Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Discrete Mathematics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/disc





CrossMark

Patterns in treeshelves

Jean-Luc Baril, Sergey Kirgizov, Vincent Vajnovszki*

LE2I CNRS, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, 21078 Dijon, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 23 November 2016 Received in revised form 17 July 2017 Accepted 24 July 2017 Available online 16 August 2017

Keywords: Binary increasing tree Pattern Statistic Popularity Bell/Euler(ian)/Lah number

ABSTRACT

We study the distribution and the popularity of left children on sets of treeshelves avoiding a pattern of size three. (Treeshelves are ordered binary increasing trees where every child is connected to its parent by a left or a right link.) The considered patterns are sub-treeshelves, and for each such a pattern we provide exponential generating function for the corresponding distribution and popularity. Finally, we present constructive bijections between treeshelves avoiding a pattern of size three and some classes of simpler combinatorial objects.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and notation

The study of patterns in permutations was first introduced by Knuth [13], and continues to be an active area of research today. Recently, patterns have been studied in contexts other than permutations, see for instance [5,16] where the combinatorial class under consideration are inversion sequences, which can be seen as an alternative representation for permutations. The present paper deals with treeshelves (formally defined below) which are still another class in bijection with permutations, and patterns are sub-treeshelves contained or avoided in a similar way as consecutive patterns in permutations or in inversion sequences. More precisely, we consider the class of unrestricted treeshelves and of those avoiding a pattern of size 3 (treeshelves avoiding a pattern of size 2 collapse trivially to a singleton set). We not only enumerate these classes for any avoider of size 2, but also give bivariate generating functions with respect to the size and to the number of occurrences of a second pattern of size 2, obtaining counting sequences which are not yet recorded in Sloane's Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences [20].

Treeshelves are particular classes of binary increasing trees, considered for example in Françon's work [10] in the context of data structures for binary search methods. An *increasing tree* of size *n*, is an ordered rooted tree with *n* nodes labeled by distinct integers in $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$, so that the sequences of labels are increasing along all branches starting at the root (and thus, the root is labeled by 1). A *binary increasing tree* (sometimes called 0–1–2 increasing tree) is an increasing tree where every node has at most two children. Many studies (e.g., [1,2,3,6,15]) investigate binary increasing trees, but very few deal with such trees endowed with the additional property that every child (including those with no siblings) is connected to its parent by either a left or a right link. We call such a binary increasing tree *treeshelf* (or *t-shelf* for short), and its size is the number of its nodes, see Fig. 1 for a size 7 t-shelf. We denote by \mathcal{B}_n the set of size *n* t-shelves, and \mathcal{B}_1 consists of the single one-node t-shelf. Often it is more convenient to represent graphically t-shelves by trees where edges are elongated so that

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2017.07.021 0012-365X/© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

E-mail addresses: jean-luc.baril@u-bourgogne.fr (J.-L. Baril), sergey.kirgizov@u-bourgogne.fr (S. Kirgizov), vvajnov@u-bourgogne.fr (V. Vajnovszki).



Fig. 1. The t-shelf corresponding to the permutation 5374621; dotted (dashed) lines correspond to an occurrence of the third (fourth) pattern in the set B_3 listed above.

vertex *i* is at level *i*, for each *i*. For example, the size 3 t-shelf

is represented by Λ , and $\sqrt[3]{2}$ is represented by Λ .

We denote $\bigcup_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{B}_n$ by \mathcal{B} , and $\bigcup_{n\geq 1} \mathcal{B}_n$ by \mathcal{B}^{\bullet} . The labeled tree rooted at the left child of the root of a t-shelf T becomes a t-shelf after appropriately relabeling its nodes, and in the following we refer to it as the *left t-shelf of T*, and similarly for the *right t-shelf of T*.

There is a bijection between \mathcal{B}_n and the set of permutations of size n, and so the cardinality of \mathcal{B}_n is n!. Indeed, to any t-shelf T in \mathcal{B}_n we can uniquely associate the length n permutation $\pi = \alpha(n - r(T) + 1)\beta$, where r(T) is the label of the root of T, and α (resp. β) is recursively defined from the left (resp. right) t-shelf of T (see again Fig. 1). As mentioned by Bergeron, Flajolet, and Salvy [1], this construction appears in [10] and thereafter recalled in Stanley's book [21]. Additional information (including historical notes) about binary and other families of increasing trees can be found for example in [1,4,1].

In this paper we are interested in the sets of t-shelves avoiding a pattern $P \in \mathcal{B}_3$, *i.e.*, the sets of those that do not contain any occurrence of P. The containment/avoidance of a pattern in a t-shelf can most easily be explained with examples. The avoidance of \checkmark in a t-shelf T means that T does not contain any node where the label of its left child is less than that of its right child. The t-shelf in Fig. 1 contains only one pattern \checkmark (illustrated by dashed lines), one pattern \checkmark (dotted) and avoids the pattern \checkmark .

Since the number of \checkmark patterns in a t-shelf is equal to the size of the t-shelf minus the number of \checkmark patterns, minus one, in the following we will consider only \checkmark patterns. Moreover, an occurrence of the \checkmark pattern is equivalent to that of a left child in the underlying tree of the t-shelf, we will refer to this pattern as a left child (similarly the pattern \checkmark corresponds to a right child). Also, since the patterns \checkmark and \checkmark are equivalent by symmetry, and so are the patterns \checkmark and \checkmark ,

and the patterns \uparrow and \land , we will consider only avoiders *P* in $\{\checkmark, \checkmark, \uparrow\}$.

T-shelves are labeled combinatorial objects, and so it is appropriate to use exponential generating functions (e.g.f.) for the enumerative analysis of them. In Section 2, for each of the avoiders *P* above mentioned, we consider the set $\mathcal{B}(P)$ of t-shelves avoiding *P*, or $\mathcal{B}^{\bullet}(P)$ when we restrict to non-empty t-shelves. We provide a bivariate exponential generating function for each $\mathcal{B}(P)$ with respect to the size and the number of left children, that is, function where the coefficient of $\frac{z^n y^k}{n!}$ in its series expansion is the number of t-shelves of size *n* having exactly *k* left children, and deduce the e.g.f. for $\mathcal{B}(P)$ with respect to the size. We also give the e.g.f. for the popularity of the left children among $\mathcal{B}(P)$, function where the coefficient of $\frac{z^n}{n!}$ in its series expansion is the total number of left children appearing in all size *n* t-shelves in $\mathcal{B}(P)$. These results are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Our method consists in constructing recursively the combinatorial class in question from two smaller classes, A_1 and A_2 , using the usual labeled product $A_1 \star A_2$ and the boxed product $A_1^{\Box} \star A_2$. The boxed product $A_1^{\Box} \star A_2$ is a subset of $A_1 \star A_2$ where the smallest label appears in the A_1 component. See [8] for more information about the boxed product and its application on labeled combinatorial structures.

Theorems 4–6 in Section 3 give constructive proofs of some results in Section 2, namely constructive bijections between: (i) t-shelves avoiding \checkmark and set partitions, (ii) unordered binary increasing trees where every node of degree one has either a left or a right child and t-shelves avoiding the pattern \checkmark , and (iii) unordered binary increasing trees and t-shelves avoiding the pattern \checkmark .

2. T-shelves avoiding a size 3 pattern

We begin this section by considering unrestricted t-shelves, then we extend our approach to those avoiding a pattern in $\{\checkmark, \checkmark, \checkmark, \land\} \in \mathcal{B}_3$.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8903204

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8903204

Daneshyari.com