



On two unimodal descent polynomials

Shishuo Fu^a, Zhicong Lin^{b,c,*}, Jiang Zeng^d

^a College of Mathematics and Statistics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, PR China

^b School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, PR China

^c CAMP, National Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Daejeon 305-811, Republic of Korea

^d Institut Camille Jordan, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 5 July 2016

Received in revised form 2 June 2018

Accepted 4 June 2018

Keywords:

Separable permutations

Large Schröder numbers

Derangements

γ -positive

Spiral property

ABSTRACT

The descent polynomials of separable permutations and derangements are both demonstrated to be unimodal. Moreover, we prove that the γ -coefficients of the first are positive with an interpretation parallel to the classical Eulerian polynomial, while the second is spiral, a property stronger than unimodality. Furthermore, we conjecture that they are both real-rooted.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Many polynomials with combinatorial meanings have been shown to be unimodal; see the recent survey of Brändén [3]. Recall that a polynomial $h(t) = \sum_{i=0}^d h_i t^i$ of degree d is said to be *unimodal* if the coefficients are increasing and then decreasing, i.e., there is an index c such that $h_0 \leq h_1 \leq \dots \leq h_c \geq h_{c+1} \geq \dots \geq h_d$. Let $p(t) = a_r t^r + a_{r+1} t^{r+1} + \dots + a_s t^s$ be a real polynomial with $a_r \neq 0$ and $a_s \neq 0$. It is called *palindromic* (or *symmetric*) of centre $n/2$ if $n = r + s$ and $a_{r+i} = a_{s-i}$ for $0 \leq i \leq n/2$. For example, polynomials $1 + t$ and t are palindromic of centre $1/2$ and 1 , respectively. Any palindromic polynomial $p(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ can be written uniquely [3,20] as

$$p(t) = \sum_{k=r}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k t^k (1+t)^{n-2k},$$

where $\gamma_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\gamma_k \geq 0$ then we say that it is γ -positive of centre $n/2$. It is clear that the γ -positivity implies palindromicity and unimodality. Three prototypes of combinatorial γ -positive polynomials are the binomial polynomials $(1+x)^n$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Eulerian polynomials and Narayana polynomials; see (1.1) and (1.2). For further γ -positivity results and problems, the reader is referred to the excellent exposition by Petersen [16] and the most recent survey by Athanasiadis [1]. The aim of this paper is to provide two new families of combinatorial unimodal polynomials, of which one is γ -positive (Theorem 1.1) and another is not palindromic but has spiral property, which also implies the unimodality (Theorem 1.2).

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the set of all permutations of $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, written as $\pi = \pi_1 \pi_2 \dots \pi_n$, an index $i \in [n]$ is a *descent* (resp. *double descent*) of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ (resp. $\pi_{i-1} > \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$), where $\pi_0 = \pi_{n+1} = +\infty$. Denote

* Corresponding author at: School of Science, Jimei University, Xiamen 361021, PR China.

E-mail addresses: fsshuo@cqu.edu.cn (S. Fu), lin@nims.re.kr (Z. Lin), zeng@math.univ-lyon1.fr (J. Zeng).

by $\text{des}(\pi)$ and $\text{dd}(\pi)$ the number of descents and double descents of π , respectively. It is known [8,16] (see also [15]) that the descent polynomial on $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is the n th Eulerian polynomial, which is γ -positive of centre $(n-1)/2$:

$$A_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}^A t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\gamma_{n,k}^A = \#\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \text{dd}(\pi) = 0, \text{des}(\pi) = k\}$.

Patterns in permutations have been extensively studied in the literature (see for instance Kitaev's book [13]). A permutation π is said to contain the permutation σ if there exists a subsequence of (not necessarily consecutive) entries of π that has the same relative order as σ , and in this case σ is said to be a pattern of π ; otherwise, π is said to avoid σ . The set of permutations avoiding patterns $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r$ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{S}_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$. The descent polynomial over $\mathfrak{S}_n(231)$ is the n th Narayana polynomial [16, Chapter 2], which is also γ -positive of centre $(n-1)/2$; see [16, Theorem 4.2] or [17, Proposition 11.14] for an equivalent statement:

$$N_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}^N t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \quad (1.2)$$

where $\gamma_{n,k}^N = \#\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231) : \text{dd}(\pi) = 0, \text{des}(\pi) = k\}$.

A permutation avoiding patterns 2413 and 3142 is called a *separable permutation*. It is known (see [18,21]) that separable permutations are counted by the *large Schröder numbers*. The first few numbers are 1, 2, 6, 22, 90, 394, 1806, see [oeis:A006318](#). Our first main result is the following γ -expansion for the descent polynomial on separable permutations.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$S_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(2413, 3142)} t^{\text{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{k \geq 0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_{n,k}^S t^k (1+t)^{n-1-2k}, \quad (1.3)$$

where $\gamma_{n,k}^S = \#\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(2413, 3142) : \text{dd}(\pi) = 0, \text{des}(\pi) = k\}$. Consequently, the polynomial $S_n(t)$ is γ -positive and a fortiori, palindromic and unimodal.

For example, the first expansions of $S_n(t)$ read as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(t) &= 1; \quad S_2(t) = 1 + t; \\ S_3(t) &= 1 + 4t + t^2 = (1+t)^2 + 2t; \\ S_4(t) &= 1 + 10t + 10t^2 + t^3 = (1+t)^3 + 7t(1+t); \\ S_5(t) &= 1 + 20t + 48t^2 + 20t^3 + t^4 = (1+t)^4 + 16t(1+t)^2 + 10t^2; \\ S_6(t) &= 1 + 35t + 161t^2 + 161t^3 + 35t^4 + t^5 = (1+t)^5 + 30t(1+t)^3 + 61t^2(1+t). \end{aligned}$$

The palindromicity $S_n(t) = t^{n-1}S_n(1/t)$ follows from the involution

$$\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n \mapsto \pi_n \pi_{n-1} \cdots \pi_1$$

and the fact that $\mathfrak{S}_n(2413, 3142)$ is invariant under this involution. Though this class of palindromic polynomials already exists in OEIS (see [oeis:A175124](#)), its interpretation as descent polynomials of separable permutations seems new. Note that both (1.1) and (1.2) can be proved using the *modified Foata–Strehl action* (see [2,9,15]) on $\mathfrak{S}_n$, but since $\mathfrak{S}_n(2413, 3142)$ is not invariant under this action, it is unclear how Theorem 1.1 could be deduced by the same manner.

A *derangement* is a fixed-point free permutation. Let $\mathfrak{D}_n$ be the set of derangements in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and consider the descent polynomial of derangements

$$D_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{D}_n} t^{\text{des}(\pi)}.$$

The first few values of $D_n(t)$ are listed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} D_2(t) &= t; \quad D_3(t) = 2t; \\ D_4(t) &= 4t + 4t^2 + t^3; \\ D_5(t) &= 8t + 24t^2 + 12t^3; \\ D_6(t) &= 16t + 104t^2 + 120t^3 + 24t^4 + t^5; \\ D_7(t) &= 32t + 392t^2 + 896t^3 + 480t^4 + 54t^5. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following spiral property for $D_n(t)$.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8902903>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8902903>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)