ARTICLE IN PRESS

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy 2018;xxx(xx):xxx-xxx



Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy



https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effects of hammock positioning in behavioral status, vital signs, and pain in preterms: a case series study

⁶ Q1 Valdecira Rodrigues de Jesus^a, Pricila Mara Novais de Oliveira^b,

Vivian Mara Gonçalves de Oliveira Azevedo^{c,*}

a Faculdade de Ciências Médicas de Minas Gerais, Hospital Sofia Feldman, Programa de Residência em Neonatologia, Belo

9 Horizonte, MG, Brazil

¹⁰ ^b Hospital Universitátio da Universidade Federal de Juiz de Fora (HU-UFJF/EBSERH), Pediatria, Juiz de Fora, MG, Brazil

¹¹ ^c Universidade Federal de Uberlândia (UFU), Faculdade de Educação Física e Fisioterapia (FAEFI), Uberlândia, MG, Brazil

Received 5 October 2017; received in revised form 26 February 2018; accepted 2 March 2018

13	KEYWORDS	Abstract
14	Newborns;	Background: The hammock positioning (Hp) within the incubators simulates the intrauterine
15	Prematurity;	environment, however, there is little evidence of its benefits and possible risks.
16	Neonatal Intensive	Objectives: The aim of this study was to assess the effects of Hp on behavioral status, vital
17	Care Units;	signs, and pain in very low birth weight preterm newborns.
18	Humanization	Methods: This is a quasi-experimental/case series study in which premature infants (<1500 g)
19		were positioned in supine for one hour in a hammock. The preterm newborns were assessed
20		10 min before, during (2, 20, 40, and 60 min), and 10 min after Hp with the Brazelton Neonatal
21		Behavioral Assessment Scale, vital signs and pain by the Neonatal Facial Coding System.
22		Results: 28 preterm infants between 28 and 36 weeks of gestational age were evaluated.
23		Regarding the behavioral state, the preterm newborns progressively evolved to light or deep
24		Q2 sleep during Hp. There was a statistically significant reduction of the heart and respiratory rate
25		from 2 to 60th minute in a hammock, which was maintained after the positioning. The oxygen
26		saturation remained within normal values. No changes in pain scores were observed.
27		Conclusion: The Hp can be considered a safe method of positioning that can be used to reduce
28		the stress levels in very low birth weight preterm newborns. We did not observe worsening in
29		either pain or vital signs.
30		© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier
31		Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

32

* Corresponding author at: Faculdade de Educação Física e Fisioterapia – FAEFI, Rua Benjamin Constant, 1286, Bairro Aparecida, CEP: 38400-678, Uberlândia, MG, Brazil.

E-mail: viviangazevedo1@gmail.com (V.M. Azevedo).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.002

1413-3555/© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Jesus VR, et al. Effects of hammock positioning in behavioral status, vital signs, and pain in preterms: a case series study. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.002

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

2

Introduction 33

The hammock positioning (Hp) refers to the positioning of 34 newborns in a small rectangle fabric (hammock) fixed by 35 extremities within the incubators. Hp is a simple and low-36 cost method for the therapeutic positioning of newborns. 37 This technique has been used by physical therapists in sev-38 eral Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU), although little is 39 known about Hp.¹ 40

Preterm newborns are exposed to a stressful environment 41 and painful interventions in the NICU.² Low birth weight is 42 also associated with increased morbidity and mortality and 43 motor development delay in preterm infants.³ The adequate 44 therapeutic positioning of the preterm can minimize postu-45 ral abnormalities and asymmetries related to prematurity 46 and stay in NICU, also favors the development of sponta-47 neous and functional motor abilities of newborns.⁴ Studies 48 indicate that the positioning directly influences the cardio-49 vascular, respiratory, and sleep-wake states.⁴⁻⁶ 50

The Hp intends to promote preterm containment, 51 vestibular stimulus, sensory integration, tonic, and behav-52 ioral reorganization, thus reducing the noxious sensory 53 stimuli to which the immature brain is exposed in the 54 NICU.^{4,5} In addition, Hp potentially simulates the intra-55 uterine environment, provides relaxation, stimulates the 56 harmonization of movements, and reduces the energy 57 expenditure of very low birth weight newborns.⁵ Some stud-58 ies indicate that the technique promotes the reduction of 59 stress during the period of hospitalization, so it can be con-60 sidered a strategy to humanize the care in the NICU.⁷⁻⁹ 61

However, some Hp related outcomes such as vital signs.¹⁰ 62 pain and behavioral status¹¹ and motor development are still 63 controversial due to low methodological quality used in most 64 studies.⁶ Some studies also point to the risks of apneas or 65 desaturation during Hp.^{10,11} Recent reviews^{1,12} on this topic 66 highlight the lack of scientific evidence that proves the ben-67 efits and possible risks of Hp. Therefore, the objective of 68 this study was to evaluate the effects of Hp on the behav-69 ioral states, pain, and vital signs of very low birth weight 70 preterm newborns. 71

Methods 72

Design and local of study 73

It consists of a *quasi*-experimental/case series study carried 74 out in the NICU of the Hospital Sofia Feldman - a philan-75 thropic institution linked to the Unified Health System and 76 located in Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil. 77

Ethical aspects 78

The research project was approved by the Ethics and 79 Research Committee of the Hospital Sofia Feldman, Belo 80 Horizonte, MG, Brazil (number 1.684.322). All mothers 81 or legal guardians for the preterm signed the free and 82 informed consent term to authorize their participation in 83 84 the research.

Subjects

Subjects inclusion criteria were: very low-weight preterms (infants with 1500 g or less who was born before 37 weeks of pregnancy) with more than 24h of life, respiratory and hemodynamic stability, without continuous sedation, and/or use of amines

We excluded preterm newborns that presented neurological signs or symptoms, use of continuous diet, gastroesophageal reflux, the presence of malformations, grade 2 or higher of peri-intraventricular hemorrhage, severe heart disease, immediate postoperative period, use of surfactant in less than 72 h, phototherapy or under minimal manipulation.

Data collection

The included preterms were positioned for one hour in the supine position in a hammock for at least 60 min after the last diet. The hammocks were made of cotton fabric 1 cm thick. A fabric was also placed between the cervical and scapular region of the NTBs so there was no hyperflexion or hyperextension of the head, which could impair respiratory function. To fix the hammocks in the incubators, the circular openings were used to pass the ropes and the ropes were tied in the upper part of the incubator as shown in Fig. 1. The behavioral state, vital signs, and pain were evaluated in newborns according to the following instruments.

The pain evaluation was performed with the Neonatal Facing Coding System (NFCS).¹³ This scale is based on the evaluation of the presence of the following facial expression: wrinkled foreheads, compressed palpebral fissures, deep nasolabial groove, half-open lips, mouth vertical or horizontal outstretched, tense tongue, tongue protrusion, and the chin quivering.¹⁴ The presence of each item scores 1 point from the maximum score of 8 points. It is considered the presence of pain when 3 or more facial movements quoted appear during the evaluation.

The sleep/wake cycle was evaluated by the Brazelton Neonatal Behavioral Assessment Scale (NBAS).¹⁵ The scale scores the behavioral state respectively from 1 to 6: deep sleep, light sleep, drowsy, alert, eyes open, and crying.

The heart rate (HR) and peripheral oxygen saturation (SpO₂) was monitored with a multiparametric monitor DX 2022[®] (Dixtal, Brazil) by a sensor positioned on the external side of one foot. The respiratory rate (RR) was assessed by visual inspection of respiratory cycles per minute.

The evaluations were performed 10 min before the positioning in hammock, at the second, twentieth, fortieth, and sixtieth minutes after the positioning of the newborn in hammock, and 10 min after the return of the newborn to your incubator.¹⁶ The newborn when removed from the Hp was placed in the incubator in the same position as the baseline evaluation. Data were collected in the morning and afternoon. The NFCS and NBAS scales were applied at each evaluation, in addition to the vital signs collected.

The study would be discontinued if vital signs of the newborn presented a variation greater than 20% of the baseline or signs of respiratory effort (use of accessory muscles in the neck, intercostal, subcostal, and suprasternal retractions or nasal flaring), or constant crying.

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140 141 142

Please cite this article in press as: Jesus VR, et al. Effects of hammock positioning in behavioral status, vital signs, and pain in preterms: a case series study. Braz J Phys Ther. 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8928913

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8928913

Daneshyari.com