



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta



Non-spanning lattice 3-polytopes [☆]

Mónica Blanco ^a, Francisco Santos ^b

^a *Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, 17 Gauss Way, CA 94720 Berkeley, USA*

^b *Departamento de Matemáticas, Estadística y Computación, Universidad de Cantabria, Av. de Los Castros 48, 39005 Santander, Spain*



ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 12 December 2017

Available online xxxx

Keywords:

Lattice polytope

Spanning

Classification

3-dimensional

Lattice width

ABSTRACT

We completely classify non-spanning 3-polytopes, by which we mean lattice 3-polytopes whose lattice points do not affinely span the lattice. We show that, except for six small polytopes (all having between five and eight lattice points), every non-spanning 3-polytope P has the following simple description: $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^3$ consists of either (1) two lattice segments lying in parallel and consecutive lattice planes or (2) a lattice segment together with three or four extra lattice points placed in a very specific manner.

From this description we conclude that all the empty tetrahedra in a non-spanning 3-polytope P have the same volume and they form a triangulation of P , and we compute the h^* -vectors of all non-spanning 3-polytopes.

We also show that all spanning 3-polytopes contain a unimodular tetrahedron, except for two particular 3-polytopes with five lattice points.

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

[☆] Partially supported by grants MTM2014-54207-P and MTM2017-83750-P (both authors) and BES-2012-058920 (M. Blanco) of the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness, and by grant EVF-2015-230 of the Einstein Foundation Berlin (F. Santos). Both authors were also supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140 while they were in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California, during the Fall 2017 semester.

E-mail addresses: m.blanco.math@gmail.com (M. Blanco), francisco.santos@unican.es (F. Santos).

1. Introduction and statement of results

A lattice d -polytope is a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with vertices in \mathbb{Z}^d and with $\text{aff}(P) = \mathbb{R}^d$. We call *size* of P its number of lattice points and *width* the minimum length of the image $f(P)$ when f ranges over all affine non-constant functionals $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $f(\mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$. That is, the minimum lattice distance between parallel hyperplanes that enclose P .

In our papers [2–4] we have enumerated all lattice 3-polytopes of size 11 or less and of width greater than one. This classification makes sense thanks to the following result [2, Theorem 3]: *for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are only finitely many lattice 3-polytopes of width greater than one and with exactly n lattice points*. Here and in the rest of the paper we consider lattice polytopes modulo *unimodular equivalence* or *lattice isomorphism*. That is, we consider P and Q *isomorphic* (and write $P \cong Q$) if there is an affine automorphism $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ with $f(\mathbb{Z}^d) = \mathbb{Z}^d$ and $f(P) = Q$.

As a by-product of the classification we noticed that most lattice 3-polytopes are “lattice-spanning”, according to the following definition:

Definition. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a lattice d -polytope. We call *sublattice index* of P the index, as a sublattice of \mathbb{Z}^d , of the affine lattice generated by $P \cap \mathbb{Z}^d$. P is called *lattice-spanning* if it has index 1. We abbreviate sublattice index and lattice-spanning as *index* and *spanning*.

In this paper we completely classify non-spanning lattice 3-polytopes. Part of our motivation comes from the recent results of Hofscheier et al. [6,7] on h^* -vectors of spanning polytopes (see Theorem 7.1). In particular, in Section 7 we compute the h^* -vectors of all non-spanning 3-polytopes and show that they still satisfy the inequalities proved by Hofscheier et al. for spanning polytopes, with the exception of empty tetrahedra that satisfy them only partially.

In dimensions 1 and 2, every lattice polytope contains a unimodular simplex, i.e., a lattice basis, and is hence lattice-spanning. In dimension 3 it is easy to construct infinitely many lattice polytopes of width 1 and of any index $q \in \mathbb{N}$, generalizing White’s empty tetrahedra ([9]). Indeed, for any positive integers p, q, a, b with $\text{gcd}(p, q) = 1$ the lattice tetrahedron

$$T_{p,q}(a, b) := \text{conv}\{(0, 0, 0), (a, 0, 0), (0, 0, 1), (bp, bq, 1)\}$$

has index q , width 1, size $a + b + 2$ and volume abq (see a depiction of it in Fig. 1). Here and in the rest of the paper we consider the volume of lattice polytopes normalized to the lattice, so that it is always an integer and the normalized volume of a simplex $\text{conv}(v_0, \dots, v_d)$ equals its determinant $\left| \det \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \cdots v_d \\ 1 \cdots 1 \end{pmatrix} \right|$.

Lemma 1.1 (Corollary 3.3). *Every non-spanning 3-polytope of width one is isomorphic to some $T_{p,q}(a, b)$.*

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8903678>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8903678>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)