 2$, if*

$$\beta_{n_1, n_2} = \sum_{r_1 \geq 0} \sum_{r_2 \geq 0} \frac{\alpha_{r_1, r_2}}{(a_1 q; q)_{n_1 + r_1} (q; q)_{n_1 - r_1} (a_2 q; q)_{n_2 + r_2} (q; q)_{n_2 - r_2}}. \quad (2.1)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} (x)_{n_1} (y)_{n_1} (z)_{n_2} (w)_{n_2} (a_1 q / xy)^{n_1} (a_2 q / zw)^{n_2} \beta_{n_1, n_2} \\ &= \frac{(a_1 q / x)_\infty (a_1 q / y)_\infty (a_2 q / z)_\infty (a_2 q / w)_\infty}{(a_1 q)_\infty (a_1 q / xy)_\infty (a_2 q)_\infty (a_2 q / zw)_\infty} \sum_{n_1 \geq 0} \sum_{n_2 \geq 0} \frac{(x)_{n_1} (y)_{n_1} (z)_{n_2} (w)_{n_2} (a_1 q / xy)^{n_1} (a_2 q / zw)^{n_2} \alpha_{n_1, n_2}}{(a_1 q / x)_{n_1} (a_1 q / y)_{n_1} (a_2 q / z)_{n_2} (a_2 q / w)_{n_2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

In a paper by Joshi and Vyas [\[10\]](#), we find they have studied the special case of the s -fold extension. In our interest we will consider their use of the 2-fold extension of Bailey's lemma where they have chosen the α_{n_1, n_2} to be $\alpha_{n_1, n_2} = \alpha_n$, when $n_1 = n_2 = n$, and 0 otherwise. In particular, we find [\[10\]](#) that $(\alpha_{n_1, n_2}, \beta_{n_1, n_2})$ is a 2-fold Bailey pair with respect to $a_j = 1$, $j = 1, 2$, where $\alpha_{0,0} = 1$,

$$\alpha_{n_1, n_2} = \begin{cases} (-1)^n q^{n(3n-1)/2} (1 + q^n), & \text{if } n_1 = n_2 = n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\beta_{n_1, n_2} = \frac{1}{(q)_{n_1} (q)_{n_2} (q)_{n_1 + n_2}}. \quad (2.4)$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Differentiating [\(2.2\)](#) in the same manner as in [\[14\]](#) but appealing to all variables x, y, z, w , when setting equal to 1 (after setting $a_1 = a_2 = 1$),

$$\sum_{n_1 \geq 1} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} (q)_{n_1-1}^2 (q)_{n_2-1}^2 \beta_{n_1, n_2} q^{n_1 + n_2} = \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n q^n}{1 - q^n} \right)^2 + \sum_{n_1 \geq 1} \sum_{n_2 \geq 1} \frac{\alpha_{n_1, n_2} q^{n_1 + n_2}}{(1 - q^{n_1})^2 (1 - q^{n_2})^2}. \quad (2.5)$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8902817>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8902817>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)