Laparoscopic, Endoscopic, and Robotic Surgery xxx (2018) 1-4

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Laparoscopic, Endoscopic, and Robotic Surgery

進夫医療· NOT

57

59

65

66 67 68

69

70

71 72

73

74

75

76

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

journal homepage: www.keaipublishing.com/en/journals/ laparoscopic-endoscopic-and-robotic-surgery

Performance of single versus two operators in laparoscopic surgery

Bo Bao, Wenjing He, Bin Zheng*

Surgical Simulation Research Lab, Department of Surgery, University of Alberta, Edmonton AB, Canada

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 21 November 2017 Accepted 10 January 2018 Available online xxx

Keywords: Laparoscopic surgery Simulation Team training Skill assessment Surgical education

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

ABSTRACT

Background: To examine the significance of team collaboration in the context of complex laparoscopic surgery, laparoscopic tasks performed by single operators are compared against that of dyad teams. *Methods*: The laparoscopic tasks require subjects to reach, grasp and transport a ring through a rollercoaster obstacle using a pair of laparoscopic graspers. The task was performed either bimanually (using both hands) or unimanually (using their preferred hands) in a dyad team.

Results: 12 participants completed all the tasks. The dyad teams recorded significantly greater number of anticipatory movements than individuals who performed the task bimanually (2 vs 1, P < 0.05). However, there is no significant difference in the task completion time (P = 0.701) and the number of errors (P = 0.860) recorded between the dyad and the bimanual group.

Conclusion: Compared to a single operator, dyad operators performed the task with greater number of anticipatory movements. The increased movement synchronization can help benefit surgical education and team training.

© 2018 Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Laparoscopic surgery or otherwise known as minimally invasive surgery is one of the fastest growing surgical approach. It has become the standard of care for operations involving the thoracic, abdominal and pelvic cavities. Laparoscopic procedures are carried out using a video camera and several long instruments placed through small incisions in the abdomen of the patient. Due to its advantage of shorter hospital stay following surgery, fewer wound associated complications, improved cosmesis and less incisional pain, it is increasingly favored by patients and physicians alike. 1-6 However, the lack of haptic feedback, limited visual information and inflexibility of instruments poses different challenges for the surgeon as the ability to efficiently manipulate tissue is reduced.⁷ Additional difficulties with laparoscopic operations results from the utilization of multiple instruments through multiple ports scattered across the abdomen. One solution to facilitate complex laparoscopic operations is for the attending surgeons to collaborate with surgical assistants: residents, medical students and nurses in manipulation of surgical instruments.

To complete everyday complex tasks, individuals often use both 05

Within this study, we assessed laparoscopic bimanual performance and compared the performance with that of dyad group. The

E-mail address: bzheng1@ualberta.ca (B. Zheng).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lers.2018.01.002

2468-9009/© 2018 Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital affiliated to Zhejiang University School of Medicine. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Please cite this article in press as: Bao B, et al., Performance of single versus two operators in laparoscopic surgery, Laparoscopic, Endoscopic, and Robotic Surgery (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lers.2018.01.002

hands concordantly, with each hand serving a slightly different purpose. The manipulation of objects through our hands provides the sensation and perception that defines the relationship between the objects and the person. In conjunction with visual feedback, the non-preferred hand provides reference and context information while the preferred hand guide movement.^{8,9} This haptic input becomes particularly important when visual information is limited. as is often the case in laparoscopic surgery. However, haptic feedback is reduced as well in laparoscopic surgery since the hands of the surgeon makes contact with the target through the means of a long-shaft instrument. The indirect collection of information about the target may be inadequate for providing the reference and context information that would be useful. Hence, the loss of sensory information in laparoscopic surgery could increase the mental workload and attentional demands of the surgeon, consequently degrading surgical performance. By adding more operators, we have the opportunity of increasing the capacity for information processing thereby share the burden of performing a complexed laparoscopic task amongst two operators. Due to the mentally demanding nature of a laparoscopic approach, we wish to investigate if it would be more efficient for the instruments to be controlled by two operators unimanually or by one operator bimanually.

 $^{^{\}ast}$ Corresponding author. Department of Surgery, University of Alberta, 162 HMRC - 8440 112 St, Edmonton, AB T6G 2R3, Canada.

B. Bao et al. / Laparoscopic, Endoscopic, and Robotic Surgery xxx (2018) 1-4

performance of each group was analyzed and evaluated by comparing the task duration, number of errors made and also the number of anticipatory actions. We hypothesize that the performance of the dyad group would be better than that of a single operator, if working in a team facilitates greater efficiency in task completion.

2. Methods

2.1. Participants

A total of 12 subjects (university students) were recruited and randomly assigned to one of two groups forming 12 bimanual groups and 6 dyad groups. All participants are right-handed with 20/20 normal or corrected-to-normal vision who were novices to laparoscopic procedures and tasks. The University of Alberta Health Ethics Review Board approved the study's protocol. Informed consent was obtained from each participant.

2.2. Apparatus

Tasks were completed in a laparoscopic training box measuring $43~\rm cm \times 33~\rm cm \times 31~\rm cm$. The training box is composed of a centrally mounted camera, light source, video monitor and two laparoscopic graspers. The entry ports of the instruments were separated by 9 cm. The subjects were required to perform the task in the dyad team (Fig. 1A) or the individual bimanual setting (Fig. 1B). At the center of the training box was a wire roller coaster with a ring which can be manipulated along the course of the wire requiring the use of both wrist articulation and grasping. The task consists of passing the ring along the tortuous course of the wire, aiming to pass the ring along as fast as possible without touching the wire with the ring. The images were projected on a 19-in color monitor (Fig. 1C).

2.3. Task and procedure

We designed an experiment requiring participants to reach, grasp, and pass a ring through a wire roller coaster using a pair of laparoscopic grasper. The participants were either asked to perform the task as an individual bimanually or as part of a dyad team to perform the task unimanually (Fig. 1). To start off, the participants were instructed to hold their respective tools such that the tips of the two graspers are both on the start plate. When given the verbal signal to begin, the right grasper will be used to pick up the ring, which will be located at the base of the rightmost loop. The participant controlling the right loop will begin passing the ring along the wire. At the top of each loop of the obstacle, the ring will be transferred from one grasper to the other. Once at the end of the obstacle, the process will be repeated in the opposite direction. Hence, a total of six passes will be completed by the end of the task.

The completion of the task will be signaled by the return of the grasper tips to their starting positions at the center plate. This study requires coordination and allows assessments of teamwork capacity. For the given task, the participants in the dyad groups will hold the grasper in each of their dominant hands. To ensure all participants have a good understanding of the task at hand, they were provided with instructions verbally as well as being shown a video demonstration. One practice trial was given to each subject or dyad team for participants to complete one full run of ring transfer.

2.4. Video analysis

2.4.1. Task time

The duration measured in seconds for completion of the task were recorded.

2.4.2. Anticipatory movement

The number of anticipatory movements was obtained for each trial. Anticipatory movement was used in our previous study to indicate movement collaboration between team members. Anticipatory movement is often defined as the preparatory movement performed by one team member toward facilitating the upcoming task step before the other member in the team is finishing the on-going step. In this study, anticipatory movement was defined as the movement of the resting grasper to within 1 cm of the top of the loop (where the ring is passed) and with the grasper open to greater than 30°.

2.4.3. Number of errors

The number of ring drops was recorded as a measure of discoordination between the graspers.

2.5. Data analysis

ANOVA was utilized to compare the task performance between the individual and the dyad team approach to laparoscopic tasks. Results of the study were reported as mean with standard deviation. A *P* value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

A total of 12 university students were recruited to the study and completed the tasks. All participants were novices to manipulation of laparoscopic instrumentation. The performances of dyad and bimanual groups were characterized by total task time, number of errors and number anticipatory movements as summarized in Table 1. Significant differences were noted in the number of anticipatory movements (P < 0.05, Fig. 2), but not for the total task time (P = 0.701) and number of errors (P = 0.860). The number of anticipatory movements observed in the dyad team was significantly higher than that observed in a bimanual approach







Fig. 1. Participants were asked to perform a laparoscopic task which involves passing a ring through a rollercoaster obstacle either in a dyad group or as an individual.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8941005

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8941005

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>