ARTICLE IN PRESS

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy 2017;xxx(xx):xxx-xxx



Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy



https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Effect of sacroiliac manipulation on postural sway in quiet standing: a randomized controlled trial

a on Mohammad Reza Farazdaghi^{a,b}, Alireza Motealleh^{a,b,*}, Forough Abtahi^{a,b}, Andrej Panjan^c, Nejc Šarabon^{c,d}, Farahnaz Ghaffarinejad^{a,b}

- ⁸ ^a Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Rehabilitation Faculty, Shiraz, Iran
- ⁹ ^b Rehabilitation Sciences Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran
- ¹⁰ ^c Andrej Marusic Institute, Department of Health Study, University of Primorska, Koper, Slovenia
- ¹¹ ^d S2P Ltd., Laboratory for Motor Control and Motor Behavior, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- Received 11 February 2017; received in revised form 3 April 2017; accepted 27 June 2017

| 13 | KEYWORDS | Abstract |
|--------------|------------------|--|
| 14 | Postural sway; | Background: Sacroiliac joint manipulation can alter joint and muscle control mechanisms |
| 15 | Sacroiliac joint | through local and remote effects. Postural balance is controlled by supraspinal (rambling) and |
| 16 | dysfunction; | spinal-peripheral (trembling) mechanisms. A manipulation may interfere with postural control |
| 17 | Manipulation; | in quiet standing. |
| 18 | Rambling; | Objectives: To evaluate the immediate effects of sacroiliac joint manipulation on postural con- |
| 19 | Trembling | trol in patients with (1) sacroiliac dysfunction and (2) to determine whether rambling and |
| 20 Q2 | | trembling are affected by sacroiliac joint manipulation. |
| 21 | | Methods: 32 patients aged between 20 and 50 years old were selected by convenience after |
| 22 | | confirmation of sacroiliac joint dysfunction by clinical examination. These patients were ran- |
| 23 | | domly allocated either to manipulation or sham manipulation group. Displacement, velocity |
| 24 | | and frequency of the center of pressure, rambling and trembling in the anterior-posterior and |
| 25 | | medial-lateral directions were our primary outcomes and analyzed immediately before and |
| 26 | | after the intervention in quiet standing. The physical therapists who performed the physical, |
| 27 | | biomechanical and statistical examinations, were all blinded to the patients' grouping. |
| 28 | | <i>Results</i> : No differences were found between the two groups but trembling velocity (0.14 and |
| 29 | | -0.11 for intervention and sham group, respectively) and frequency (0.17 and 0.11 for inter- |
| 30 | | vention and sham group respectively) increased after intervention in the treatment group in |
| 31 | | the anterior-posterior direction. |
| | | |
| 32 | | |

* Corresponding author at: Department of Physiotherapy, School of Rehabilitation, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran. *E-mail:* motealleh@sums.ac.ir (A. Motealleh).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.09.002

1413-3555/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. on behalf of Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia.

Please cite this article in press as: Farazdaghi MR, et al. Effect of sacroiliac manipulation on postural sway in quiet standing: a randomized controlled trial. *Braz J Phys Ther*. 2017, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.09.002

2

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

33

38 30

40

41

87

Conclusion: Generally, sacroiliac joint manipulation had no superiority than sham treatment regarding postural control as measured by rambling-trembling analysis of center of pressure. Manipulation may increase muscle activation in the treatment group due to increased trembling parameters.

RTICI F IN PE

Trial number: IRCT2014072715932N8 - http://www.irct.ir/searchresult.php?keyword=%D8% B3%D9%88%DB%8C%D9%87&id=15932&field=&number=8&prt=13&total=10&m=1.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. on behalf of Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia.

Introduction 42

Sacroiliac (SI) joint dysfunction is considered one of the pos-43

sible sources of chronic mechanical low back pain.¹ Stability 44 of the SI joint is provided by the surrounding muscles, liga-45 ments and the unique shape of the joint.² The SI joints play 46 an important role in force transmission between the trunk 47 and lower extremities, so any dysfunction in these joints 48 may alter weight distribution at the feet.³ Anker et al.⁴ 49 showed that greater weight-bearing asymmetry may lead 50 to increased postural instability. Baruah et al.⁵ showed that 51 patients with SI joint dysfunction had deficits in balance and 52 postural control. It has been reported that SI joint manipula-53 tion can decrease pain, redistribute peak pressure between 54 the feet,³ and improve disability⁶ and gait symmetry⁷ in 55 patients with SI dysfunction. 56

The effects of SI manipulation have been proposed to 57 be related to spinal and supraspinal mechanisms.⁸ Research 58 published to date, however, is controversial regarding the 59 spinal mechanisms of SI manipulation. In some studies, SI 60 manipulation increased muscle activity⁹⁻¹¹ whereas in oth-61 ers, it decreased alpha motor neuron activity¹² or had no 62 effect at all.¹³ Few studies have evaluated supraspinal and 63 cortical mechanisms in spinal manipulation. Alterations in 64 excitability and metabolism after spinal manipulation have 65 been reported in corticospinal tracts, limbic, cerebellar and 66 sensorimotor areas.^{12,14,15} 67

In patients with low back pain, center of pressure (CoP) 68 parameters have been used conventionally to evaluate pos-69 tural control.^{16,17} Rambling (Rm) and trembling (Tr) have 70 been shown to be more sensitive parameters for analyz-71 ing postural control during quiet standing.^{18,19} According to 72 the main hypothesis of the rambling-trembling process, the 73 higher spinal centers control the CoP at instant equilibrium 74 75 points. The net effect of external torques acting on the body is equal to zero at these points, and the CoP coincides with 76 the gravity line extending from the body's center of mass. 77 Rambling component is composed of interpolation of these 78 points. If the body loses the equilibrium state, restoring 79 forces try to return it to an upright stance.²⁰ Trembling is 80 therefore viewed as oscillation of the CoP around the equi-81 librium points, which can be calculated as the differences 82 between CoP and rambling.^{21,22} Therefore, any modulation 83 in muscle responses or spinal reflexes may change trembling. 84 It has been claimed that rambling represents supraspinal 85 processes whereas trembling reflects spinal reflexes and 86

mechanical properties of the muscles and joints.^{23,24}

Research into rambling and trembling can distinguish different spinal and supraspinal mechanisms behind postural control,²⁵ as shown in a number of studies. Freitas et al.²⁶ evaluated the effect of joint immobilization on postural control, and found increased anterior-posterior (AP) and decreased medial-lateral (ML) trembling displacement and velocity in quiet standing with various lower extremity constraints. They proposed that body sway was controlled by two distinct neuromuscular mechanisms involving ankle and hip strategies.²⁶ Solnik et al.²⁷ evaluated postural sway in different pointing tasks and found that rambling and trembling components of postural sway involved different neurophysiological mechanisms. VanderHill et al.²⁸ evaluated the flexibility of the central nervous system in performing different dual task activities, and found that a suprapostural task altered velocities of rambling, trembling and CoP in both AP and ML directions. Rambling and trembling can also reveal adaptive changes in the sensory system over time. For example, whole body vibration has been found to change Rm-Tr paths of body sway in long-term evaluations.¹⁸ Another study by Sarabon et al.²⁹ showed that aging had a strong effect on rambling parameters. These studies showed that the evaluation of postural control by studying rambling and trembling could shed light on new aspects of postural balance that conventional analysis of CoP was unable to detect.

Although research to date has evaluated different neurophysiological aspects of SI joint manipulation, there is no evidence to date of a definite effect of spinal manipulation on postural control by supraspinal and spinal mechanisms. The aim of this clinical trial was to evaluate the effect of SI joint manipulation on balance in patients with SI joint dysfunction. Based on previous studies, our first hypothesis was that SI joint manipulation would change balance parameters in quiet standing. Our second hypothesis was that SI joint manipulation would have a stronger effect on trembling than on rambling.

Methods

Participants

For this 2-arm double blinded randomized clinical trial, 78 127 male and female patients (age range: 20-50 years old) were 128 initially recruited. The patients had lower back pain of 3 129 months' duration, and were recruited from rehabilitation 130

Please cite this article in press as: Farazdaghi MR, et al. Effect of sacroiliac manipulation on postural sway in quiet standing: a randomized controlled trial. Braz J Phys Ther. 2017, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.09.002

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8928935

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8928935

Daneshyari.com