



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I

www.sciencedirect.com



Logic/Topology

Restricting uniformly open surjections [☆]*Restrictions des surjections uniformément ouvertes*Tomasz Kania ^{a,b}, Martin Rmoutil ^{a,c}^a Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill Rd, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom^b Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 115 67 Prague 1, Czech Republic^c Department of Mathematics Education, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Prague 8, Czech Republic

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 10 July 2017

Accepted after revision 12 September 2017

Available online 19 September 2017

Presented by the Editorial Board

ABSTRACT

We employ the theory of elementary submodels to improve a recent result by Aron, Jaramillo and Le Donne (2017) [1] concerning restricting uniformly open, continuous surjections to smaller subspaces where they remain surjective. To wit, suppose that X and Y are metric spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection. If X is complete and f is uniformly open, then X contains a closed subspace Z with the same density as Y such that f restricted to Z is still uniformly open and surjective. Moreover, if X is a Banach space, then Z may be taken to be a closed linear subspace. A counterpart of this theorem for uniform spaces is also established.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

R É S U M É

Nous utilisons la théorie des sous-modèles élémentaires pour améliorer un résultat récent d'Aron, Jaramillo et Le Donne (2017) [1] sur les restrictions de surjections continues, uniformément ouvertes, à des sous-espaces où elles restent surjectives. Précisément, supposons que X et Y sont des espaces métriques et $f: X \rightarrow Y$ une surjection continue. Si X est complet et f est uniformément ouverte, alors X contient un sous-espace fermé Z de même densité que Y , tel que la restriction de f à Z est encore uniformément ouverte et surjective. De plus, si X est un espace de Banach, alors Z peut être pris sous-espace linéaire fermé. La contrepartie de ce théorème pour les espaces uniformes est aussi démontrée.

© 2017 Académie des sciences. Published by Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

[☆] The authors acknowledge with thanks funding received from the European Research Council; ERC Grant Agreement No. 291497.

E-mail addresses: tomasz.marcin.kania@gmail.com (T. Kania), martin@rmoutil.eu (M. Rmoutil).

1. Introduction

Recently, the problem of restricting surjective maps between metric spaces to *smaller* subspaces where they remain surjective attracted considerable attention due to a renewed interest in possible abstract extensions of the Morse–Sard theorem. By the Axiom of Choice, every surjection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ admits a right inverse $g: Y \rightarrow X$, whence the range of g is usually a smaller subspace of X on which f remains surjective. In the case where X carries an extra structure, the range of a (highly non-constructively chosen) g may be still quite large in a certain sense, though. Let us then make the problem more precise.

By the *density* of a topological space Z , we understand the smallest cardinality $\text{dens } Z$ of a dense subset of Z . Suppose that X and Y are metric spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function. It is natural to ask under what circumstances it should be possible to find a subspace Z of X with $\text{dens } Z = \text{dens } Y$ such that f restricted to Z is still surjective as a function into Y . Aron, Jaramillo and Ransford ([2]) proved that there exists a C^∞ -function from the non-separable Hilbert space $\ell_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ onto \mathbb{R}^2 , which fails to be surjective when restricted to any separable subset. On the positive side, Aron, Jaramillo and Le Donne ([1, Theorem 1]) proved that one may choose suitable Z when the domain of X is complete and f is continuous and uniformly open. However, it does not follow from their proof that the restriction may be taken uniformly open (or even open). (We state the definition of a uniformly open map in the subsequent section.)

Theorem A. *Suppose that X and Y are metric spaces and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection. If X is complete and f is uniformly open, then X contains a closed subspace Z with $\text{dens } Z = \text{dens } Y$ such that f restricted to Z is uniformly open and surjective. Moreover, if X is a Banach space, then Z may be taken to be a closed linear subspace.*

Theorem A indeed strengthens the conclusion of [1, Theorem 1] by uniform openness of $f|_Z$ as even restrictions of uniformly open bounded bilinear maps on Banach spaces to closed subspaces need not be uniformly open. For example, the restriction of multiplication in the space of continuous functions on the Cantor set, which is uniformly open, to certain closed subalgebras is no longer so (see [4, Section 3]).

When this work was at the stage of completion, Le Donne has kindly communicated to us the claim that in joint work with Jaramillo and Rajala they were able to relax the hypothesis of uniform openness of a continuous surjection to mere openness by reducing the proof to the previously established uniformly open case. Our result is of different nature though. We show that a uniformly open continuous surjection $f: X \rightarrow Y$ may be restricted to a uniformly open map on a subspace Z of X with $\text{dens } Z = \text{dens } Y$ in such a way that the range of f contains a dense subset of Y . Since the domain of f is complete and f is uniformly open, the range of f must be complete too, so it must be the whole Y . Thus, we shift our efforts from focusing on surjectivity of the restriction to showing mere uniform openness, which would automatically imply surjectivity.

Our seemingly overcomplicated proof is based on the method of elementary submodels, a part of model theory. It is fair to say that the proof itself could be modelled on the proof of Theorem 2.4, and the machinery from logic could possibly be avoided. However, we have good reasons not to do this. The advantage of our approach is the ease with which we may impose further requirements on Z , if needed. For example, if X carries an extra structure that can be expressed in terms of first-order logic (e.g., if X is a normed space or a normed algebra), f can be restricted to a closed substructure (a closed subspace or a closed subalgebra, respectively) and remain uniformly open. In this case, avoiding using elementary submodels could bring the danger of being quickly lost in the obscurity of notation and other technical difficulties. Secondly, the problem itself appears to be tailor-made for the use of elementary submodels. Let us then take our proof as an opportunity for advertising the powerful method of elementary submodels; given its relative simplicity, the reader interested more in elementary submodels themselves than in our result may regard the proof as a tutorial of the method.

2. Preliminaries

A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between metric spaces is *uniformly open* when it has the property that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is $\delta > 0$ such that for each $x \in X$ one has

$$B(f(x), \delta) \subseteq f(B(x, \varepsilon)).$$

It seems that the notion of uniform openness was first distilled by Michael [9]; however, it had been employed already by Schauder ([10, p. 6]) *en route* to the proof of his open-mapping theorem for complete metric vector spaces.

Let us record Schauder's lemma in its modern form (see, e.g., [8, Lemma 3.9] for an elementary proof).

Lemma 2.1 (Schauder). *Let X and Y be metric spaces such that X is complete. Suppose that $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map. If for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in X$, one has*

$$B(f(x), \delta) \subseteq \overline{f(B(x, \varepsilon))},$$

then f is uniformly open.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8905638>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8905638>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)