



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Combinatorial Theory,  
Series A

www.elsevier.com/locate/jcta



## On Boolean intervals of finite groups

Mamta Balodi<sup>a</sup>, Sebastien Palcoux<sup>b</sup><sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India<sup>b</sup> Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai, India

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 19 November 2016

Available online xxxx

## Keywords:

Group

Representation

Lattice

Boolean

Euler totient

Coset poset

Cohen–Macaulay

EL-labeling

## ABSTRACT

We prove a dual version of Øystein Ore’s theorem on distributive intervals in the subgroup lattice of finite groups, having a nonzero dual Euler totient  $\hat{\varphi}$ . For any Boolean group-complemented interval, we observe that  $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi \neq 0$  by the original Ore’s theorem. We also discuss some applications in representation theory. We conjecture that  $\hat{\varphi}$  is always nonzero for Boolean intervals. In order to investigate it, we prove that for any Boolean group-complemented interval  $[H, G]$ , the graded coset poset  $\hat{P} = \hat{C}(H, G)$  is Cohen–Macaulay and the nontrivial reduced Betti number of the order complex  $\Delta(P)$  is  $\hat{\varphi}$ , so nonzero. We deduce that these results are true beyond the group-complemented case with  $|G : H| < 32$ . One observes that they are also true when  $H$  is a Borel subgroup of  $G$ .

© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## Contents

1.	Introduction . . . . .	50
2.	Preliminaries . . . . .	52
	2.1. Lattices basics . . . . .	52
	2.2. Order complex . . . . .	53
	2.3. Cohen–Macaulay posets and edge labeling . . . . .	54
	2.4. GAP coding . . . . .	55
3.	Ore’s theorem and dual version . . . . .	55

E-mail addresses: mamta.balodi@gmail.com (M. Balodi), sebastien.palcoux@gmail.com (S. Palcoux).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcta.2018.02.004>

0097-3165/© 2018 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

3.1.	Ore’s theorem on Boolean intervals of finite groups . . . . .	55
3.2.	A dual version of Ore’s theorem . . . . .	56
3.3.	Applications to representation theory . . . . .	60
4.	Cohen–Macaulay coset poset . . . . .	62
4.1.	Möbius invariant of a coset poset . . . . .	62
4.2.	An edge labeling for $\hat{C}(H, G)$ . . . . .	64
4.3.	Examples . . . . .	66
	Acknowledgments . . . . .	68
	References . . . . .	68

---

### 1. Introduction

An extension of Øystein Ore’s result [11, Theorem 7] into the framework of planar algebras was investigated by the second author. It led to [12, Conjecture 1.6] which admits two group-theoretical translations dual to each other [12, Theorem 6.11]. One of them recovers the original theorem and the other is the dual version which we investigate here.

Throughout the paper, an *interval of finite groups*  $[H, G]$  will always mean an interval in the subgroup lattice of the finite group  $G$ , with  $H$  as a subgroup.

Section 2 consists of some basics (which are freely used in this introduction) about lattices, order complex, Cohen–Macaulay posets, edge labeling and GAP coding. In Section 3, we first prove a generalization of the following Ore’s theorem to any top Boolean interval.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $[H, G]$  be a distributive interval of finite groups. Then there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\langle H, g \rangle = G$ .*

Then we investigate a dual version.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $[H, G]$  be an interval of finite groups. Its *Euler totient*  $\varphi(H, G)$  is the number of cosets  $Hg$  such that  $\langle Hg \rangle = G$ . Note that  $\langle Hg \rangle = \langle H, g \rangle$ .

Similar to Hall’s argument in [9], for any  $K \in [H, G]$ ,  $\sum_{L \in [H, K]} \varphi(H, L)$  is precisely  $|K : H|$ , so by Möbius inversion formula,

$$\varphi(H, G) = \sum_{K \in [H, G]} \mu(K, G) |K : H|.$$

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $[H, G]$  be an interval of finite groups. Its *dual Euler totient* is

$$\hat{\varphi}(H, G) := \sum_{K \in [H, G]} \mu(H, K) |G : K|.$$

Let  $[T, G]$  be the top interval of  $[H, G]$ . By the crosscut theorem [16, Corollary 3.9.4],  $\mu(L, G) = 0$  for all  $L \in [H, G] \setminus [T, G]$ , so

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8903746>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8903746>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)