



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Quaternary Science Reviews

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/quascirev

First identification of cryptotephra from the Kamchatka Peninsula in a Greenland ice core: Implications of a widespread marker deposit that links Greenland to the Pacific northwest

Eliza Cook^{a, *}, Maxim Portnyagin^b, Vera Ponomareva^c, Lilia Bazanova^c, Anders Svensson^a, Dieter Garbe-Schönberg^d

^a Centre for Ice and Climate, Juliane Maries Vej 30, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, 2100, Denmark

^b GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Wischhofstraße 1-3, 24148, Kiel, Germany

^c Institute of Volcanology and Seismology, Piip Blvd, 9, Petropavlovsk-Kamchatsky, 683006, Russia

^d Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Christian-Albrechts-Platz 4, 24118, Kiel, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 November 2017

Received in revised form

25 November 2017

Accepted 27 November 2017

Available online xxx

Keywords:

Cryptotephra

Khangar

Kamchatka

Isochron

Greenland ice core

NGRIP

ABSTRACT

Contiguous sampling of Holocene ice from the NGRIP core, Greenland, has revealed a new rhyolitic cryptotephra that is geochemically identical to the KHG tephra, a widespread marker deposit originating from the Khangar volcano, Kamchatka. This is the first identification of tephra from the Kamchatka Peninsula in Greenland ice and the first finding of the KHG tephra outside Kamchatka. The NGRIP KHG has an age of 7872 ± 50 a BP 1950, and this date will help improve age models for Kamchatka, where existing age estimates of KHG are too young, thus highlighting the importance of locating long-range, low-concentration cryptotephra deposits in well-dated ice cores. In Greenland KHG is located close to the termination of the 8.2 ka BP cooling event that is also a climate feature in palaeo-records of Kamchatka. This tie-point therefore provides a unique opportunity to synchronise records of environmental change in distal locations.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Tephrochronology uses volcanic ash with unique geochemical fingerprints to precisely correlate a diverse range of marine and terrestrial palaeoarchives (e.g. Lowe, 2011). Tephrochronological research has evolved from investigations of visible tephra layers to studies of *cryptotephra*s – low concentrations of glass shards, invisible to the naked eye – that still form stratigraphically distinct deposits (e.g. Davies, 2015). Cryptotephra research enormously increases the geographical area where a geochemically characterised tephra layer could serve as a time-synchronous isochron (e.g. Lane et al., 2013; van der Bilt et al., 2017) and ice cores are one of the most important cryptotephra archives, with over 100 layers (predominantly Icelandic origin) found in Greenland records to date (e.g. Mortensen et al., 2005; Davies et al., 2008, 2010; Abbott and Davies, 2012; Coulter et al., 2012; Bourne et al., 2015, 2016).

The Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 (GICC05) was constructed by counting annual layers (of multiple-parameters) in the NGRIP, GRIP and DYE-3 cores down to 42 ka b2k (AD 2000). Correlating both marine and terrestrial tephra layers to those in Greenland is valuable, as a GICC05 age can be transferred to provide chronological control and independently test age models. A detailed Greenland ice core tephrostratigraphy is therefore essential, yet this is lacking for Holocene ice despite the long, well-resolved records (the Holocene in NGRIP is preserved in the top 1492.45 m). The Holocene volcanic event stratigraphy is based predominantly on limited sampling of NGRIP and GISP2 cores for prominent Icelandic eruptions from the last 2000 years (Fiacco et al., 1994; Palais et al., 1991; Zielinski et al., 1995, 1997; Grönvold et al., 1995; Mortensen et al., 2005; Coulter et al., 2012). Although three cryptotephra from North America (Fiacco et al., 1993; Zdanowicz et al., 1999; Jensen et al., 2014) and one from China (Sun et al., 2014) have also been found in Holocene ice. Here we add to the Holocene ice core tephrostratigraphy and report the first ever finding of a cryptotephra from the Kamchatka Peninsula (northwest Pacific) in Greenland and present new major and trace element data from

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: elizacook@nbi.ku.dk (E. Cook).

volcanic glass that supports the correlation, in addition to close age estimates. The tephra, named KHG comes from the Khangar volcano (Fig. 1) and is one of the major markers for the Kamchatka Holocene tephrochronological model (Braitseva et al., 1997; Kyle et al., 2011; Plunkett et al., 2015).

2. Study locations and methodology

Compositionally unique volcanic glass shards from the KHG eruption were identified as a cryptotephra deposit in the NGRIP ice core between depths 1199.55 and 1199.40 m as a result of contiguous ice sampling (Table 1; Appendix A). Glass was also extracted from two KHG tephra deposits found in soil sequences on the Kamchatka Peninsula; proximal site 154/90 and distal site K7-T1, 270 km NE of Khangar (Fig. 1; Table 1) and we present new glass geochemistry data for each. NGRIP is located centrally on the Greenland ice sheet, about 5600 km from the Khangar volcano (Fig. 1) and was chosen to investigate Holocene eruption history as it has a robust chronology (GICC05) and datasets for many proxies,

including oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}$) that were measured at an annual resolution (NGRIP members, 2004; Vinther et al., 2006; Rasmussen et al., 2014). The KHG tephra (labelled KHG₆₉₀₀ in Plunkett et al., 2015) is a key regional marker deposit (e.g. Braitseva et al., 1997; Kyle et al., 2011; Dirksen et al., 2013), located in the rear of the Kamchatka volcanic arc on the Sredinny Range (Fig. 1) and KHG products include several air-fall and ignimbrite units with a total eruptive volume of 14–16 km³ (Melekestsev et al., 1996; Braitseva et al., 1997). Ash from this event was dispersed to the northeast and has been traced over 450 km from Khangar (Fig. 1) (Kyle et al., 2011) and deposits have an age range of 7620–7920 cal BP, based on ¹⁴C dates by Braitseva et al. (1997) and Bazanova and Pevzner (2001) (Table 1). We conducted a geochemical investigation of the NGRIP and KHG terrestrial glass samples by high-precision electron probe microanalysis (EPMA) and laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS) to assess compositional similarity (Table 1).

Ice core sample preparation, instrument set-up and analytical conditions for EPMA and LA-ICP-MS are described in Appendix A,




Fig. 1. The location of the NGRIP site in Greenland and the Kamchatka Peninsula, Russia are shown on a circumpolar map of the Northern Hemisphere. A red arrow has been sketched on the map to highlight one possible route for the KHG ash transportation to NGRIP. The inset map (adapted from Kyle et al., 2011) shows the location of the Khangar volcano (red triangle) and three sites containing KHG tephra. Sample 154/90-352 is a visible proximal deposit from the Khangar caldera site 154/90 and sample K7-T1-116 is a visible distal deposit from a terrestrial tephra section. The KHG deposit in Olive-backed lake (OBL) was discovered by Plunkett et al. (2015). The red shading highlights the approximate area of KHG ash fall (Kyle et al., 2011). Large stars show major Holocene explosive centres and small stars show other active volcanoes. (For interpretation of the references to colour in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8915022>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8915022>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)