

## Accepted Manuscript

The accuracy of mid-Pliocene  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -based ice volume and sea level reconstructions

Maureen E. Raymo, Reinhard Kozdon, David Evans, Lorraine Lisiecki, Heather L. Ford



PII: S0012-8252(17)30593-7  
DOI: doi:[10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.022)  
Reference: EARTH 2535  
To appear in: *Earth-Science Reviews*  
Received date: 22 November 2017  
Accepted date: 23 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Maureen E. Raymo, Reinhard Kozdon, David Evans, Lorraine Lisiecki, Heather L. Ford , The accuracy of mid-Pliocene  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -based ice volume and sea level reconstructions. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Earth(2017), doi:[10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2017.11.022)

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

# The accuracy of mid-Pliocene $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ -based ice volume and sea level reconstructions

Maureen E. Raymo<sup>1\*</sup>, Reinhard Kozdon<sup>1</sup>, David Evans<sup>2</sup>, Lorraine Lisiecki<sup>3</sup>, and Heather L. Ford<sup>4</sup>

1. Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University, Palisades, NY 10964

2. School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9AL, UK

3. Department of Earth Science, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106

4. Department of Earth Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, CB2 3EQ, United Kingdom

\*corresponding author: Raymo@ldeo.columbia.edu

## Abstract

Understanding the sensitivity of the polar ice caps to a modest global warming (2–3°C above preindustrial) is of paramount importance if we are to accurately predict future sea level change, knowledge that will inform both social and economic policy in the coming years. However, decades of study of the Pliocene (2.6–5.3 Ma), an epoch in recent Earth history characterized by atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  levels similar to today, have so far failed to provide definitive sea level and ice volume estimates for that time. Here we review the sources of uncertainty in the paired Mg/Ca- $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  methodology used to estimate past sea level, ice volume, and ocean temperature, as well as discuss common assumptions that may bias our interpretation of ocean geochemical records including the LR04 benthic- $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  stack, a global compilation of 57 oxygen isotope records that forms the standard template of climate change history for the last 5.3 million years.

**Keywords:** sea level, ice volume, paleoceanography, paleoclimate, geochemistry

## 1. Introduction

The degree of polar ice sheet decay that occurs during periods slightly warmer than today has been the subject of much scrutiny within the paleoclimate and sea level research community in recent years [Kopp *et al.*, 2009; Naish *et al.*, 2009; Blanchon *et al.*, 2009; Raymo & Mitrovica, 2012; Cook *et al.*, 2013; Rohling *et al.*, 2014; Dutton *et al.*, 2015; and Kemp *et al.* 2015, among others]. In particular, many researchers have focused their attention on the Mid-Pliocene Warm Period (MPWP; ~3.2 to 2.9 m.y., Figure 1), an interval characterized by a climatic regime ~2–3°C warmer on average than preindustrial values

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8913053>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8913053>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)