ARTICLE IN PRESS

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy 2018;xxx(xx):xxx-xxx







https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Modifiable individual and work-related factors associated with neck pain in 740 office workers: a cross-sectional study

7 Q1 Xiaoqi Chen^{a,*}, Shaun O'Leary^{a,b}, Venerina Johnston^c

⁸ ^a University of Queensland, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, Brisbane, Australia

^b Queensland Health, Royal Brisbane and Women's Hospital, Department of Physiotherapy, Brisbane, Australia

¹⁰ ^c The University of Queensland, RECOVER Injury Research Centre, Brisbane, Australia

Received 24 November 2017; received in revised form 26 February 2018; accepted 2 March 2018

12	KEYWORDS	Abstract
13	Neck pain;	Background: Office workers have the highest incidence of neck pain of all occupations. However,
14	Office work;	the relationship between symptoms and the risk factors is unclear.
15	Risk factors	Objective: To examine the relationship between self-reported neck pain with a comprehensive
16		range of individual and work-related risk factors.
17		Methods: This study utilised a cross-sectional study design. Office workers with and without
18		neck pain ($n = 763$) were recruited. Participants completed a survey which included a Pain
19		Numerical Rating Scale (dependent variable), and measures of independent variables including
20		demographic, individual, work-related factors, neck/shoulder muscle strength, endurance, and
21		range of motion (ROM). The relationships between the independent and dependent variables
22		were analysed in a logistic regression model.
23		Results: Neck pain was significantly associated with more senior occupational categories, work-
24		ing more than six hours per day on the computer, female sex, greater fear avoidance beliefs
25		for work, greater psychological distress, and reduced cervical flexion ROM. The low severity of
26		neck pain of the participants in this study may limit a robust determination of their association
27		with the risk factor variables, but the studied sample is a realistic representation of the office
28		worker population.
29		Conclusion: Several potentially modifiable individual and work-related factors were identified
30		to be associated with the presence of self-reported neck pain in office workers. Future studies
31		will be needed to investigate whether strategies to alter these modifiable risk factors translate
32		to changes in neck pain.

33

* Corresponding author at: Division of Physiotherapy, School of Health and Rehabilitation Sciences, The University of Queensland, Saint Lucia, Queensland 4072, Australia.

E-mail: xiaoqi.chen@uq.net.au (X. Chen).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.003

1413-3555/© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Chen X, et al. Modifiable individual and work-related factors associated with neck pain in 740 office workers: a cross-sectional study. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.003

36

37

ARTICLE IN PRESS

X. Chen et al.

Trial registration: ACTRN12612001154897 (https://www.anzctr.org.au/Trial/Registration /TrialReview.aspx?id=363209)

© 2018 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

38 Background

Neck pain is a prevalent and chronic condition.¹ In the Global 39 Burden of Disease 2010 study, neck pain was ranked fourth 40 highest for years lived with disability, and 21st for overall 41 burden of disease.² Neck pain is also a recurrent disorder 42 with 60-80% of the working population experiencing another 43 episode one year after the initial episode.³ As such, the cost 44 of neck pain is substantial to society⁴ and to industry, where 45 between 3 and 11% of lost-time claims were related to neck 46 pain.⁵ Within industry, office workers have the highest inci-47 dence of neck disorders at 34-49% a year.^{1,6-8} There is also 48 evidence that while the majority of workers who experi-49 ence low severity neck pain may choose to remain at work 50 rather than take sick leave,^{1,9} they may be less productive 51 at work.^{10,11} 52

The risk factors associated with neck pain are multifac-53 torial, and may be broadly categorised into individual (e.g. 54 health behaviour, psychological distress) and work-related 55 factors (e.g. computer hours per day at work, physical 56 demand at work, job control).¹ Most studies have focused 57 on the associations between a select number of risk fac-58 tors and neck pain, rather than comprehensively including 59 the individual and work-related factors.^{7,12-15} Furthermore, 60 when physical risk factors (such as neck/shoulder muscle 61 strength/endurance and range of motion) were measured, 62 the sample sizes were small and not specific to office 63 workers.¹⁶⁻²⁰ 64

The purpose of this study was to explore the relationships 65 between a comprehensive list of individual and work-related 66 factors, and self-reported neck pain in a large population of 67 office workers. We hypothesise that the presence of neck 68 pain will have significant relationships with the individual 69 and work-related factors. It is anticipated that the findings 70 of this study will clarify our understanding of potentially 71 modifiable risk factors that contribute to self-reported neck 72 pain in office workers. 73

74 Methods

75 Study design and ethics

This study utilised the baseline data of a two-76 arm parallel prospective clustered randomised trial 77 (ACTRN12612001154897) and followed the STROBE guide-78 lines for reporting observational data.²¹ All participants 79 provided informed consent, and ethical approval was 80 granted by the University of Queensland's Human Medi-81 cal Research Ethics Committee (2012001318), Brisbane, 82 Australia. 83

Participants

Participants (*n* = 763) were recruited from 14 private and public organisations in Brisbane, Queensland, Australia from May 2013 to July 2015. The organisations were involved in various industries including mining, medical appliances, government, legal, and engineering. The sample size was based on the original randomised trial in which this study is nested.²² Eligible participants were 18 years of age or older, and worked more than 30 h/week in predominantly sedentary office work (i.e. computer work). Participants were excluded if they were pregnant, suffered from specific pathologies (e.g. congenital cervical abnormalities, stenosis), inflammatory conditions (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis), or had a history of cervical spine surgery. Office workers with and without neck pain and neck disability were included to enable comparison.

Outcome measures

Please cite this article in press as: Chen X, et al. Modifiable individual and work-related factors associated with neck pain in 740 office workers: a cross-sectional study. *Braz J Phys Ther.* 2018, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2018.03.003

The dependent variable, neck pain intensity, was evaluated with a ten-point Pain Numerical Rating Scale ranging from 0 (no pain) to nine (worst possible pain) during the last three months (on average).²³ A body map based on the Nordic questionnaire was used to identify the neck region.²³ Participants' age, sex and Body Mass Index (BMI) were included as covariates.

A series of physical measures were collected from all participants at the workplace. The order of testing of these measures was randomised between participants to avoid order effects. Inter and intra-rater reliability for the different assessors was determined with the order of testing of all reliability tests randomised.

Cervical range of motion (ROM) was assessed with a Cervical ROM (CROM) device (*CROM 3 from Performance Attainment Associates*) and included active cervical flexion, extension (Fig. 1A), and bilateral rotation (Fig. 1B). Participants were instructed to perform the ROM tests as far as they could and not past discomfort or pain. Each movement was repeated until three subsequent results of the same value were obtained and the mean recorded as the final score. This is a valid and reliable method for assessing CROM.²⁴⁻²⁷

Maximum isometric neck flexor (Fig. 1C) and extensor (Fig. 1D) muscle strength (kgf) and endurance (seconds) was recorded. Participants were seated with a digital dynamometer mounted onto a rigid arm adjusted to suit participant anthropometrics. Strength tests were repeated thrice with a thirty second rest period between repetitions. Endurance was evaluated with a sustained contraction at

84

85

86

87

100

101

00

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8928916

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8928916

Daneshyari.com