



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Revista de Administração

RAUSP
Management Journal

Revista de Administração xxx (2017) xxx–xxx

<http://rausp.usp.br/>

Technology management

Technological coevolution in the electric energy sector

Coevolução tecnológica no setor de energia elétrica

Coevolución tecnológica en el sector de la energía eléctrica

Camila Franco ^{a,*}, Samuel Façanha Câmara ^b, Ronaldo Couto Parente ^c

^a Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Instituto COPPEAD de Administração, Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

^b Universidade Estadual do Ceará, Fortaleza, CE, Brazil

^c Florida International University, Miami, FL, United States

Received 14 September 2016; accepted 24 February 2017

Scientific Editor: Filipe Quevedo-Silva

Abstract

Q2 This article analyzes the co-evolution of technological capabilities of electric companies' subsidiaries and Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) connected through common Research and Development (R&D) projects. The analysis is based on the following variables: learning, network and autonomy, which together form the construct of Embeddedness, i.e. the level of involvement these companies develop. In order to achieve the objectives, the authors conducted interviews aiming to identify the characteristics of each variable. As a result, an evolution in the technological capabilities was found, in both the subsidiaries and the partner companies, after the development of the projects. This accumulation is achieved through the relationship with the levels of Embeddedness (learning and network); and such relationship is directly proportional in the beginning of the projects and inversely proportional in the end. The change in the relationship between variables highlights the companies' capacity to absorb and accumulate the acquired knowledge even when the partnership has already ended.

© 2017 Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP.

Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Keywords: Coevolution; Technologic capabilities; Electric energy

Resumo

O artigo objetiva analisar como ocorre a coevolução das Capacidades Tecnológicas de subsidiárias de energia elétrica e Pequenas e Médias Empresas (PMEs) que se relacionam a partir da execução de projetos de Pesquisa e Desenvolvimento (P&D). A análise é baseada nas seguintes variáveis: aprendizado, *network* e autonomia, as quais, juntas, formam o constructo *Embeddedness* referente ao envolvimento que estas empresas estabelecem entre si. Para alcançar o objetivo proposto, os autores realizaram entrevistas visando diagnosticar as características presentes dentro de cada variável. Como resultado encontrou-se uma evolução nas capacidades tecnológicas tanto das subsidiárias como das empresas parceiras após o desenvolvimento dos projetos. Este acúmulo é conseguido através da relação com os níveis de Envolvimento (aprendizado e *network*); relação esta que se apresenta diretamente proporcional no início dos projetos e inversamente proporcional ao final deles. Esta mudança na relação entre as variáveis destaca a capacidade que as empresas desenvolvem em absorver e acumular o conhecimento adquirido mesmo quando já finalizada a parceria.

© 2017 Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP.

Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Palavras-chave: Coevolução; Capacidades tecnológicas, Energia elétrica

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: camila.franco@coppead.ufrj.br (C. Franco).

Peer Review under the responsibility of Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rausp.2017.02.001>

0080-2107/© 2017 Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

31 **Resumen**

32 El objetivo en este artículo es analizar cómo se produce la coevolución de las capacidades tecnológicas de filiales de energía eléctrica y pequeñas y
33 medianas empresas (PyMEs) que se relacionan a partir de proyectos de Investigación y Desarrollo (I&D). El análisis tiene como base las siguientes
34 variables: aprendizaje, redes y autonomía, que forman el constructo de *Embeddedness* referente a la relación que estas empresas establecen entre
35 sí. Para lograr el objetivo propuesto, los autores han llevado a cabo entrevistas con el fin de examinar las características presentes en cada variable.
36 Como resultado, se encuentra una evolución en las capacidades tecnológicas tanto de las filiales como de las empresas asociadas después del
37 desarrollo de los proyectos. Esta acumulación se logra por medio de la relación con los niveles de *Embeddedness* (aprendizaje y redes); una
38 relación que se muestra directamente proporcional al comienzo de los proyectos e inversamente proporcional a su término. Este cambio en la
39 relación entre las variables destaca la capacidad que las empresas desarrollan para absorber y acumular el conocimiento adquirido, incluso cuando
40 la asociación o colaboración ya ha terminado.

41 © 2017 Departamento de Administração, Faculdade de Economia, Administração e Contabilidade da Universidade de São Paulo – FEA/USP.
Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

42 **Palabras clave:** Coevolución; Capacidades tecnológicas; Energía eléctrica

44 **Introduction**

45 Researchers are increasingly addressing studies of multinational
46 subsidiaries (Achcaouaou & Miravilles, 2012; Bartlett
47 & Goshal, 1986; Birkinshaw, Hood, & Young, 2005; Cantwell
48 & Mudambi, 2005; Lee, 2010), in the area of strategy and international
49 negotiations. This growth is based on the fact that
50 subsidiaries are organizations that could have a strong impact
51 on their host economy, due largely to the fact that these companies
52 have easy access to resources from their parent-companies,
53 sometimes sharing valuable assets such as knowhow among the
54 different units, incorporating the relations of the countries in
55 which they are based and thus facilitating intra-knowledge and
56 inter-firm flow (Almeida & Phene, 2004).

57 Since they are immersed in a new environment, often at a
58 certain cultural distance, the subsidiaries endeavor to partner
59 with local companies to set up networks. This includes those
60 aiming for technological development in order to reach a higher
61 level of organizational performance since, according to Liu and
62 Chaminade (2010), network links are positively related to the
63 performance of technological innovation.

64 Moreover, to achieve this performance of technological
65 innovation, companies still aim to develop what we know as
66 technological capabilities, which are nothing but the resources
67 required to generate and manage technical change (Bell & Pavitt,
68 1993), in other words, the innovation process.

69 According to Polanyi (1944) these partnerships built up by
70 companies can be understood as embeddedness, which is char-
71 acterized as an immersion of these players (companies) in social
72 relationships in their own sphere. Uzzi (1996) adds to this idea
73 saying that these social links created with various players in their
74 environment could contribute to achieving performance.

75 When subsidiaries build these partnerships with local small
76 and medium-size enterprises (SMEs), the study of such inter-
77 play also becomes more relevant to the extent that: (i) there is
78 sparse literature on the success of innovations in this context
79 and the study of capabilities required to further such a process
80 and (ii) the incentive given to innovation of this type of enter-
81 prize is a significant part of the effort of technological innovator
82 policies developed in emerging countries, since they believe in

83 the key role of these policies in national economic development
(Forsman, 2009; Lee, 2010; OECD, 2005).

84 Numerous papers in literature are concerned with the role
85 of subsidiaries of multinational corporations (MNC) in their
86 host countries, mainly in the relationship formed with local
87 economies (Cantwell & Mudambi, 2005; Chang, Mellahi &
88 Wilkinson, 2009). Several subjects are addressed constantly
89 adopting this viewpoint, such as creating knowledge (Almeida
90 & Phene, 2004); performance (Andersson, Forsgren, & Holm,
91 2002; Birkinshaw et al., 2005); innovation (Kokko & Kravtsova,
92 2008); networking (Achcaouaou & Miravilles, 2012) and so
93 on.

94 It is noticeable in the past few years that studies have intensi-
95 fied regarding the development of innovative and technological
96 corporate capabilities, including papers addressing the question
97 in the international sphere from the viewpoint of technolog-
98 ical evolution of these companies and investigating the role of
99 subsidiaries in the creation and accumulation of such technolog-
100 ical capabilities (Almeida & Phene, 2004; Ariffin & Bell, 1999;
101 Birkinshaw & Hood, 1998; Chang et al., 2009; Lee, 2010).

102 However, many studies that address the behavior of sub-
103 sidiaries portray the reality of businesses mostly located in Asian
104 countries, namely China, Malaysia and Taiwan (Chang et al.,
105 2009; Lee, 2010), thereby revealing the specificities of these
106 places, creating a gap in geographical coverage in literature.
107 There are many differences between countries in the Far East
108 and the West and this is reflected in the economy, technology
109 and innovation in those countries. So it is important that the
110 role of MNC subsidiaries is also studied more in the emerging
111 countries in the West, so that a comparison can therefore be
112 made of these two contexts.

113 One example of these studies is by Chang et al. (2009) who
114 present characteristics of the embeddedness process between the
115 multinational and subsidiary, when the latter plunges into a new
116 environment. In this case, Taiwan multinationals exert strong
117 control over their UK subsidiaries. Another example is the work
118 by Ariffin and Bell (1999) who studied some subsidiaries located
119 in Malaysia: the results concern the mechanisms of technolog-
120 ical learning, which these companies now use and provide a prior
121 condition to joining R&D-based innovation.

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8941127>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8941127>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)