



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Functional analysis/Mathematical analysis

Remarks on the Monge–Kantorovich problem in the discrete setting

Remarques sur le problème de Monge–Kantorovich dans le cas discret

Haïm Brezis^{a,b,c}^a Department of Mathematics, Hill Center, Busch Campus, Rutgers University, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, USA^b Departments of Mathematics and Computer Science, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel^c Laboratoire Jacques-Louis-Lions, Université Pierre-et-Marie-Curie, 4, place Jussieu, 75252 Paris cedex 05, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 19 December 2017

Accepted 19 December 2017

Available online xxxx

Presented by Haïm Brezis

ABSTRACT

In Optimal Transport theory, three quantities play a central role: the minimal cost of transport, originally introduced by Monge, its relaxed version introduced by Kantorovich, and a dual formulation also due to Kantorovich. The goal of this Note is to publicize a very elementary, self-contained argument extracted from [9], which shows that all three quantities coincide in the discrete case.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

RÉSUMÉ

En théorie du transport optimal, trois quantités jouent un rôle central : le coût minimal de transport, introduit par Monge, sa version relaxée, introduite par Kantorovich, et la formulation duale, due aussi à Kantorovich. L'objet de cette note est de mettre en avant une démonstration totalement élémentaire, extraite de [9], du fait que ces trois quantités coïncident dans le cas discret ; cette preuve ne requiert aucune connaissance préalable.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Consider two sets X, Y consisting of m points (P_i) and (N_i) , $1 \leq i \leq m$, i.e.

$$X = \{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m\} \text{ and } Y = \{N_1, N_2, \dots, N_m\}.$$

Let $c : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be any function (c stands for “cost”). We introduce three quantities. The first one denoted M (for Monge) is defined by

E-mail address: brezis@math.rutgers.edu.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2017.12.008>

1631-073X/© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

$$M := \min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m} \sum_{i=1}^m c(P_i, N_{\sigma(i)}), \quad (1)$$

where the minimum is taken over the set \mathcal{S}_m of all permutations of the integers $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$. The second one, denoted K (for Kantorovich), is defined by

$$K := \min_A \left\{ \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} c(P_i, N_j); A = (a_{ij}) \text{ is doubly stochastic} \right\}. \quad (2)$$

Recall that a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is doubly stochastic if

$$a_{ij} \geq 0 \forall i, j, \quad \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall j, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij} = 1 \quad \forall i. \quad (3)$$

Finally define D (for duality) by

$$D := \sup_{\substack{\psi: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi(P_i) - \psi(N_i)); \varphi(x) - \psi(y) \leq c(x, y), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y \right\}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$M = K = D. \quad (5)$$

Moreover the “sup” in (4) is achieved.

Equality $K = D$ in [Theorem 1.1](#) is at the heart of Kantorovich’s pioneering discovery concerning the Monge problem (see [\[19\]](#) and [\[20\]](#)). Equality $M = K$ makes totally transparent the connection between Kantorovich’s formulation and Monge’s original goal (see item (3) in [Section 4](#) below). The purpose of this note is to advertise the MK (= Monge–Kantorovich) theory in its most elementary (but in itself striking and useful!) setting, as it appears, e.g., in Brezis–Coron–Lieb [\[11\]](#) (see [Section 3](#) and item (1) in [Section 4](#) below). This “primitive” case illuminates the foundations of the MK saga which has “exploded” in recent years; see, e.g., the remarkable works of [\[2\]](#), [\[3\]](#), [\[7\]](#), [\[15\]](#), [\[16\]](#), [\[17\]](#), [\[23\]](#), [\[24\]](#), [\[29\]](#), [\[34\]](#), [\[35\]](#), etc. I reproduce in [Section 2](#) an elementary self-contained proof of [Theorem 1.1](#) (accessible to first-year students), extracted from a presentation of [\[11\]](#) that I gave in 1985 (see [\[9\]](#)).

2. Proof of [Theorem 1.1](#)

Choosing for A in (2) a permutation matrix yields

$$K \leq M. \quad (6)$$

On the other hand, assume that φ and ψ are as in (4). Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a doubly stochastic matrix. Multiplying the inequalities $\varphi(P_i) - \psi(N_j) \leq c(P_i, N_j)$ by a_{ij} and summing over i, j yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^m (\varphi(P_i) - \psi(N_i)) \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} c(P_i, N_j). \quad (7)$$

Minimizing over A and maximizing over φ, ψ gives

$$D \leq K. \quad (8)$$

In view of (6) and (8), it suffices to establish that

$$M \leq D. \quad (9)$$

Proof of (9). Without loss of generality we may relabel the points (N_j) so that

$$M = \sum_{i=1}^m c(P_i, N_i) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m c(P_j, N_{\sigma(j)}) \quad \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{S}_m. \quad (10)$$

By (4) it remains to show that there exist functions $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $\psi : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8905529>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8905529>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)