




Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Arthroplasty Today

journal homepage: <http://www.orthoplastytoday.org/>

## Case report

## Catastrophic failure of a dual mobility bearing in a revision total hip arthroplasty

Brett G. Brazier, BA <sup>a,\*</sup>, Ethan R. Wren, DO <sup>b</sup>, Michael J. Blackmer, DO <sup>b</sup>,  
Dominic V. Marino, DO <sup>b</sup>, Jason M. Cochran, DO <sup>c</sup><sup>a</sup> College of Osteopathic Medicine, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA<sup>b</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA<sup>c</sup> Department of Orthopedic Surgery, McLaren Greater Lansing Hospital, Sparrow Hospital, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, USA

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 7 February 2018

Received in revised form

16 March 2018

Accepted 16 March 2018

Available online xxx

## Keywords:

Dual mobility bearing

Polyethylene wear

Revision total hip arthroplasty

Increased abduction angle

## ABSTRACT

The following case demonstrates an example of a catastrophic failure of a dual mobility (DM) bearing used in the setting of a revision total hip arthroplasty for an acetabular component with an excessive abduction angle. Currently, in the literature, it has been demonstrated that DM bearings have decreased polyethylene wear at abduction angles up to 65°; however, this has only been shown in in vitro studies. To our knowledge, there have been no reports of in vivo DM bearings that have demonstrated these same results. In this case, a DM bearing was used in a revision surgery with a retained acetabular component that had an abduction angle of approximately 70°–75° which ultimately led to catastrophic polyethylene failure.

Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Introduction

An option in total hip arthroplasty (THA) has been the dual mobility (DM) bearing. This concept has been around for more than 40 years; however, it was only recently approved for use in the United States in 2009 [1]. The DM acetabular bearing uses 2 articulations: an inner smaller diameter articulation between the head and polyethylene bearing and an outer larger articulation between the bearing and acetabular shell. It is believed that this increases stability by creating a larger jump distance, making a larger head-to-neck ratio, and increasing the range of motion. This provides a theoretical advantage for patients at higher risk of instability [2]. Although DM bearings may provide increased stability, aseptic loosening, secondary to polyethylene wear, is still a concern for this type of prosthesis [3].

Even with the many recent improvements to polyethylene, such as the use of crosslinking through controlled irradiation [4] and additives like vitamin E [5], catastrophic polyethylene failure is a reason for revision and can be accelerated secondary to other

factors. One of these proposed factors suggested by Charnley [6] and Kabo et al. [7] is that the acetabular component orientation might influence the wear vector and cause accelerated polyethylene wear. Many recent studies have shown that when the abduction angle or inclination of the cup is greater than 45°, it directly causes accelerated wear in traditional THA [8].

A traditional THA with an increased abduction angle can cause increased polyethylene wear. It has also been suggested that a DM construct increases wear as well [9]. The question this report poses is does the combination of both synergistically increase wear? Looking at this question, Loving et al. [10,11] found a significantly lower wear rate and volumetric loss with the 22.2-, 36-, or 48-mm DM bearings in comparison to single articulation THA at an inclination angles of 65° in vitro. Although this may be the case in vitro, we are unaware of any studies replicating these results in vivo [12]. The following report examines a case where a DM bearing was placed during a revision surgery, in which the primary acetabular abduction angle was approximately 70°–75° which resulted in catastrophic polyethylene failure.

## Case history

The authors of the following case report have had the patient's consent to having her data being submitted for publication. A 66-year-old female with a body mass index of 40.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup> presented to the

No author associated with this article has disclosed any potential or pertinent conflicts which may be perceived to have impending conflict with this work. For full disclosure statements refer to <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2018.03.004>.

\* Corresponding author. 4185 Cornell Road, Okemos, MI 48874, USA. Tel.: +1 517 347 3735.


E-mail address: [brazier.brett@gmail.com](mailto:brazier.brett@gmail.com)

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2018.03.004>


2352-3441/Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

clinic with a painful left THA after undergoing an elective metal-on-metal left THA via a minimally invasive posterolateral approach for the treatment of osteoarthritis at an outside institution. At this time, there was concern for metallosis secondary to the metal-on-metal bearing. In addition, it was noted that there was excessive abduction of the acetabular component (Fig. 1a and b). She had increasing pain and elevated metal ion levels with chromium at 77.8 ppb and cobalt at 131 ppb. We opted not to obtain a preoperative MRI as we offered revision because of the markedly elevated serum metal ions and would be prepared for abductor insufficiency if encountered.

At the time of revision surgery, a posterolateral approach using the previous incision was extended, and it was found that there was atrophy of the gluteus maximus with some metallosis-type debris deep inside it. A thorough synovectomy was completed. Upon inspection of the femoral head articulation, there were signs of metallosis and some wear of the femoral head component with no corrosion at the head/neck junction noted. The femoral head was dislocated and removed. Intraoperatively, we determined that the femoral component was well fixed and that the monoblock acetabular component was also well fixed with no visual evidence of damage to the polished surface of the acetabular shell. The decision was made to leave it in place. Owing to the increased abduction angle, it was postulated that a dual articulation ceramic femoral head would provide a mechanical stability advantage and allow us to limit the morbidity associated with explanting the cup. The Biomet Biolog Option hip adapter with an active articulation



**Figure 1.** Anteroposterior (AP) pelvis radiograph (a) demonstrating an approximate abduction angle of 72°. Cross-table lateral radiograph of the left hip (b) demonstrating approximately 35° of anteversion.



**Figure 2.** AP pelvis radiograph (a) completed 4 weeks after revision surgery. Cross-table lateral radiograph of the left hip (b) completed 4 weeks after revision surgery demonstrating acceptable position of components.

size 28 × 54 bipolar articulating femoral head was inserted (Zimmer Biomet, Warsaw, IN) (Fig. 2a and b). Surgery and the postoperative course ensued uneventfully until 2 years after revision when the patient started to have increased groin and anterior thigh pain. Radiographs taken in the office showed eccentric femoral head placement (Fig. 3), and those taken in laboratories which were completed showed a mild elevation of erythrocyte sedimentation rate and C-reactive protein. A hip aspiration was negative for infection. The patient was then scheduled for a second revision THA.

One month before the scheduled surgery date, the patient presented to the emergency department for a left superior prosthetic hip dislocation. She stated at this time that she had previous recent incidents where she felt her left hip dislocate but that it would spontaneously reduce. Upon examination of the radiographs, it was found that the DM bearing had disarticulated (Fig. 4), and the decision was made to attempt closed reduction under conscious sedation. The attempt at closed reduction was unsuccessful, and a revision surgery was planned for the following day.

Using the posterolateral approach, a synovectomy was performed. A pseudotumor was encountered and excised (Fig. 5). There was also some residual metallosis-type debris removed. Upon dislocation and removal of the femoral head, it was noted that the femoral head had dissociated from the polyethylene bearing. The DM head was removed and showed evidence of catastrophic wear and extreme plastic deformation of the polyethylene bearing, likely because of an edge loading phenomenon which caused pseudosubluxation and dissociation of the bearing (Fig. 6a and b). The acetabulum was next inspected, removed, and reamed to accommodate a 58-mm hemispheric acetabular shell (Zimmer Biomet, Warsaw, IN). After impacting and trialing, we placed a

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8958599>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/8958599>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)