



Virtual Special Issue – Real and Complex Singularities and their applications in Geometry and Topology

## A note on distinguished bases of singularities<sup>☆</sup>



Wolfgang Ebeling

*Institut für Algebraische Geometrie, Leibniz Universität Hannover, Postfach 6009,  
D-30060 Hannover, Germany*

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 8 December 2016  
Received in revised form 30 May 2017  
Accepted 17 July 2017  
Available online 24 November 2017

Dedicated to the memory of Egbert Brieskorn with great admiration

*MSC:*  
32S30  
57R45  
58K40

#### Keywords:

Singularity  
Distinguished basis of vanishing cycles  
Coxeter–Dynkin diagram  
Braid group  
Intersection number

### ABSTRACT

For an isolated hypersurface singularity which is neither simple nor simple elliptic, it is shown that there exists a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles which contains two basis elements with an arbitrary intersection number. This implies that the set of Coxeter–Dynkin diagrams of such a singularity is infinite, whereas it is finite for the simple and simple elliptic singularities. For the simple elliptic singularities, it is shown that the set of distinguished bases of vanishing cycles is also infinite. We also show that some of the hyperbolic unimodal singularities have Coxeter–Dynkin diagrams like the exceptional unimodal singularities.

© 2017 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 0. Introduction

Let  $f : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  be the germ of an analytic function with an isolated singularity at the origin defining a singularity  $(X_0, 0)$  where  $X_0 = f^{-1}(0)$ . We assume  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . (This can be achieved by a stabilization of the singularity.) An important invariant of the singularity  $(X_0, 0)$  is the symmetric bilinear intersection form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on the Milnor lattice of  $f$ . It is well known that this intersection form is negative definite if and only if the singularity is simple, it is negative semidefinite if and only if the singularity is simple elliptic and it is indefinite otherwise. It follows from the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality that for negative definite symmetric bilinear forms, the only values of  $\langle x, y \rangle$  for non-collinear vectors  $x, y$  with  $\langle x, x \rangle = \langle y, y \rangle = -2$  are

<sup>☆</sup> Partially supported by the DFG-programme SPP1388 “Representation Theory”.

*E-mail address:* [ebeling@math.uni-hannover.de](mailto:ebeling@math.uni-hannover.de).

0, ±1. For semidefinite symmetric bilinear forms, also the values ±2 can be achieved. Another invariant of the singularity is the class of distinguished bases of vanishing cycles  $\mathcal{B}^*$  of the singularity [6]. The intersection matrix with respect to a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles is encoded by a graph, a Coxeter–Dynkin diagram of the singularity. In this way, the class  $\mathcal{B}^*$  gives rise to the class  $\mathcal{D}^*$  of Coxeter–Dynkin diagrams with respect to distinguished bases of vanishing cycles. Here we consider questions related to the finiteness of these sets. N. A’Campo [1] has shown that if  $f$  has corank 2, then there exists a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles for  $f$  such that the mutual intersection numbers are only 0 or ±1. Here we are interested in the opposite question: For which singularities do there exist distinguished bases of vanishing cycles such that there are pairs of basis elements with an arbitrary intersection number? We show that such bases exist for all isolated hypersurface singularities which are neither simple nor simple elliptic. This implies that for these singularities the sets  $\mathcal{B}^*$  and  $\mathcal{D}^*$  are infinite. For the simple singularities, both sets are finite. We show that for the simple elliptic singularities the set  $\mathcal{D}^*$  is finite, but not the set  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . In this way, we obtain a characterisation of simple and simple elliptic singularities. For the proof, we show among other things that the hyperbolic singularities  $T_{3,3,4}$ ,  $T_{2,4,5}$ , and  $T_{2,3,7}$  have Coxeter–Dynkin diagrams like the exceptional unimodal singularities.

### 1. Distinguished bases of vanishing cycles

We briefly recall the definition of distinguished bases of vanishing cycles.

Let  $f_\lambda : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a *morsification* of  $f$ . This is a perturbation of (a representative of)  $f$  (i.e.,  $f_0 = f$ ) defined in a suitable neighbourhood  $U$  of the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , depending on a parameter  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and such that, for  $\lambda \neq 0$  small enough, the function  $f_\lambda$  has only non-degenerate critical points with distinct critical values. The number of these critical points is equal to the Milnor number  $\mu$  of the germ  $f$ . Choose a small closed disc  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{C}$  which contains all the  $\mu$  critical values of  $f_\lambda$  in its interior. Let  $\mathcal{X} := f_\lambda^{-1}(\Delta) \cap B_\varepsilon$  and  $X_t := f_\lambda^{-1}(t) \cap B_\varepsilon$  for  $t \in \Delta$  where  $B_\varepsilon$  is the ball of radius  $\varepsilon$  around the origin in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$  are chosen so small that all the critical points of the function  $f_\lambda$  are contained in the interior of  $\mathcal{X}$  and the fibre  $f_\lambda^{-1}(t)$  for  $t \in \Delta$  intersects the ball  $B_\varepsilon$  transversely. Choose a basepoint  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  on the boundary of this disc. Join the critical values to the base point  $s$  by a system of non-self-intersecting paths  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_\mu$  in  $\Delta$  meeting only at  $s$  and numbered in the order in which they arrive at  $s$ , where we count clockwise from the boundary of the disc (see, e.g., [10, Figure 5.3]). Each path  $\gamma_i$  gives a vanishing cycle  $\delta_i \in H_{n-1}(X_s; \mathbb{Z})$  determined up to orientation. It satisfies  $\langle \delta_i, \delta_i \rangle = -2$ . After choosing orientations we obtain a system  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\mu)$  of vanishing cycles which is in fact a basis of  $H_{n-1}(X_s; \mathbb{Z})$ . Such a basis is called a *distinguished basis of vanishing cycles*. Let  $\mathcal{B}^*$  be the set of distinguished bases of the singularity  $(X_0, 0)$ . There is an action of the braid group  $Z_\mu$  in  $\mu$  strings on the set  $\mathcal{B}^*$ . The standard generator  $\alpha_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \mu - 1$ , acts as follows. Let  $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\mu)$  be a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles and let  $s_\delta$  denote the reflection corresponding to  $\delta$  defined by  $s_\delta(x) = x + \langle x, \delta \rangle \delta$ . The action of  $\alpha_i$  is given by

$$\alpha_i : (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\mu) \mapsto (\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_\mu) = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{i-1}, s_{\delta_i}(\delta_{i+1}), \delta_i, \delta_{i+2}, \dots, \delta_\mu).$$

We have  $\langle \delta'_k, \delta'_j \rangle = \langle \delta_k, \delta_j \rangle$  for all  $1 \leq k, j \leq \mu$ ,  $k, j \neq i, i + 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \delta'_i, \delta'_{i+1} \rangle &= -\langle \delta_i, \delta_{i+1} \rangle, \\ \langle \delta'_k, \delta'_{i+1} \rangle &= \langle \delta_k, \delta_i \rangle, \\ \langle \delta'_k, \delta'_i \rangle &= \langle \delta_k, \delta_{i+1} \rangle + \langle \delta_i, \delta_{i+1} \rangle \langle \delta_k, \delta_i \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $k \neq i, i + 1$ . The inverse operation  $\alpha_i^{-1}$  is also denoted by  $\beta_{i+1}$  and is given by

$$\beta_{i+1} : (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_\mu) \mapsto (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{i-1}, \delta_{i+1}, s_{\delta_{i+1}}(\delta_i), \delta_{i+2}, \dots, \delta_\mu).$$

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8904224>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8904224>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)