Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### **Discrete Mathematics**

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc

## The confirmation of a conjecture on disjoint cycles in a graph\*

Fuhong Ma, Jin Yan\*

School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, China

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 13 November 2017 Received in revised form 29 June 2018 Accepted 3 July 2018 ABSTRACT

Let *t* and *k* be two integers with  $t \ge 5$  and  $k \ge 2$ . For a graph *G* and a vertex *x* of *G*, we use  $d_G(x)$  to denote the degree of *x* in *G*. Define  $\sigma_t(G)$  to be the minimum value of  $\sum_{x \in X} d_G(x)$ , where *X* is an independent set of *G* with |X| = t. This paper proves the following conjecture proposed by Gould et al. (2018). If *G* is a graph of sufficiently large order with  $\sigma_t(G) \ge 2kt - t + 1$ , then *G* contains *k* vertex-disjoint cycles.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Disjoint Cycle Degree sum

#### 1. Introduction

This paper considers only finite undirected simple graphs. For a simple graph *G*, we denote by V(G), E(G), |V(G)| and e(G) the vertex set, the edge set, the order and the number of edges of *G*, respectively. Simply write |V(G)| as |G|. A set of subgraphs of *G* is *vertex-disjoint* (*or simply disjoint*) if no two of them have any vertex in common. The *independence number* of *G* is  $\alpha(G)$ . For a vertex  $x \in V(G)$ , the *neighborhood* of x in *G* is denoted by  $N_G(x)$ , and  $d_G(x) = |N_G(x)|$  is the degree of x in *G*. A complete graph of order n is denoted by  $K_n$ . The minimum degree of *G* is  $\delta(G)$ , and

 $\sigma_t(G) = \min\{\sum_{x \in X} d_G(x) : X \text{ is an independent set of } G \text{ with } |X| = t\}.$ 

We define  $\sigma_t(G) = \infty$  if  $\alpha(G) \le t - 1$ . For two disjoint graphs *G* and *H*, we use *mG* and  $G \cup H$  to denote *m* copies of *G* and the union of *G* and *H*, respectively. The graph G + H is got by joining each vertex of *G* to each vertex of *H*.

In this paper, we consider degree sum conditions and the existence of disjoint cycles. Finding proper conditions for disjoint cycles is an interesting problem. In 1962 [6], Erdős and Pósa found a condition concerning the number of edges to ensure the existence of two disjoint cycles. They proved that if *G* is a graph of order  $n \ge 6$  with  $e(G) \ge 3n - 6$ , then *G* has two disjoint cycles or  $G \cong K_3 + (n - 3)K_1$ . In 1963 [4], Dirac gave a minimum degree condition for *k* disjoint triangles. He proved that for  $k \ge 1$ , any graph *G* with order  $n \ge 3k$  and  $\delta(G) \ge (n + k)/2$  contains *k* disjoint triangles. For general case, Corrádi and Hajnal proved a classical result.

**Theorem 1** (Corrádi and Hajnal [3]). Suppose that  $|G| \ge 3k$  and  $\delta(G) \ge 2k$ . Then G contains k disjoint cycles.

Justesen improved Theorem 1 as follows.

**Theorem 2** (Justesen [10]). Suppose that  $|G| \ge 3k$  and  $\sigma_2(G) \ge 4k$ . Then G contains k disjoint cycles.

\* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.07.003 0012-365X/© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.





lpha This work is supported by NNSF of China (No. 11671232) and NSF of Shandong Province (No. ZR2017MA018).

E-mail address: yanj@sdu.edu.cn (J. Yan).

The degree condition in Theorem 2 is not sharp. Later, Enomoto and Wang independently improved Theorem 2 and got a sharp degree bound.

**Theorem 3** (Enomoto [5], Wang [12]). Suppose that  $|G| \ge 3k$  and  $\sigma_2(G) \ge 4k - 1$ . Then G contains k disjoint cycles.

Fujita, Matsumura, Tsugaki and Yamashita [7] gave a sharp degree sum condition on three independent vertices by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 4** (Fujita et al. [7]). Suppose that  $k \ge 2$  and  $|G| \ge 3k + 2$ . If  $\sigma_3(G) \ge 6k - 2$ , then G contains k disjoint cycles.

Recently, Gould, Hirohata and Keller proposed a more general conjecture.

**Conjecture 1** (Gould et al. [8]). Let G be a graph of sufficiently large order. If  $\sigma_t(G) \ge 2kt - t + 1$  for any two integers k and t with  $k \ge 2$  and  $t \ge 4$ , then G contains k disjoint cycles.

They showed that the degree sum condition of the conjecture is sharp by giving a counterexample  $G = K_{2k-1} + mK_1$ , where *m* is an integer with  $m \ge t$ . The only independent vertices in *G* are those in  $mK_1$ . Each of these vertices has degree 2k - 1. Thus  $\sigma_t(G) = t(2k - 1) = 2kt - t$  for any  $4 \le t \le m$ . Apparently, *G* does not contain *k* disjoint cycles as any cycle must contain two vertices of  $K_{2k-1}$ . In the same paper, they also verified that the case t = 4 is correct, which adds evidence for this conjecture.

In this paper, we solve Conjecture 1 for  $t \ge 5$  by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.** Suppose that  $k \ge 2$ ,  $t \ge 5$  are two integers and  $|G| \ge (2t - 1)k$ . If  $\sigma_t(G) \ge 2kt - t + 1$ , then G contains k disjoint cycles.

Other related results about disjoint cycles in graphs and bipartite graphs have been studied, we refer the reader to see [2,9,11–15].

**Remark.** In the following, we introduce some useful notations. The number of components of *G* is  $\omega(G)$ . For a subgraph *H* of *G* and a vertex  $x \in V(H)$ , we denote  $N_H(x) = N_G(x) \cap V(H)$  and  $d_H(x) = |N_H(x)|$ . If  $S \subseteq H$ , then define  $d_H(S) = \sum_{x \in S} d_H(x)$  and *G*[*S*] is the subgraph induced by *S*, and G - S = G[V(G) - S]. Let *X*, *Y* be two vertex-disjoint subsets or subgraphs of *G*, *E*(*X*, *Y*) denotes the set of edges of *G* joining a vertex in *X* and a vertex in *Y*. If  $X = \{x\}$ , we denote *E*(*x*, *Y*) instead of *E*({*x*}, *Y*). Let e(X, Y) = |E(X, Y)| and e(x, Y) = |E(x, Y)|. Moreover, we write  $X - x, X \cup x$  and  $X \cap Y = x$  instead of  $X - \{x\}, X \cup \{x\}$  and  $X \cap Y = \{x\}$ , respectively. We further define  $(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$  with  $d_1 \ge \cdots \ge d_n$  to be the *degree sequence* from *X* to *Y* if there exist *n* vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  in *X* such that  $e(v_i, Y) \ge d_i$  for each  $1 \le i \le n$ . A forest is a graph each of whose components is a tree. A leaf is a vertex of a forest whose degree is at most 1.

#### 2. Lemmas

To prove Theorem 5, we make use of the following lemmas.

Let  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  be *k* disjoint cycles of a graph *G*. If  $C'_1, \ldots, C'_k$  are *k* disjoint cycles of *G* and  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^k V(C'_i)| < |\bigcup_{i=1}^k V(C_i)|$ , then we say  $\{C'_1, \ldots, C'_k\}$  shorter cycles than  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$ . We also say  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$  minimal if *G* does not contain *k* disjoint cycles  $C'_1, \ldots, C'_k$  such that  $|\bigcup_{i=1}^k V(C'_i)| < |\bigcup_{i=1}^k V(C_i)|$ .

**Lemma 1** ([7]). Let k be a positive integer and let  $C_1, \ldots, C_k$  be k disjoint cycles of a graph G. If  $\{C_1, \ldots, C_k\}$  is minimal, then  $e(x, C_i) \leq 3$  for any  $x \in V(G) - \bigcup_{i=1}^k V(C_i)$  and for any  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Furthermore,  $e(x, C_i) = 3$  implies  $|C_i| = 3$  and  $e(x, C_i) = 2$  implies  $|C_i| \leq 4$ .

In what follows, we will use Lemmas 2 and 3 to prove Lemmas 4 and 5, respectively.

**Lemma 2** ([7]). Suppose that *F* is a forest with at least two components and *C* is a triangle which is disjoint from *F*. Let  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  be leaves of *F* from at least two components. If  $e(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, C) \ge 7$ , then there are two disjoint cycles in  $G[F \cup C]$  or there exists a triangle *C'* in  $G[F \cup C]$  such that  $\omega(G[F \cup C] - C') < \omega(F)$ .

**Lemma 3** ([7]). Let *C* be a cycle and let *T* be a tree with three leaves  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , where *C* and *T* are disjoint. If  $e(\{x_1, x_2, x_3\}, C) \ge 7$ , then there exist two disjoint cycles in  $G[C \cup T]$  or there exists a cycle *C'* in  $G[C \cup T]$  such that |C'| < |C|.

**Lemma 4.** Suppose that *F* is a forest with at least two components, *C* is a triangle disjoint from *F* and  $t \ge 3$  is an integer. Let  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_t$  be leaves of *F* from at least two components. If  $e(\{x_1, x_2, ..., x_t\}, C) \ge 2t + 1$ , then there are two disjoint cycles in  $G[F \cup C]$  or there exists a triangle *C'* in  $G[F \cup C]$  such that  $\omega(G[F \cup C] - C') < \omega(F)$ .

Download English Version:

# https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8902888

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8902888

Daneshyari.com