Probability theory

Slow convergence in generalized central limit theorems

Convergence lente dans les théorèmes centraux limites généralisés

Christoph Börgers [a], Claude Greengard [b]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, Tufts University, Medford, MA, 02155, United States*
[b] *Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University and Foss Hill Partners, P.O. Box 938, Chappaqua, NY 10514, United States*

A B S T R A C T

We study the central limit theorem in the non-normal domain of attraction to symmetric α-stable laws for $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. We show that for i.i.d. random variables X_i, the convergence rate in L^∞ of both the densities and distributions of $\sum_i^n X_i/(n^{1/\alpha} L(n))$ is at best logarithmic if L is a non-trivial slowly varying function. Asymptotic laws for several physical processes have been derived using convergence of $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n \log n}$ to Gaussian distributions. Our result implies that such asymptotic laws are accurate only for exponentially large n.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Nous étudions le théorème central limite dans le domaine d'attraction non normal, vers des limites symétriques et α-stables, $0 < \alpha \leq 2$. Nous montrons que, pour les suites X_i i.i.d., les taux de convergence en L^∞ des densités et des distributions de $\sum_i^n X_i/(n^{1/\alpha} L(n))$ sont au plus logarithmiques si L est une fonction non triviale de variation lente. Plusieurs lois physiques asymptotiques sont basées sur la convergence des suites $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i/\sqrt{n \log n}$ vers des distributions gaussiennes. Nos résultats montrent que ces lois ne sont précises que pour n d'une grandeur exponentielle.

© 2018 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In the kinetic theory of gases and related areas, there are a number of limit laws that involve logarithmic scaling. Our interest in the subject was initiated by Börgers et al. [2], where we studied the evolution of free molecular flow in a region bounded by parallel plates with Maxwellian reflection on the boundaries. Using probabilistic methods, we showed that in the limit of vanishing gap height, diffusion occurs on the "anomalous" time scale $1/(h|\log h|)$, where h is the properly non-dimensionalized separation of the plates. More recently, Chumley et al. [5] have obtained diffusion results, both standard and anomalous, for related kinetic problems in a very broad class of geometries. Anomalous diffusion results

Doctopic: Probability theory

ARTICLE IN PRESS

CRASS1:6106

2

C. Börgers, C. Greengard / C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I ••• (••••) •••–•••

involving logarithmic scaling have also been obtained for Birkhoff sums in the stadium billiard problem [1], for diffusion in a Lorentz gas [7], for iterations of certain one-dimensional maps [8, page 88, remark 2], and in two-dimensional turbulence models [9].

In the research cited above, at the core of the results are central limit theorems for random variables of infinite variance. The limiting distributions are Gaussian, as in the classical central limit theorem, but the infinite variance of the summands necessitates scaling by $\sqrt{n \log n}$ instead of $\sqrt{n}$. The summands are independent and identically distributed, or, in the deterministic problems, have asymptotically vanishing correlations. The log term in the diffusion time scale is a consequence of the logarithmic scaling in the central limit theorem.

Here we consider, more generally, central limit theorems for random variables with infinite variance in which the limiting distribution is symmetric and α-stable, with $0 < \alpha \leq 2$ [4,15]; see [13] for an extensive bibliography on stable distributions and applications. We consider summands outside the normal domain of attraction; that is, we assume scaling not by $n^{1/\alpha}$ but by $n^{1/\alpha} L(n)$, where L is a slowly varying function such that $L(n)$ tends to 0 or to ∞ as $n \to \infty$. In the examples discussed earlier, $\alpha = 2$ and $L(n) = \sqrt{\log n}$.

A natural question is how long must one wait in order for the asymptotic result to provide a good approximation of the physical phenomenon being modeled. We will not attempt to review the considerable body of literature concerning rates of convergence *inside* the normal domain of attraction here. In this paper, we use a scaling argument to prove that convergence of the distribution and density functions in L^∞ is always slow *outside* the normal domain of attraction. We show that the rate of convergence cannot be better than inverse logarithmic. Hence any approximation based on a central limit theorem outside the normal domain of attraction of a stable distribution will be accurate only for an exponentially large number of summands.

The rates of convergence in central limit theorems depend critically on the shapes of the distributions and the corresponding scalings of the partial sums. The main results in this paper provide general best-case bounds on the rates of convergence. There are several results providing *exact* rates of convergence for special families of distributions and scalings outside the normal domain of attraction; see for instance Juozulynas and Paulauskas [10], Kuske and Keller [11], and Nándori [12]. We conclude this paper with an example of a family of random variables which have an $O(\log(\log n))/\log n$ rate of convergence under a natural scaling and the improved $O(1/\log n)$ rate of convergence under an alternative scaling.

We note that Cristadoro et al. [6] gave an analysis, supported by numerical simulations, of anomalous diffusion in the Lorentz gas, and found slow convergence to the limiting distribution.

2. Results

The two theorems in this paper demonstrate that rates of convergence outside the normal domain of attraction, with scaling $n^{1/\alpha} L(n)$, are at best of order $1 - L(n)/L(2n)$. The proposition following the theorems makes clear why we call this convergence slow and "at best logarithmic."

The first theorem provides a best-case bound on the rate of convergence of the error as measured by the Kolmogorov metric [14], d, which is defined as follows. Let X, Y be random variables, with corresponding distribution functions F_X, F_Y. The Kolmogorov distance between X and Y is

$$d(X, Y) = \|F_X - F_Y\|_\infty.$$

The proof of Theorem 1 relies on subadditivity properties of the Kolmogorov distance [3, Section 4.2]. We recall these properties in the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1. *Let X, Y, and Z be random variables, and assume that Z is independent of X and of Y. Then*

$$d(X + Z, Y + Z) \leq d(X, Y).$$

Proof. The distribution function of $X + Z$ is given by the Lebesgue–Stieltjes integral

$$F_{X+Z}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_X(s - z) \, dF_Z(z),$$

and similarly

$$F_{Y+Z}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_Y(s - z) \, dF_Z(z).$$