

## SPECIAL ISSUE

# Bronchial blockers under pressure: *in vitro* model and *ex vivo* model

M. Carassiti<sup>1,\*</sup>, A. Mattei<sup>1</sup>, C. M. Pizzo<sup>1</sup>, N. Vallone<sup>2</sup>, P. Saccomandi<sup>2</sup> and E. Schena<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Unit of Anesthesia, Intensive Care and Pain Management, Department of Medicine, and <sup>2</sup>Unit of Measurements and Biomedical Instrumentation, Center for Integrated Research, Università Campus Bio-Medico di Roma, Via Álvaro del Portillo 21, Rome 00128, Italy

\*Corresponding author. E-mail: m.carassiti@unicampus.it

## Abstract

**Background:** Pressures ( $P_e$ ) exerted by bronchial blockers on the inner wall of the bronchi may cause mucosal ischaemia. Our aims were as follows: (i) to compare the intracuff pressure ( $P_i$ ) and  $P_e$  exerted by commercially available bronchial blockers in an *in vitro* and an *ex vivo* model; (ii) to investigate the influence of both the inflated intracuff volume and cuff diameter on  $P_e$ ; and (iii) to estimate the minimal sealing volume ( $V_{smin}$ ) and the corresponding  $P_e$  for each bronchial blocker studied.

**Methods:** The  $P_e$  exerted by seven commercial bronchial blockers was measured at different inflation volumes using a custom-designed system using *in vitro* and *ex vivo* animal models with two internal diameters (12 and 15 mm).

**Results:** In the same conditions,  $P_i$  was significantly lower than  $P_e$  ( $P < 0.05$ ), and  $P_e$  was higher in the *in vitro* model than in the *ex vivo* model. The  $P_e$  increased with the inflated volume, with use of the small-diameter model ( $P < 0.05$ ). *Ex vivo* models needed a higher minimal sealing volume than the *in vitro* models, and this volume increased with the diameter (e.g. the  $V_{smin}$  at a positive pressure of 25 cm H<sub>2</sub>O required a  $P_e$  ranging from 12 to 78 mm Hg on the 15 mm *ex vivo* model and from 66 to 110 mm Hg on the 12 mm *ex vivo* model).

**Conclusions:** The  $P_i$  cannot be used to approximate  $P_e$ . The diameter of the model, the inflated volume, and the bronchial blocker design all influence  $P_e$ . A pressure higher than the critical ischaemic threshold (i.e. 25 mm Hg) was needed to prevent air leak around the cuff in the *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models.

**Key words:** bronchial blockers; endobronchial devices; one-lung ventilation; pressure measurements; thoracic surgery

## Editor's key points

- Pressures exerted by bronchial blockers on the inner wall of the bronchi may cause mucosal ischaemia, but there are insufficient data on this topic.
- Pressures exerted by seven commercial bronchial blockers were measured using *in vitro* and *ex vivo* animal models.
- Pressures exerted by the cuffs on the bronchus cannot be estimated by the intracuff pressures, and may frequently exceed the bronchial capillary pressures.

Lung separation is a technique that allows the isolation of one lung from the other and permits the isolated ventilation of one lung or the ventilation of each lung separately. Before this development, carried out for the first time in 1931 by Gale and Waters,<sup>1</sup> only brief intrathoracic surgical procedures were possible, because the movement of the lung and the development of sudden respiratory distress caused by the resulting pneumothorax made these procedures difficult and risky.<sup>2</sup> Since then, research has led to the introduction of new technologies and alternative methods to separate the lungs.<sup>3</sup>

Accepted: March 9, 2016

© The Author 2016. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the British Journal of Anaesthesia. All rights reserved.  
For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

Lung separation can be carried out by two techniques: double-lumen tubes or bronchial blockers.<sup>4</sup> A double-lumen tube is basically made up of two small-lumen tracheal tubes of unequal length fixed side by side. The shorter tube ends in the trachea, whereas the longer one is placed in either the left or right bronchus, selectively ventilating the left or right lung, respectively. Bronchial blockers are devices inserted through a tracheal tube in one of two bronchi, with a single lumen and distally equipped with a single cuff that, inflated with an appropriate volume, allows the seal of the airways and the collapse of the lung.<sup>2</sup>

An inflated cuff exerts a pressure on the tracheal wall to create a seal ( $P_e$ ), and this pressure should not exceed the capillary pressure in order to avoid an insufficient supply of oxygen to sustain the demand of tissues in the area, which leads to cellular damage and death.<sup>5</sup> Therefore,  $P_e$  plays an important role, because it may lead to the occurrence of complications.<sup>2</sup> Previous studies demonstrated that high contact pressures can cause a reduction in mucosal flow, with the risk of mucosal ischaemia.<sup>5–8</sup> Tracheal mucosal damage occurs as a direct consequence of tracheal hypoperfusion.

This pressure is not easy to estimate, both in models and in clinical practice.

Other research groups have focused on the measurement of the pressure exerted by bronchial blockers on *in vitro* models.<sup>9–11</sup> For the cuff of a tracheal tube, this pressure is approximated by the intracuff pressure ( $P_i$ ).<sup>10</sup> However, recent studies have shown that these two pressures often differ substantially and display different trends with inflated volume;  $P_i$  shows a linear trend with volume, whereas the  $P_e$  trend is strongly non-linear.<sup>11</sup> Therefore, small differences in inflated volume may correspond to a high pressure increment.

Moreover, the  $P_e$  is known only for some commercially available bronchial blockers in an *in vitro* model. Therefore, it is necessary to investigate the  $P_e$  exerted by the most popular bronchial blockers and its relationship with the inflated intracuff volume. This analysis can lead to estimation of the  $P_e$  at the minimal sealing volume ( $V_{\text{Smin}}$ ).

The aim of this study is threefold: (i) to perform a comparative analysis of the pressures exerted by six bronchial blockers and by the Fogarty embolectomy catheter on the inner wall of an *in vitro* and an *ex vivo* model, analysing the difference between  $P_i$  and  $P_e$ ; (ii) to investigate the influence of both the inflated intracuff volume and the inner diameter of the models on  $P_e$ ; and (iii) to estimate the  $V_{\text{Smin}}$  and the corresponding  $P_e$  of each bronchial blocker under test.

## Methods

We compared six bronchial blockers: Arndt and Cohen (both sold by Cook Critical Care, Bloomington, Indiana, USA), Uniblocker and Fuggiano (both sold by Fuji Systems Corporation, Tokyo, Japan), EZ blocker (Teleflex, Wayne, Pennsylvania, USA), and Coopdech (Daiken Medical, Tokyo, Japan). We also included in our study the Fogarty embolectomy catheter, frequently used in the past as a bronchial blocker. Experiments were performed on both *in vitro* (i.e. two latex ducts with different diameters) and *ex vivo* models (i.e. excised pig bronchi). All the experiments were performed by inflating the cuffs to the volume recommended by the manufacturer.

### Bronchial models: *in vitro* and *ex vivo* models

The *in vitro* models consist of two latex passages (ducts) with different inner diameters (i.e. 12 and 15 mm), simulating the sizes of the left and right human bronchi. These two tubes were custom fabricated through successive immersions of a mould in uncured latex.

The *ex vivo* animal models consist of two freshly excised swine mainstream bronchi. Their inner diameters were measured with callipers: 12 mm for the left bronchus, and 15 mm for the right one. The experiments on *ex vivo* models were carried out 2 h after the animal was butchered, in order to avoid post-mortem changes in the mechanical properties of the excised tissue.

### Measurement systems

The  $P_e$  exerted by bronchial blockers was measured with a custom-designed system based on two piezoresistive force sensors (FSR 400; Interlink Electronics Inc., Los Angeles, California, USA) chosen for their geometrical features [i.e. circular active area with small diameter (5.08 mm) and small thickness 0.30 mm ( $\text{SD} = 0.03$  mm)] and for their measurement range (i.e. from 0.2 to 20 N); see Fig. 1. The measurement process is described in detail in a previously published work.<sup>12</sup> Briefly, the cuff of each bronchial blocker was inserted within the duct and inflated with an air volume ranging from 1 to 8–12 ml, in steps of 1 ml. The maximal volume inflated (i.e. 8–12 ml) was chosen either by using the values recommended by manufacturers or, when it was not specified (i.e. EZ blocker), avoiding rupture of the cuff from excessive pressure. The sensor output for each inflated volume was recorded to estimate  $P_e$ . Simultaneously, we also measured  $P_i$  by connecting the cuff to a manometer.

Lastly, we investigated the  $V_{\text{Smin}}$  necessary to seal the latex duct by inserting the cuff of the bronchial blockers within the bronchus model and by applying a pressure difference of 25 cm  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  at the two extremities of the cuff. This pressure was continuously monitored using a manometer, with the value of 25 cm  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  being chosen because it is considered to be the highest value normally applied during mechanical ventilation (positive pressure ventilation) in clinical settings.<sup>11</sup>

The distal end of the bronchus model was submerged in a water-filled vessel, and the cuff was inflated with increasing volume in steps of 0.5 ml. This solution allows the identification of bronchial blocker leaks, by noting air bubbles arising under the water, and recording the  $V_{\text{Smin}}$ , as indicated by a lack of this bubbling.

### Statistical analysis

Differences between experimental data were compared using Student's paired t-test and were considered significant for  $P < 0.05$ . The statistical analyses were performed in the Matlab® (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts, USA) environment.

## Results

We focused on the following factors: (i) a comparative analysis of  $P_e$  exerted by the most commonly used bronchial blockers in clinical practice; (ii)  $P_i$ , highlighting the difference from  $P_e$ ; and (iii) the  $V_{\text{Smin}}$  of each device under test, analysing the  $P_e$  needed to ensure occlusion of the ducts.

### *In vitro* experiments concerning $P_e$ and $P_i$

The relationship between  $P_e$  and the inflated volume was non-linear for all the bronchial blockers;  $P_e$  increased with the volume at a growing rate. Moreover, the diameter of the duct strongly influenced  $P_e$ ; for each bronchial blocker,  $P_e$  was significantly higher using the 12 mm model than the 15 mm one ( $P < 0.05$ ). The data also showed that  $P_e$  experienced wide variations in the range of inflated volume recommended by the manufacturers (e.g. the recommended range for the Cohen is from 6 to 9 ml, and in this

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8930843>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8930843>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)