Accepted Manuscript

Relationships between fractures

D.C.P. Peacock, D.J. Sanderson, A. Rotevatn

PII: S0191-8141(17)30267-5

DOI: 10.1016/j.jsg.2017.11.010

Reference: SG 3557

To appear in: Journal of Structural Geology

Received Date: 29 March 2017

Revised Date: 12 September 2017

Accepted Date: 19 November 2017

Please cite this article as: Peacock, D.C.P., Sanderson, D.J., Rotevatn, A., Relationships between fractures, *Journal of Structural Geology* (2017), doi: 10.1016/j.jsg.2017.11.010.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8

Relationships between fractures

D.C.P. Peacock¹, D.J. Sanderson² & A. Rotevatn¹ ¹ Department of Earth Science, University of Bergen, Allégaten 41, 5007 Bergen, Norway ² Engineering and the Environment, University of Southampton, Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, UK Abstract 9 Fracture systems comprise many fractures that may be grouped into sets based on their orientation, type and relative age. The fractures are often arranged in a network that involves 10 fracture branches that interact with one another. Interacting fractures are termed 11 geometrically coupled when they share an intersection line and/or kinematically coupled 12 13 when the displacements, stresses and strains of one fracture influences those of the other. 14 Fracture interactions are characterised in terms of the following. 1) Fracture type: for 15 example, whether they have opening (e.g., joints, veins, dykes), closing (stylolites, 16 compaction bands), shearing (e.g., faults, deformation bands) or mixed-mode displacements. 17 2) Geometry (e.g., relative orientations) and topology (the arrangement of the fractures, 18 including their connectivity). 3) Chronology: the relative ages of the fractures. 4) Kinematics: the displacement distributions of the interacting fractures. It is also suggested that interaction 19 20 can be characterised in terms of mechanics, e.g., the effects of the interaction on the stress 21 field. It is insufficient to describe only the components of a fracture network, with fuller 22 understanding coming from determining the interactions between the different components of 23 the network.

- 24
- 25 Key words: fractures; interaction; geometry; kinematics; chronology

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8914530

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8914530

Daneshyari.com