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#### Abstract

The splitting number $\mathfrak{s}$ can be singular. The key method is to construct a forcing poset with finite support matrix iterations of ccc posets introduced by Blass and Shelah (1989). © 2017 Royal Dutch Mathematical Society (KWG). Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

The cardinal invariants of the continuum discussed in this article are very well known (see [4, van Douwen, p 111]) so we just give a brief reminder. They deal with the mod finite ordering of the infinite subsets of the integers. A set $S \subset \omega$ is unsplit by a family $\mathcal{Y} \subset[\omega]^{\aleph_{0}}$ if $S$ is $\bmod$ finite contained in one member of $\{Y, \omega \backslash Y\}$ for each $Y \in \mathcal{Y}$. The splitting number $\mathfrak{s}$ is the minimum cardinal of a family $\mathcal{Y}$ for which there is no infinite set unsplit by $\mathcal{Y}$ (equivalently every $S \in[\omega]^{\aleph_{0}}$ is split by some member of $\mathcal{Y}$ ). It is mentioned in [2] that it is currently unknown if $\mathfrak{s}$ can be a singular cardinal.

Proposition 1.1. The cofinality of the splitting number is not countable.
Proof. Assume that $\theta$ is the supremum of $\left\{\kappa_{n}: n \in \omega\right\}$ and that there is no splitting family of cardinality less than $\theta$. Let $\mathcal{Y}=\left\{Y_{\alpha}: \alpha<\theta\right\}$ be a family of subsets of $\omega$. Let $S_{0}=\omega$ and by induction on $n$, choose an infinite subset $S_{n+1}$ of $S_{n}$ so that $S_{n+1}$ is not split by the family

[^0]$\left\{Y_{\alpha}: \alpha<\kappa_{n}\right\}$. If $S$ is any pseudointersection of $\left\{S_{n}: n \in \omega\right\}$, then $S$ is not split by any member of $\mathcal{Y}$.

One can easily generalize the previous result and proof to show that the cofinality of the splitting number is at least $\mathfrak{t}$. In this paper we prove the following.

Theorem 1.2. If $\kappa$ is any uncountable regular cardinal, then there is $a \lambda>\kappa$ with $\operatorname{cf}(\lambda)=\kappa$ and a ccc forcing $\mathbb{P}$ satisfying that $\mathfrak{s}=\lambda$ in the forcing extension.

To prove the theorem, we construct $\mathbb{P}$ using matrix iterations.

## 2. A special splitting family

Definition 2.1. Let us say that a family $\left\{x_{i}: i \in I\right\} \subset[\omega]^{\omega}$ is $\theta$-Luzin (for an uncountable cardinal $\theta$ ) if for each $J \in[I]^{\theta}, \bigcap\left\{x_{i}: i \in J\right\}$ is finite and $\bigcup\left\{x_{i}: i \in J\right\}$ is cofinite.

Clearly a family is $\theta$-Luzin if every $\theta$-sized subfamily is $\theta$-Luzin. We leave to the reader the easy verification that for a regular uncountable cardinal $\theta$, each $\theta$-Luzin family is a splitting family. A poset being $\theta$-Luzin preserving will have the obvious meaning. For example, any poset of cardinality less than a regular cardinal $\theta$ is $\theta$-Luzin preserving.

Lemma 2.2. If $\theta$ is a regular uncountable cardinal then any ccc finite support iteration of $\theta$-Luzin preserving posets is again $\theta$-Luzin preserving.

Proof. We prove this by induction on the length of the iteration. Fix any $\theta$-Luzin family $\left\{x_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ and let $\left\langle\left\langle\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}: \alpha \leq \gamma\right\rangle,\left\langle\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\alpha}: \alpha<\gamma\right\rangle\right\rangle$ be a finite support iteration of ccc posets satisfying that $\mathbb{P}_{\alpha}$ forces that $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\alpha}$ is ccc and $\theta$-Luzin preserving, for all $\alpha<\gamma$. If $\gamma$ is a successor ordinal $\beta+1$, then for any $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$-generic filter $G_{\beta}$, the family $\left\{x_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a $\theta$-Luzin family in $V\left[G_{\beta}\right]$. By the hypothesis on $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\beta}$, this family remains $\theta$-Luzin after further forcing by $\dot{\mathbb{Q}}_{\beta}$.

Now we assume that $\alpha$ is a limit. Let $\dot{J}_{0}$ be any $\mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$-name of a subset of $I$ and assume that $p \in \mathbb{P}_{\gamma}$ forces that $\left|\dot{J}_{0}\right|=\theta$. We must produce a $q<p$ that forces that $\dot{J}_{0}$ is as in the definition of $\theta$-Luzin. There is a set $J_{1} \subset I$ of cardinality $\theta$ satisfying that, for each $i \in J_{1}$, there is a $p_{i}<p$ with $p_{i} \Vdash i \in \dot{J}_{0}$. The case when the cofinality of $\alpha$ not equal to $\theta$ is almost immediate. There is a $\beta<\alpha$ such that $J_{2}=\left\{i \in J_{1}: p_{i} \in \mathbb{P}_{\beta}\right\}$ has cardinality $\theta$. There is a $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$-generic filter $G_{\beta}$ such that $J_{3}=\left\{i \in J_{2}: p_{i} \in G_{\beta}\right\}$ has cardinality $\theta$. By the induction hypothesis, the family $\left\{x_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is $\theta$-Luzin in $V\left[G_{\beta}\right]$ and so we have that $\bigcap\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{3}\right\}$ is finite and $\bigcup\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{3}\right\}$ is co-finite. Choose any $q<p$ in $G_{\beta}$ and a name $\dot{J}_{3}$ for $J_{3}$ so that $q$ forces this property for $\dot{J}_{3}$. Since $q$ forces that $\dot{J}_{3} \subset \dot{J}_{0}$, we have that $q$ forces the same property for $\dot{J}_{0}$.

Finally we assume that $\alpha$ has cofinality $\theta$. Naturally we may assume that the collection $\left\{\operatorname{dom}\left(p_{i}\right): i \in J_{1}\right\}$ forms a $\Delta$-system with root contained in some $\beta<\alpha$. Again, we may choose a $\mathbb{P}_{\beta}$-generic filter $G_{\beta}$ satisfying that $J_{2}=\left\{i \in J_{1}: p_{i} \upharpoonright \beta \in G_{\beta}\right\}$ has cardinality $\theta$. In $V\left[G_{\beta}\right]$, let $\left\{J_{2, \xi}: \xi \in \omega_{1}\right\}$ be a partition of $J_{2}$ into pieces of size $\theta$. For each $\xi \in \omega_{1}$, apply the induction hypothesis in the model $V\left[G_{\beta}\right]$, and so we have that $\bigcap\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{2, \xi}\right\}$ is finite and $\bigcup\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{2, \xi}\right\}$ is co-finite. For each $\xi \in \omega_{1}$ let $m_{\xi}$ be an integer large enough so that $\bigcap\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{2, \xi}\right\} \subset m_{\xi}$ and $\bigcup\left\{x_{i}: i \in J_{2, \xi}\right\} \supset \omega \backslash m_{\xi}$. Let $m$ be any integer such that $m_{\xi}=m$ for uncountably many $\xi$. Choose any condition $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{\alpha}$ so that $\bar{p} \upharpoonright \beta \in G_{\beta}$. We prove that for each $n>m$ there is a $\bar{p}_{n}<\bar{p}$ so that $\bar{p}_{n} \Vdash n \notin \bigcap\left\{x_{i}: i \in \dot{I}\right\}$ and $\bar{p}_{n} \Vdash n \in \bigcup\left\{x_{i}: i \in \dot{I}\right\}$. Choose any $\xi \in \omega_{1}$ so that $m_{\xi}=m$ and $\operatorname{dom}\left(p_{i}\right) \cap \operatorname{dom}(\bar{p}) \subset \beta$ for all $i \in J_{2, \xi}$. Now choose any $i_{0} \in J_{2, \xi}$ so that $n \notin x_{i_{0}}$. Next choose a distinct $\xi^{\prime}$ with $m_{\xi^{\prime}}=m$ so that $\operatorname{dom}\left(p_{i}\right) \cap\left(\operatorname{dom}(\bar{p}) \cup \operatorname{dom}\left(p_{i_{0}}\right)\right) \subset \beta$ for
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