Accepted Manuscript

Identifying the risks and opportunities of unconventional oil and gas extraction using the strategic environmental assessment

Surina Esterhuyse

PII: S2468-5844(17)30065-X

DOI: 10.1016/j.coesh.2018.03.009

Reference: COESH 35

To appear in: Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health

Received Date: 11 December 2017

Revised Date: 20 March 2018 Accepted Date: 25 March 2018

Please cite this article as: Esterhuyse S, Identifying the risks and opportunities of unconventional oil and gas extraction using the strategic environmental assessment, *Current Opinion in Environmental Science & Health* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.coesh.2018.03.009.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

	Ideal SE	A process for unconventional oil and gas developmen	nt
	Project phase	Key considerations	Activities
Overarching principles: Salency, legitimacy and credibility.	1. Conceptualisation	Achieving <i>saliency</i> by ensuring that the UOG SEA covers all the important issues and concerns Achieving <i>legitimacy</i> by ensuring that the SEA is grounded in transparent and participatory processes Achieving <i>credibility</i> by including groups of leading experts and implementing rigorous scientific review structures Developing <i>databases and spatial frameworks</i> to support environmental integration in the SEA	Develop SEA framework and solicit experts (Administrators) Adhere to overarching SEA principles (Process governance groups) develop literature and monitoring databases, Spatial database for maps (Scientific experts)
	2. Scientific assessment	Include scenario based systems-oriented analyses of future possibilities by including low vs high activity impacts of UOG development Consider short term and long term effects of UOG development Consider regional, cumulative and synergistic impacts of UOG extraction Operationalize sustainability in the SEA Ensure proper consideration of project alternatives Ensure accountability and efficient strategic decision-making by grounding the scientific assessment process in transparent and participatory processes Enhance the credibility of the SEA by public participation and stakeholder involvement for more transparency and better process governance	Conduct a science-based assessment to improve understanding of the risks and opportunities of shale gas development (Scientific experts) Translate existing scientific information into a form usable by policymakers (Scientific experts) Perform an extensive, transparent review process to ensure saliency, legitimacy and credibility (Scientific peers & public)
	3. Decision-making framework	Develop a decision-making document Include rules for sitting, phasing and managing projects in the decision-making document	Translate the outputs from the scientific assessment into operational guidelines and decision making frameworks (Project Team in close consultation with the various affected government Departments).
	4. Follow-up	Perform follow-up or monitoring studies that can trigger management action if required after evaluation	Monitor and assess government implementation of decision-making framework (Independent broad based monitoring agency). Implement adaptive environmental management if required.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8940598

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8940598

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>