ARTICLE IN PRESS

Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy (2017) xxx, xxx-xxx



Brazilian Journal of Physical Therapy



https://www.journals.elsevier.com/brazilian-journal-of-physical-therapy

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Reliability and validity of active and passive pectoralis minor muscle length measures

Margaret Finley*, Noel Goodstadt, Daniel Soler, Kristin Somerville, Zachary Friedman, David Ebaugh

B Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Science, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, USA

Received 12 May 2016; received in revised form 22 August 2016; accepted 15 September 2016

10	KEYWORDS	Abstract
11	Shoulder;	Background: Pectoralis minor muscle length is believed to play an important role in shoulder
12	Muscle length;	pain and dysfunction. Current clinical procedures for assessing pectoralis minor muscle length
13	Rehabilitation	may not provide the most useful information for clinical decision making.
14		Objective: To establish the reliability and construct validity of a novel technique to measure
15		pectoralis minor muscle length under actively and passively lengthened conditions.
16		Design: Cross-sectional repeated measures.
17		Methods: Thirty-four healthy adults (age: 23.9, SD = 1.6 years; 18 females) participated in this
18		study. Pectoralis minor muscle length was measured on the dominant arm in three length con-
19		ditions: resting, actively lengthened, and passively lengthened. Based upon availability, two
20		raters, out of a pool of five, used a caliper to measure the distance between the coracoid pro-
21		cess and the 4th rib. The average of two pectoralis minor muscle length measures was used
22		for all muscle length conditions and analyses. Intraclass correlation coefficients determined
23		intra-and inter-rater reliability, and measurement error was determined via standard error of
24		measurement and minimal detectable change. Construct validity was assessed by ANOVA to
25		determine differences in muscle length across the three conditions.
26		Results: Our intra- and inter-rater reliability values across all three conditions ranged from
27		0.84 to 0.92 and from 0.80 to 0.90, respectively. Significant differences ($p < 0.001$) in muscle
28		length were found among all three conditions: rest-active (3.66; SD = 1.36 cm), rest-passive
29		(4.72, SD = 1.41 cm), and active-passive (1.06, SD = 0.47 cm).
30		Conclusions: The techniques described in this study for measuring pectoralis minor muscle
31		length under resting and actively and passively lengthened conditions have acceptable reli-
32		ability for clinical decision making.
33		© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier
34		Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

35

* Corresponding author at: Department of Physical Therapy & Rehabilitation Science, Drexel University, Three Parkway Building, 1601 Cherry Street, Mail Stop 7-502, Office 763, Philadelphia, PA 19102, USA. *E-mail*: maf378@drexel.edu (M. Finley).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.04.004

1413-3555/© 2017 Associação Brasileira de Pesquisa e Pós-Graduação em Fisioterapia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Finley M, et al. Reliability and validity of active and passive pectoralis minor muscle length measures. *Braz J Phys Ther.* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.04.004

ARTICLE IN PRESS

97

08

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

36 Introduction

Shoulder pain has been reported to affect up to 67% of the general population across the lifetime.¹ Rotator cuff disease is the most common cause of shoulder pain, interferes with work and functional related activities, and has a negative impact on health-related quality of life.²⁻⁷

The alignment-impairment model has been proposed 43 44 as a way to understand how multiple factors contribute to the development of shoulder pain and dysfunction.^{8,9} 45 This model describes how alignment deviations give rise to 46 structural alterations, which then lead to pathomechanical 47 alterations and development of shoulder pain. The model 48 proposes that several factors contribute to resting scapu-49 lar alignment, including the thoracic spine, shoulder girdle 50 musculature, and tissue flexibility. Persistent postures and 51 repetitive activities that place the shoulder in a protracted 52 position are believed to result in adaptive muscle short-53 ening, which may contribute to malalignment, pain, and 54 ultimately movement dysfunction.⁸⁻¹⁰ Collectively, these 55 impairments are believed to lead to development of shoul-56 der pain and dysfunction.^{8,11-15} 57

The pectoralis minor muscle is believed to play an impor-58 tant role in shoulder girdle alignment and movement.¹⁶ 59 The relationship between resting pectoralis minor muscle 60 length and scapulothoracic movement has been studied in 61 a healthy, young population.^{11,12,17} Individuals with shorter 62 resting pectoralis minor muscle length have been shown to 63 have reduced scapular upward rotation and scapular poste-64 rior tilting during humeral elevation.¹¹ The significance of 65 this information is that these motion patterns are similar to 66 those reported in individuals with shoulder pain secondary 67 to subacromial impingement,¹⁴ rotator cuff disease, and 68 glenohumeral instability.^{18,19} Based on this, clinical assess-69 ment of resting scapular alignment and pectoralis minor 70 muscle length is widely performed as part of a physical 71 therapy examination for individuals with shoulder pain and 72 dysfunction.¹⁶ 73

While resting pectoralis minor muscle length appears to 74 provide potentially useful information about scapular align-75 ment and scapulothoracic motion, it does not provide all 76 of the necessary information for determining how much the 77 muscle can lengthen (muscle extensibility). In light of the 78 fact that a modeling study has shown that the pectoralis 79 minor muscle elongates up to 67% of its initial length dur-80 ing overhead arm motions,²⁰ information about pectoralis 81 minor muscle extensibility could provide further clinical 82 insight into the influence of this muscle on scapulothoracic 83 motion.^{9,10,21} However, determining pectoralis minor muscle 84 extensibility requires a valid and reliable method for mea-85 suring pectoralis minor muscle length when the muscle is in 86 a lengthened position. 87

Therefore, the purposes of this study were to establish 88 the rater reliability and construct validity of our technique 89 for measuring pectoralis minor muscle length under actively 90 and passively lengthened conditions. It was hypothesized 91 that our proposed technique would demonstrate good rel-92 ative and absolute reliability and result in a significant 93 increase in pectoralis minor muscle length as compared 94 to the resting length, thereby establishing the validity of 95 the technique. These measures of pectoralis minor muscle 96

length were then used to determine pectoralis minor muscle extensibility.

Methods

Study design

A cross-sectional, repeated-measures design was employed.

Participants

Participants were recruited from a university campus by personal contact and advertisements. Individuals were eligible to participate if they were between 18 and 35 years of age, free of current shoulder pain, and able to elevate their arms at least 130° . Individuals were excluded from participating if they self-reported any of the following: previously diagnosed scoliosis; a current episode of cervical or lumbar spine pain; shoulder, elbow, forearm, wrist, or hand pain; brachial plexus injury; or nerve palsy affecting the shoulder girdle or upper extremity. Thirty-five participants (female = 18) met the criteria and were enrolled in the study.

Ethical approval statement

All participants signed an informed consent, approved by the Drexel University Institutional Review Board (Protocol # 1408003050), Philadelphia, PA, USA, prior to beginning study procedures.

Raters

Five raters (two licensed physical therapists and three final year physical therapy students) underwent an approximately 90-min measurement procedure training session. This session consisted of reviewing the measurement procedures and having each rater practice on one another until all raters felt comfortable performing all measurements. Rater selection for all participant measurement sessions was based upon rater availability. All raters contributed to data collection sessions, and an attempt was made to balance the number of measurement sessions among raters.

Study procedures

Pectoralis minor muscle length

Pectoralis minor muscle length was defined as the distance between two bony landmarks: the coracoid process and the inferior medial aspect of the 4th rib adjacent to the sternocostal junction. Initial landmark identification occurred with the participant supine where the landmarks were palpated and marked with a dark marker. Landmark location was reassessed while the participant stood in their natural relaxed posture and any necessary adjustments in landmark location were made (Fig. 1A). In an attempt to minimize the influence of anterior chest wall soft tissue mass on pectoralis minor muscle length measures, we used a caliper (palpation meter – PALM) rather than a tape measure. To prevent bias and to mask the rater, for all measures the PALM was placed with the meter facing away from the rater. A second

Please cite this article in press as: Finley M, et al. Reliability and validity of active and passive pectoralis minor muscle length measures. *Braz J Phys Ther.* (2017), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjpt.2017.04.004

2

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8928998

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8928998

Daneshyari.com