Advances in Mathematics 331 (2018) 908-940



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Advances in Mathematics

www.elsevier.com/locate/aim

A Crossing Lemma for Jordan curves $\stackrel{\Rightarrow}{\sim}$



霐

MATHEMATICS

János Pach $^{\rm a,b,1},$ Natan Rubin $^{\rm c,*,2},$ Gábor Tardos $^{\rm b,3}$

^a EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

^b Rényi Institute, Budapest, Hungary

 $^{\rm c}$ Ben Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva, Israel

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history: Received 9 August 2017 Received in revised form 12 February 2018 Accepted 12 February 2018 Communicated by Benjamin Sudakov

Keywords: Extremal problems Combinatorial geometry Arrangements of curves Crossing Lemma Separators Contact graphs

ABSTRACT

If two Jordan curves in the plane have precisely one point in common, and there they do not properly cross, then the common point is called a *touching point*. The main result of this paper is a Crossing Lemma for simple curves: Let X and T stand for the sets of intersection points and touching points, respectively, in a family of n simple curves in the plane, no three of which pass through the same point. If |T| > cn, for some fixed constant c > 0, then we prove that $|X| = \Omega(|T|(\log \log(|T|/n))^{1/504})$. In particular, if $|T|/n \to \infty$, then the number of intersection points is much larger than the number of touching points.

As a corollary, we confirm the following long-standing conjecture of Richter and Thomassen: The total number of intersection points between n pairwise intersecting simple closed (i.e.,

* Corresponding author.

 $^{^{*}}$ Results of this paper have been partly reported in the Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms, [37].

E-mail addresses: pach@cims.nyu.edu (J. Pach), rubinnat.ac@gmail.com (N. Rubin), tardos@renyi.hu (G. Tardos).

¹ Supported by Swiss National Science Foundation Grants 200020-162884 and 200021-175977.

 $^{^2\,}$ The project leading to this application has received funding from European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (grant agreement No. 678765). Also supported by grant 1452/15 from Israel Science Foundation, by grant 2014384 from the United States-Israel Binational Science Foundation, and by Ralph Selig Career Development Chair in Information Theory.

 $^{^3}$ Supported by the "Lendület" Project in Cryptography of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and the National Research, Development and Innovation Office — NKFIH projects K-116769 and SNN-117879.

Jordan) curves in the plane, no three of which pass through the same point, is at least $(1 - o(1))n^2$. © 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc.

1. Introduction

1.1. Preliminaries

Arrangements of curves and surfaces. It was a fruitful and surprising discovery made in the 1980s that the Piano Mover's Problem and many other algorithmic and optimization questions in motion planning, ray shooting, computer graphics etc., boil down to computing certain elementary substructures (e.g., cells, envelopes, k-levels, or zones) in arrangements of curves in the plane and surfaces in higher dimensions [14,29,39,43]. Hence, the performance of the most efficient algorithms for the solution of such problems is typically determined by the *combinatorial complexity* of a single cell or a collection of several cells in the underlying arrangement, that is, the total number of their faces of all dimensions.

The study of arrangements has brought about a renaissance of Erdős-type combinatorial geometry. For instance, in the plane, Erdős's famous question [17] on the maximum number of times the unit distance can occur among n points in the plane can be generalized as follows [10]: What is the maximum total number of sides of n cells in an arrangement of n unit circles in the plane? In the limiting case, when k circles pass through the same point p (which is, therefore, at unit distance from k circle centers), p can be regarded as a degenerate cell with k sides.

Several beautiful paradigms have emerged as a result of this interplay between combinatorial and computational geometry, from the random sampling argument of Clarkson and Shor [11] through epsilon-nets (Haussler–Welzl [28]) to the discrepancy method (Chazelle [9]). It is worth noting that most of these tools are restricted to families of curves and surfaces of *bounded description complexity*. This roughly means that a curve in the family can be given by a bounded number of reals (like the coefficients of a bounded degree polynomial). For the exact definition, see [43].

Another tool that proved to be applicable to Erdős's questions on repeated distances is the *Crossing Lemma* of Ajtai, Chvátal, Newborn, Szemerédi and Leighton [3,32]. It states that no matter how we a draw a sufficiently dense graph G = (V, E) in the plane or on a fixed surface, the number of crossings between its edges is at least $\Omega(|E|^3/|V|^2)$.

In particular, this implies that if G has a lot more edges than vertices (that is, $|E|/n \rightarrow \infty$), then its number of crossings is much larger than its number of edges. The best known upper bound on the k-set problem [12], needed for the analysis of many important geometric algorithms, and the most elegant proofs of the Szemerédi–Trotter theorem [45], [46] on the maximum number of incidences between a set of points and a set of lines (or

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8904816

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8904816

Daneshyari.com