

# Crush behaviour of auxetic cellular structures

N. Novak\*, M. Vesenjak, Z. Ren

*Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia*

Received 24 October 2017; accepted 18 December 2017

## Abstract

The auxetic cellular structures build from inverted tetrapods were fabricated and experimentally tested using uniaxial compression tests in two orthogonal directions. Based on experimental results, the computational models using homogenised material model were developed and validated in LS-DYNA. Furthermore, the computational models based on Smooth Particle Hydrodynamic (SPH) method for simulation of blast loading conditions were developed, verified and validated according to the data available in literature. This computational model was then used to simulate the crush behaviour of composite sandwich panel with auxetic core under blast loading. The results show that the use of the composite sandwich panel with auxetic core reduces the maximum displacement by 33% in comparison to the monolithic plate of the similar mass, while simultaneously a 6% mass reduction can be observed.

© 2018 Sociedade Portuguesa de Materiais (SPM). Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Cellular materials; Auxetic; Numerical simulations; Blast loading

## 1. Introduction

Auxetic cellular structures are materials with negative Poisson's ratio, which have some unique and advanced mechanical properties in comparison to other conventional materials [1,2]. The negative Poisson's ratio behaviour is in most cases a consequence of internal structure deformation, while the effect of negative Poisson's ratio can be useful for many different applications to enhance different mechanical properties [2,3]. These properties can be further tailored by using variable cell geometry and density distribution, which can be achieved with functionally graded porosity of auxetic cellular structure. The structures with functionally graded geometry have many advantages in case of the dynamic loading (e.g. impact, blast loading), where the response of the structure can be optimised for specific application needs [4].

Energy absorption properties of auxetic cellular structures are especially important in crash, ballistic and blast protection applications [5]. Blast loading experiments are very expensive, while computational simulations offer an alternative fast estimation of

structural response under blast loading conditions [6,7]. In the literature there are only a few reports on the experimental blast loading of monolithic [8] and composite sandwich panels with cellular core [9]. There are however a few recent publications about computational simulations of blast loading of composite panels with auxetic core using empirical ConWep approach [7]. In this work we used a more sophisticated method and detailed approach to simulate the blast loading, i.e. the Smooth Particle Hydrodynamic (SPH) method. The SPH is a meshless method which uses Lagrangian description of leading equations. It was developed for astrophysical high velocity problems [10]. It is applicable in structural mechanics problems, where very large deformation causes convergence problems even if explicit solvers have been applied. The main difference between classical mesh-based approaches and the meshless SPH method is that there is no information flow between mesh and nodes, as for example in the case of the finite elements method (FEM). In the case of SPH method, the particles carry all the information [11].

## 2. Experimental testing and numerical models

The analysed geometry of the auxetic cellular structure is built from inverted tetrapods [12,4] and fabricated from Ti6Al4V

\* Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* [n.novak@um.si](mailto:n.novak@um.si) (N. Novak).




Fig. 1. Geometry of the specimen.

powder using Selective Electron Beam Melting (SEBM) method at the Institute of Materials Science and Technology (WTM), University of Erlangen-Nürnberg, Germany. The specimen's dimensions are  $16.2 \times 19.5 \times 21.3$  mm ( $6 \times 9 \times 9$  unit cells) in the X1, X2 and X3 direction, while the strut thickness is approximately 0.5 mm (Fig. 1). The bulk density of the specimens is  $636 \text{ kg/m}^3$ .

Uniaxial compression tests of auxetic cellular structures build from inverted tetrapods under quasi-static loading conditions were performed on the servo-hydraulic INSTRON 8801 testing machine with position controlled cross-head rate of 0.1 mm/s, according to the standard ISO 13314: 2011 [13]. Three specimens were tested only in two directions (X2 and X3) with the maximum applied macroscopic strain of up to 80%. The loading of the auxetic structure in directions X1 and X2 results in similar response of the structure, which has already been observed in [14] and also confirmed by computational simulations. For determination of the compressive response of the tested auxetic structure in three characteristic orthogonal directions (i.e. X1, X2 and X3) it is therefore necessary to test the samples only in two different loading direction, i.e. directions X2 and X3. The recorded load-displacement data were converted to engineering stress-strain data, using the initial specimen's dimensions. The absorbed energy per unit volume (strain energy density) was calculated by integrating the stress-strain relationship.

The results from experimental testing were used for validation of the computational models in the LS-DYNA, where a homogenised crushable foam material model (\*MAT\_63) was applied – specimens were modelled with the solid finite elements in contrast to discrete geometry as used in [4]. The hardening behaviour of material model was defined according to the results of quasi-static uniaxial compression testing. The strain rate sensitivity on the global mechanical response might be for the case of brittle base material as Ti6Al4V neglected, but the mechanical response could be different at higher strain rates due to change of deformation mode to shock mode [15]. The solid finite elements and homogenised material model offer a simple and fast calculation of the global cellular structure's deformation, while it is not possible to observe in detail the deformation process of cellular inner structure. However, the macroscopic computed response under the uniaxial compression loading corresponds very well with the experimental results for both loading directions (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. Experimental and computational results of auxetic structure subjected to compression testing in two orthogonal directions.

The comparison of the energy absorption shows even a better fit, with an error (deviation between experimental and numerical results) of only 0.5%. In the case of loading in direction X2, the value of specific energy is 9.66 J/kg in the experiment and 9.64 J/kg in the simulation. In the case of loading in direction X3, the value of specific energy is 9.19 J/kg in the experiment and 9.24 J/kg in the simulation. It can be concluded that the homogenised computational model gives very good results for the case of uniaxial compression loading, and can be also used for other loading cases, where compressive deformation is dominant.

### 3. Blast loading

The case of blast loading on the composite sandwich panel with auxetic core was studied to additionally observe the crush behaviour of the auxetic cellular structure. The experimental results reported in literature were considered to validate the monolithic steel plate computational model under blast loading [8]. Six different loading cases were analysed in these experiments, where the mass of the explosive and the distance between the plate and the explosive were varied. The study presented in this paper focused on three of these experiments and their data is given in Table 1, where  $t_{pl}$  is the plate thickness,  $a$  is the width and length of the plate,  $h_{SoD}$  is the distance between the TNT and the plate,  $m_{TNT}$  is the mass of the TNT explosive.

The boundary conditions including the double symmetry and material properties of the plate (Table 2) and explosive were

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8941156>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8941156>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)