ARTICLE IN PRESS

J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg xxx (2017) xxx-xxx



Available online at

ScienceDirect

www.sciencedirect.com

Elsevier Masson France



www.em-consulte.com/en



Original Article

Oral cancer characteristics in France: Descriptive epidemiology for early detection

K. Jéhannin-Ligier ^{a,*}, O. Dejardin ^b, B. Lapôtre-Ledoux ^h, S. Bara ^e, G. Coureau ^f, P. Grosclaude ^g, E. Marrer ⁱ, F. Molinié ^j, B. Trétarre ^k, M. Velten ^l, A.-S. Woronoff ^m, M. Colonna ^c, A.V. Guizard ^d

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 November 2016 Accepted 15 February 2017

Keywords: Oral cavity cancer Population-based study Stage at diagnosis Early detection

ABSTRACT

Despite the frequency and lethality of oral cancers in France, there are no detailed general population data regarding the characteristics of these patients to fuel the public health authorities' reflections about early detection policies. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine, in the general population, the characteristics of both patients and tumours at the time of the diagnosis. A high-resolution, population-based study using 13 French registries was conducted on 1089 tumours diagnosed in 2010. Men accounted for 75% of cases. The most frequent sites were tonsil (28.4%) and oral tongue (21.1%). The median age varied from 56.7 years for floor of mouth to 66.4 years for gum. The lesions were mainly diagnosed on pain and those diagnosed after routine clinical examination were scarce (2.6%). There were 65.5% stage III and IV at diagnosis. Oral tongue, floor of mouth and palate presented tumours less than 2 cm only in 34 to 40% of cases. Advanced stage was associated with the presence of comorbidities, and tonsil or base of tongue topography. Stage was not associated with *Département*, deprivation index or gender. This study provided a picture of the characteristics of oral cancer patients and their tumours and showed that diagnoses are often made late, even for those tumours most easily accessible to direct visual and tactile examination. Nevertheless, it remains to define the target population of an early detection and to evaluate the benefit of such detection on the mortality rate.

© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

In Europe, oral cavity and pharynx cancers are the sixth most common group of cancers among men with an estimated annual incidence of 73,900 cases and 34,200 deaths [1]. France has an incidence rate amongst the highest in Europe [1], although it is

E-mail address: kligier@registrecancers59.fr (K. Jéhannin-Ligier).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jormas.2017.02.003

2468-7855/© 2017 Elsevier Masson SAS. All rights reserved.

constantly decreasing [2]; there were an estimated 4384 new cases of oral and oropharynx cancer in men and 2117 in women in 2010 [3].

The main risk factors are tobacco and alcohol [4], consumption of which explains 82.9% of cases [5]. In the last decade, human papillomavirus has been recognized as a carcinogenic agent for oropharynx [6]. In addition, these cancers are strongly linked to socioeconomic factors: the risk of developing an oral cancer is greater in those with a low level of education or a low income, regardless of smoking or alcohol consumption [7].

^a General Cancer Registry of Lille and its area, GCS-C2RC, 59037 Lille, France

^b University Hospital of Caen, U1086 Inserm UCBN "Cancers & preventions", 14000 Caen, France

^c General Cancer Registry of Isère, University Hospital of Grenoble, 38000 Grenoble, France

^d General Tumour Registry of Calvados, Centre F.-Baclesse, 14000 Caen, France

^e Registre des cancers de la Manche, 50100 Cherbourg, France

^f Registre de cancer de Gironde, 33000 Bordeaux, France

g Registre des cancers du Tarn, 81000 Albi, France

^h Registre du cancer de la Somme, 80000 Amiens, France

ⁱ Registre des cancers du Haut-Rhin, 68100 Mulhouse, France

^j Registre des tumeurs de Loire-Atlantique et Vendée, 44000 Nantes, France

^k Registre des tumeurs de l'Hérault, 34000 Montpellier, France

¹Registre des cancers du Bas-Rhin, 67000 Strasbourg, France

^m Registre des tumeurs du Doubs et du Territoire de Belfort, 25000 Besançon, France

^{*} Corresponding author. Registre général des cancers de Lille et de sa région, C2RC, Pavillon Breton, Hôpital Calmette, CHRU de Lille, boulevard du Professeur-Jules-Leclercq, 59037 Lille cedex, France.

K. Jéhannin-Ligier et al./J Stomatol Oral Maxillofac Surg xxx (2017) xxx-xxx

The prognosis of oral cavity and oropharynx cancers is extremely poor in France, with a 5-year net survival of 43% and 37%, and a 10-year net survival of 28% and 19%, respectively [8]. This figure is amongst the lowest of European countries [9] and has hardly improved over the last 15 years. The major determinant of this lower survival rate is the stage at diagnosis: 5-year survival in patients diagnosed at an advanced stage is reduced by a factor of 1.5 to 2 compared with that for patients diagnosed at a localised stage [9]. On top of this, aggressive treatment regimes following late diagnosis can lead to serious sequels that affect quality of life [10].

Given the high incidence and poor prognosis, the screening or early detection of these cancers, easily accessible on visual inspection and manual palpation, is a public health issue in many countries [11]. Thus, the French governmental cancer plan 2009–2013 [12] advocated early detection of oral cavity cancers. Following this, the National Cancer Institute (INCa) set up multimedia training for dentists (2009) and general practitioners

(2010) to teach them how to detect suspicious lesions through an in-depth examination of the oral cavity in high-risk patients [13]. Despite the frequency and lethality of these cancers, there are no detailed French general population data regarding the characteristics of these patients to fuel the public health authorities' reflections about early detection policies. Thus, the objective of this study was to determine, in the general population, the characteristics of the patients and their tumours at the time of diagnosis.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Population

This high-resolution, population-based study concerned all patients aged over 20 years with a diagnosis of invasive oral cancer in 2010. Tumours were categorised according to the *International Classification of Diseases for Oncology* – third edition – ICD-O 3 as:

Table 1Characteristics of patients with incident oral cancer in France (2010) and univariate analysis of tumour stage at diagnosis

| Variable | Stage I–II | | Stage III-IV | | P univariate | Total (n = 1089) | |
|---|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | n | % | n | % | | n | % |
| Sex | | | | | 0.03 | | |
| Male | 213 | 27.4 | 564 | 72.6 | | 817 | 75.0 |
| Female | 87 | 34.4 | 166 | 65.6 | | 272 | 25.0 |
| Age | | | | | 0.03 | | |
| < 55 | 111 | 31.4 | 242 | 68.6 | | 369 | 33.9 |
| [55–65] | 92 | 24.2 | 289 | 75.8 | | 395 | 36.3 |
| ≥65 | 97 | 32.8 | 199 | 67.2 | | 325 | 29.8 |
| Département | | | | | 0.36 | | |
| Calvados | 26 | 33.3 | 5 | 66.7 | | 81 | 7.4 |
| Doubs | 18 | 32.1 | 38 | 67.9 | | 58 | 5.3 |
| Gironde | 37 | 31.9 | 79 | 68.1 | | 122 | 11.2 |
| Hérault | 21 | 25.9 | 60 | 74.1 | | 86 | 7.9 |
| Isère | 36 | 35.3 | 66 | 64.7 | | 104 | 9.5 |
| Loire-Atlantique | 30 | 22.6 | 103 | 77.4 | | 148 | 13.6 |
| Manche | 20 | 37.7 | 33 | 62.3 | | 54 | 5.0 |
| Lille et sa région | 36 | 31.9 | 77 | 68.1 | | 115 | 10.6 |
| Bas-Rhin | 23 | 29.1 | 56 | 70.9 | | 88 | 8.1 |
| Haut-Rhin | 14 | 26.9 | 38 | 73.1 | | 54 | 5.0 |
| Somme | 20 | 24.7 | 61 | 75.3 | | 83 | 7.6 |
| Tarn | 9 | 30.0 | 21 | 70.0 | | 32 | 2.9 |
| Vendée | 10 | 17.9 | 46 | 82.1 | | 64 | 5.9 |
| Deprivation quintile | 10 | 17.5 | 40 | 02.1 | 0.68 | 04 | J.: |
| Privileged 1 | 54 | 31.2 | 119 | 68.8 | 0.00 | 186 | 17.1 |
| Quite privileged 2 | 48 | 27.8 | 125 | 72.2 | | 180 | 16. |
| Quite underprivileged 3 | 48 | 26.2 | 135 | 73.8 | | 195 | 17.9 |
| Underprivileged 4 | 75 | 32.2 | 158 | 67.8 | | 245 | 22. |
| Very underprivileged 5 | 75 75 | 28.7 | 186 | 71.3 | | 276 | 25.3 |
| Unknown | 75 | 20.7 | 100 | 71.5 | | 7 | 0.6 |
| Age-adjusted Charlson comorbidity index | | | | | 0.01 | , | 0.0 |
| 0 | 56 | 39.4 | 86 | 60.6 | 0.01 | 146 | 13.4 |
| 1–2 | 131 | 26.6 | 362 | 73.4 | | 510 | 46.8 |
| 1-2 ≥3 | 111 | 28.3 | 281 | 71.7 | | 426 | 39.1 |
| Unknown | 111 | 20.5 | 201 | /1./ | | 7 | 0.3 |
| Tumour site | | | | | < 0.001 | , | 0. |
| C01: base of tongue | 6 | 4.8 | 118 | 95.2 | < 0.001 | 125 | 11.5 |
| CO2: oral tongue | 121 | 57.9 | 88 | 42.1 | | 230 | 21. |
| CO3: gum | 17 | 23.0 | 57 | 77.0 | | 78 | 7.2 |
| CO4: floor of mouth | 48 | 25.0 35.6 | 87 | 64.4 | | 78 148 | 13.0 |
| CO5: palate | 46 | 41.8 | 64 | 58.2 | | 118 | 10.8 |
| CO6: other & unspecified parts of mouth | 21 | 29.2 | 51 | 70.8 | | 81 | 7.4 |
| CO9: tonsil | 41 | 13.4 | 265 | 70.8 86.6 | | 309 | 28.4 |
| Mode of discovery | 41 | 15.4 | 203 | 80.0 | | 309 | 20.4 |
| | 170 | 242 | 227 | CE O | | E2E | 10 ' |
| Pain | 170 3 | 34.2 42.7 | 327 4 | 65.8 57.1 | | 525 8 | 48.2 0.2 |
| Dyspnea | 6 | | | | | 8 21 | |
| Dysphonia | 6 4 | 28.6 | 15 | 71.4 71.4 | | | 1.9 1.5 |
| Oral bleeding | - | 28.6 | 107 | | | 16 | |
| Cervical swelling | 30 | 13.2 | 197 | 86.8 | | 230 | 21.1 |
| Impaired general status | 9 | 8.3 | 99 | 91.7 | | 111 | 10.2 |
| Clinical exam without symptoms | 17 | 65.4 | 9 | 34.6 | | 28 | 2.6 |
| Fortuitous | 12 | 34.3 | 23 | 65.7 | | 39 | 3.6 |
| Unknown | | | | | | 111 | 10. |

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8924961

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8924961

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>