



The semi-infinite intersection cohomology sheaf



D. Gaitsgory

A R T I C L E I N F O

A B S T R A C T

Article history:

Received 13 March 2017

Accepted 13 March 2017

To David Kazhdan, with gratitude
and affection

We introduce the semi-infinite category of sheaves on the affine Grassmannian, and construct a particular object in it, which we call the semi-infinite intersection cohomology sheaf. We relate it to several other entities naturally appearing in the geometric Langlands theory.

© 2017 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords:

Semi-infinite flag manifold
Affine Grassmannian
Intersection Cohomology sheaf

Contents

0.	Introduction	790
0.1.	Our goals	790
0.2.	Relation to the work of Bouthier–Kazhdan	793
0.3.	What is done in this paper?	795
0.4.	What do we need $\mathrm{IC}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}$ for?	796
0.5.	Background and conventions	798
0.6.	Acknowledgments	801
1.	The semi-infinite category on the affine Grassmannian	801
1.1.	The category of sheaves on the affine Grassmannian	801
1.2.	Definition of the semi-infinite subcategory	802
1.3.	Semi-infinite orbits and standard functors	805
1.4.	Structure of the semi-infinite category	807
1.5.	The t-structure on the semi-infinite category	809
2.	Construction of the semi-infinite IC sheaf as a colimit	811
2.1.	The Langlands dual group	811
2.2.	Recollections on geometric Satake	813

E-mail address: gaitsgde@math.harvard.edu.

2.3.	Definition of the semi-infinite IC sheaf	815
2.4.	Proof of Proposition 2.3.7	816
2.5.	Fibers of the semi-infinite IC sheaf	818
2.6.	Tensor product maps in terms of geometric Satake	819
2.7.	Construction at the level of ∞ -categories	820
2.8.	Another way to view $\text{IC}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}$	823
3.	Relation to the IC sheaf of Drinfeld’s compactification	824
3.1.	Recollections on Drinfeld’s compactification	824
3.2.	Statement of the result	826
3.3.	Description of fibers	827
3.4.	Construction of the map	828
3.5.	Strategy of proofs of Theorems 3.2.2 and 3.2.4	831
3.6.	Recollections about the Zastava space	832
3.7.	Proof of Proposition 3.5.5(a)	835
3.8.	Proof of equivariance	836
3.9.	Proof of Proposition 3.6.5	838
4.	Digression: (dual) baby Verma objects	840
4.1.	The Iwahori category on the affine Grassmannian	840
4.2.	Recollections on the [ABG] theory	841
4.3.	Hecke patterns	844
4.4.	The (dual) baby Verma object: coherent side	847
4.5.	The geometric (dual) baby Verma object	850
4.6.	The twist by w_0	851
5.	The semi-infinite IC sheaf as a (dual) baby Verma object	853
5.1.	Hecke structure on the semi-infinite IC sheaf	853
5.2.	The semi-infinite vs Iwahori equivalence	855
5.3.	The semi-infinite IC sheaf as the baby Verma object	857
5.4.	Description of the abelian category	859
6.	The Drinfeld–Plücker formalism	860
6.1.	Drinfeld–Plücker structures	860
6.2.	From Drinfeld–Plücker structures to Hecke objects	862
6.3.	Construction of $\text{IC}^{\frac{\infty}{2}}$ and other objects from the Drinfeld–Plücker picture	865
References	868

0. Introduction

0.1. Our goals

0.1.1. This paper deals with sheaves on infinite-dimensional algebro-geometric objects. Specifically, we consider the *affine Grassmannian* of a reductive group G ,

$$\text{Gr}_G := G((t))/G[[t]],$$

and we consider sheaves that are equivariant with respect to the action of the group $N((t))$. We denote this category by $\text{SI}(\text{Gr}_G)$. Since $N((t))$ -orbits on Gr_G are all infinite-dimensional, objects from $\text{SI}(\text{Gr}_G)$ necessarily have infinite-dimensional support.

We refer the reader to Sect. 0.5.7, where we explain what we mean by sheaves on infinite-dimensional objects such as Gr_G , so that the category of $N((t))$ -equivariant sheaves makes sense. Let us mention, however, that in order to set up such a theory we need to work from the start with the derived category of sheaves (or, more precisely,

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8904976>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8904976>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)