



An infinite family of cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups



Jiyong Chen^a, Binzhou Xia^{b,*}, Jin-Xin Zhou^c

^a School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, 100871, PR China

^b School of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, VIC 3010, Australia

^c Department of Mathematics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 24 May 2017

Received in revised form 26 January 2018

Accepted 1 February 2018

Available online 22 February 2018

Keywords:

Nonnormal Cayley graphs

Cubic graphs

Simple groups

ABSTRACT

We construct a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph on A_{2m-1} for each integer $m \geq 4$ and determine its full automorphism group. This is the first infinite family of connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups.

© 2018 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In this paper all graphs considered are finite, simple and undirected. Given a group G and an inverse-closed subset S of $G \setminus \{1\}$, the *Cayley graph* $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ on G with respect to S is the graph with vertex set G such that two vertices x and y are adjacent if and only if $yx^{-1} \in S$. Let \widehat{G} be the right regular representation of G . It is easy to see that \widehat{G} is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. Moreover, it was shown by Godsil [5] that the normalizer of \widehat{G} in $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$ is $\widehat{G} \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, S)$, where $\text{Aut}(G, S)$ is the group of automorphisms of G fixing S setwise. In particular, $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S)) = \widehat{G} \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, S)$ if and only if \widehat{G} is normal in $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. Viewing this, Xu in [14] introduced the concept of normal Cayley graphs: a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is said to be *normal* if \widehat{G} is normal in $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S))$. The study of normality of a Cayley graph plays an important role in the study of its automorphism group because once a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is known to be normal, to determine its full automorphism group one only needs to determine the group $\text{Aut}(G, S)$, which is usually much easier. For a survey paper on normality of Cayley graphs we refer the reader to [4].

The normality of cubic Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups has received considerable attention. It was proved in [12] that a connected cubic Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ with G nonabelian simple is normal if $\widehat{G} \rtimes \text{Aut}(G, S)$ is transitive on the edge set of $\text{Cay}(G, S)$. A graph is said to be *arc-transitive* if its automorphism group acts transitively on the set of arcs. In [15, 16] it was proved that the only connected arc-transitive cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are two Cayley graphs on A_{47} up to isomorphism, and their full automorphism groups are both isomorphic to A_{48} . On the other hand, examples of connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are very rare. Since the connected arc-transitive cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on nonabelian simple groups are only the above mentioned two graphs on A_{47} , we can concentrate on the non-arc-transitive case. In this context, one has the following theorem combining [2, Theorem 1.1] and [17, Theorem 1.2].

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: cjy1988@pku.edu.cn (J. Chen), binzhoux@unimelb.edu.au (B. Xia), jxzhou@bjtu.edu.cn (J.-X. Zhou).

Theorem 1.1 ([2,17]). *Let $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ be a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph on a nonabelian simple group G . If $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ is not arc-transitive, then one of the following holds:*

- (a) $G = A_{2^m-1}$ with $m \geq 3$;
- (b) G is a simple group of Lie type of even characteristic except $\text{PSL}_2(2^e)$, $\text{PSL}_3(2^e)$, $\text{PSU}_3(2^e)$, $\text{PSp}_4(2^e)$, $E_8(2^e)$, $F_4(2^e)$, ${}^2F_4(2^e)$, $G_2(2^e)$ and $\text{Sz}(2^e)$.

Until recently, connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graphs on the groups listed in Theorem 1.1 were only found for A_{15} and A_{31} [9]. In 2008, Feng, Lu and Xu asked the following question in their survey paper [4] on normality of Cayley graphs.

Question 1.2 ([4, Problem 5.9]). *Are there infinitely many connected nonnormal Cayley graphs of valency 3 or 4 on nonabelian simple groups?*

Question 1.2 in the valency 4 case has been answered by Wang and Feng [13] in the affirmative. In this paper, we answer the question in the remaining case. Our main result is Theorem 1.3, which gives a positive answer to Question 1.2.

Theorem 1.3. *For each integer $m \geq 4$, there exists a graph Γ_m satisfying:*

- (a) Γ_m is a connected cubic nonnormal Cayley graph on A_{2^m-1} ;
- (b) $\Gamma_m \cong \text{Cay}(A_{2^m-1}, S)$ for some set S of three involutions in A_{2^m-1} such that $\text{Aut}(A_{2^m-1}, S) = 1$;
- (c) $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_m) \cong A_{2^m}$.

We call a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ a *graphical regular representation* (GRR for short) of G if $\text{Aut}(\text{Cay}(G, S)) = \widehat{G}$. Note that a GRR is necessarily a normal Cayley graph, and a necessary condition for $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ to be a GRR is that $\text{Aut}(G, S) = 1$. In many circumstances it is shown that this condition is also sufficient, see for example [2,5,6]. More generally, a problem is posed in [2] to determine the groups G such that a Cayley graph $\text{Cay}(G, S)$ on G is a GRR of G if and only if $\text{Aut}(G, S) = 1$. We remark that our graph Γ_m in Theorem 1.3 as a Cayley graph on $G := A_{2^m-1}$ is not only nonnormal (and hence not a GRR) but also satisfies the condition $\text{Aut}(G, S) = 1$. It is also worth remarking that, although the graph Γ_m is not arc-transitive, it has local action C_2 so that it corresponds to a tetravalent arc-transitive graph in the standard way described in [11, Section 4.1].

The paper is organized as follows. We shall first give the construction of Γ_m for Theorem 1.3 in Section 2. Then the entirety of Section 3 will be devoted to proving the connectivity of Γ_m . Finally in Section 4 we prove the remaining properties of Γ_m described in Theorem 1.3, thus completing the proof of the theorem.

2. Construction of Γ_m

We first introduce some notation that is fixed throughout this paper. Let $m \geq 4$ be an integer,

$$H = \langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = (ab)^2 = 1 \rangle \times \langle c_1 \rangle \times \langle c_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-3} \rangle,$$

where c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-3} are involutions,

$$K = \langle a^2, b, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{m-3} \rangle = \langle a^2 \rangle \times \langle b \rangle \times \langle c_1 \rangle \times \langle c_2 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle c_{m-3} \rangle$$

and $h = a \prod_{i=0}^{\lfloor (m-5)/2 \rfloor} c_{2i+1}$. Clearly, H is the direct product of a dihedral group D_8 of order 8 and an elementary abelian 2-group of rank $m-3$, so that $|H| = 2^m$. For the sake of convenience, put $c_{-1} = c_0 = 1$. Define $x \in \text{Aut}(H)$ by letting

$$a^x = a^{-1}, \quad b^x = ab, \quad c_{2i+1}^x = c_{2i+1} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{2i+2}^x = a^2 c_{2i+1} c_{2i+2}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor (m-5)/2 \rfloor$ and letting $c_{m-3}^x = a^2 c_{m-3}$ in addition if m is even. Define $\tau \in \text{Aut}(K)$ by letting

$$(a^2)^\tau = b, \quad b^\tau = a^2, \quad c_{2i+1}^\tau = c_{2i-1} c_{2i} c_{2i+2} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{2i+2}^\tau = c_{2i-1} c_{2i} c_{2i+1}$$

for $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor (m-5)/2 \rfloor$ and letting $c_{m-3}^\tau = c_{m-3}$ in addition if m is even. Note that x and τ are indeed automorphisms of H and K because the images of generators under x and τ satisfy the defining relation for H and K , respectively. Denote the right regular representation of H by R . Let y be the permutation of H such that $g^y = g^\tau$ and

$$(hg)^y = \begin{cases} hg^\tau & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ hg^\tau c_{m-3} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \end{cases}$$

for $g \in K$. Let

$$z = \begin{cases} R(h)yR(h^{-1}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ R(h)yR(h^{-1}c_{m-3}) & \text{if } m \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

We will see that the three permutations x, y and z of H are all involutions in $\text{Alt}(H)$.

Lemma 2.1. *x, y and z are all involutions.*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8903001>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8903001>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)