Accepted Manuscript

Title: A comparison of the Avisoft (5.2) and Ultravox (2.0) recording systems: Implications for early-life communication and vocalization research

Authors: Matthew S. Binder, Christian J. Hernandez-Zegada, Christian T. Potter, Nolan O. Suzanne, Joaquin N. Lugo

PII: S0165-0270(18)30251-6

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2018.08.015


Reference: NSM 8087

To appear in: Journal of Neuroscience Methods

Received date: 28-6-2018 Revised date: 10-8-2018 Accepted date: 11-8-2018

Please cite this article as: Binder MS, Hernandez-Zegada CJ, Potter CT, Suzanne NO, Lugo JN, A comparison of the Avisoft (5.2) and Ultravox (2.0) recording systems: Implications for early-life communication and vocalization research, *Journal of Neuroscience Methods* (2018), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2018.08.015

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

A comparison of the Avisoft (5.2) and Ultravox (2.0) recording systems: Implications for early-life communication and vocalization research

Matthew S. Binder¹, Christian J. Hernandez-Zegada¹, Christian T. Potter¹, Nolan O. Suzanne¹, Joaquin N. Lugo^{1,2,3*}

¹Department of Psychology and Neuroscience, Baylor University, Waco, TX, 76798, USA. ²Department of Biology, Baylor University, Waco, TX, 76798, USA. ³Institute of Biomedical Studies, Baylor University, Waco, TX 76798, USA.


*Corresponding author Joaquin N. Lugo, PhD Baylor University Department of Psychology and Neuroscience One Bear Place # 97334 Waco, TX 76798

Phone: 254-710-2389; FAX: 254-710-3033

*E-mail: joaquin_lugo@baylor.edu

Graphical abstract





Highlights

- Avisoft detected more vocalizations overall than Ultravox.
- Avisoft detected more total vocalizations at 50, 60, and 70 kHz than Ultravox.

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8946019

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8946019

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>