ARTICLE IN PRESS

EUROPEAN UROLOGY FOCUS XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

available at www.sciencedirect.com journal homepage: www.europeanurology.com/eufocus





Bladder Cancer

Effectiveness of Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Radical Cystectomy for Locally Advanced and/or Pelvic Lymph Node-Positive Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: A Propensity Score-Weighted Competing Risks Analysis

Malte W. Vetterlein a,b, Thomas Seisen b,c, Matthias May d, Philipp Nuhn e, Michael Gierth f, Roman Mayr f, Hans-Martin Fritsche f, Maximilian Burger f, Vladimir Novotny g, Michael Froehner g, Manfred P. Wirth g, Chris Protzel h, Oliver W. Hakenberg h, Florian Roghmann i, Rein-Jüri Palisaar i, Joachim Noldus i, Armin Pycha j, Patrick J. Bastian k, Quoc-Dien Trinh b, Evanguelos Xylinas l, Shahrokh F. Shariat m, Michael Rink a, Felix K.-H. Chun a, Roland Dahlem a, Margit Fisch a, Atiqullah Aziz a, for the PROMETRICS 2011 Study Group

^a Department of Urology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany; ^b Division of Urological Surgery and Center for Surgery and Public Health, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; ^c Department of Urology, Pitié-Salpêtrière Hospital, Pierre and Marie Curie University, Paris, France; ^d Department of Urology, St. Elisabeth Medical Center, Straubing, Germany; ^e Department of Urology, Mannheim Medical Center, University of Heidelberg, Mannheim, Germany; ^f Department of Urology, Caritas St. Josef Medical Center, University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany; ^g Department of Urology, University Hospital Carl Gustav Carus, Dresden University of Technology, Dresden, Germany; ^h Department of Urology, University Medical Center Rostock, Rostock, Germany; ⁱ Department of Urology, Marien Hospital Herne, Ruhr-University Bochum, Herne, Germany; ^j Department of Urology, Central Hospital of Bolzano, Bolzano, Italy; ^k Department of Urology, Marien Hospital Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany; ^l Department of Urology, Cochin Hospital, Descartes University, Paris, France; ^m Department of Urology and Comprehensive Cancer Center, Vienna General Hospital, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

Article info

Article history:

Accepted July 4, 2016

Associate Editor: James Catto

Keywords:

Adjuvant chemotherapy Cystectomy Propensity score Survival Urinary bladder neoplasms

Abstract

Background: The benefit of adjuvant chemotherapy (AC) for muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB) after radical cystectomy (RC) is controversial. **Objective:** To assess the effectiveness of AC after RC for muscle-invasive UCB in contemporary European routine practice.

Design, setting, and participants: By using a prospectively collected European multicenter database, we compared survival outcomes between patients who received AC versus observation after RC for locally advanced (pT3/T4) and/or pelvic lymph nodepositive (pN+) muscle-invasive UCB in 2011.

Intervention: AC versus observation after RC.

Outcome measurements and statistical analysis: Inverse probability of treatment weighting (IPTW)–adjusted Cox regression and competing risks analyses were performed to compare overall survival (OS) as well as cancer-specific and other-cause mortality between patients who received AC versus observation.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2016.07.001

2405-4569/© 2016 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Please cite this article in press as: Vetterlein MW, et al. Effectiveness of Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Radical Cystectomy for Locally Advanced and/or Pelvic Lymph Node–Positive Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: A Propensity Score–Weighted Competing Risks Analysis. Eur Urol Focus (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2016.07.001

^{*} For the Young Academic Urologists Urothelial Cancer Group.

Collaborators are listed in the Appendix.

^{*} Corresponding author. Department of Urology, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Martinistraße 52, 20246 Hamburg, Germany. Tel. +49 152 22815979; Fax: +49 40 7410 42444. E-mail address: a.aziz@uke.de (A. Aziz).

ARTICLE IN PRESS

EUROPEAN UROLOGY FOCUS XXX (2016) XXX-XXX

Results and limitations: Overall, 224 patients who received AC (n = 84) versus observation (n = 140) were included. The rate of 3-yr OS in patients who received AC versus observation was 62.1% versus 40.9%, respectively (p = 0.014). In IPTW-adjusted Cox regression analysis, AC versus observation was associated with an OS benefit (hazard ratio: 0.47; 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.25–0.86; p = 0.014). In IPTW-adjusted competing risks analysis, AC versus observation was associated with a decreased risk of cancer-specific mortality (subhazard ratio: 0.51; 95% CI: 0.26–0.98; p = 0.044) without any increased risk of other-cause mortality (subhazard ratio: 0.48; 95% CI: 0.14–1.60; p = 0.233). Limitations include the relatively small sample size as well as the potential presence of unmeasured confounders related to the observational study design.

Conclusions: We found that AC versus observation was associated with a survival benefit after RC in patients with pT3/T4 and/or pN+ UCB. These results should encourage physicians to deliver AC and researchers to pursue prospective or large observational investigations. **Patient summary:** Overall survival and cancer-specific survival benefit was found in patients who received adjuvant chemotherapy relative to observation after radical cystectomy for locally advanced and/or pelvic lymph node-positive bladder cancer.

© 2016 European Association of Urology. Published by Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Urothelial carcinoma of the bladder (UCB) is a leading cause of cancer-related mortality in Europe, with an estimated 130 946 new cases and 43 080 deaths in 2015 [1]. Much effort has been devoted to improving oncologic outcomes of radical cystectomy (RC) for patients with localized muscleinvasive disease by using multimodal management, including the delivery of perioperative chemotherapy. Although there is consistent level I evidence supporting the benefit of neoadjuvant chemotherapy [2], the role of selective adjuvant chemotherapy (AC) for patients with locally advanced (pT3/T4) and/or pelvic lymph node-positive (pN+) UCB remains controversial. Specifically, although an updated meta-analysis of nine randomized controlled trials (RCTs) recently demonstrated an overall survival (OS) benefit with the use of immediate postoperative cisplatinbased chemotherapy [3], the latest randomized comparison of AC versus deferred chemotherapy at the time of relapse failed to confirm these results [4]. In addition, several limitations should be considered when analyzing the aforementioned prospective evidence. For example, only a pooled analysis of aggregate data has been performed in the supportive meta-analysis of published and unpublished RCTs, which generally had low statistical power due to poor accrual and early closure.

As such, observational studies have been used to fill this substantial void of prospective evidence [5–8]. A large report recently fueled the controversy by showing that AC was associated with a significant OS benefit in patients with pT3/T4 UCB, although these results may be limited by selection bias [5]. Indeed, the benefit recorded for AC could be related only to a better general condition of individuals included in the intervention arm and, as a consequence, to a decreased risk of other-cause mortality.

To address this limitation, Froehner et al [9] performed a competing risks analysis using a single-institution database, demonstrating a decreased risk of overall and cancerspecific mortality without any significant difference in terms of other-cause mortality between individuals who received AC or observation. While supporting the survival

benefit of AC in the absence of selection bias for a favorable general condition, this approach additionally confirmed that the toxicity profile of cisplatin-based chemotherapy was acceptable, as no significant increased risk of lethal cardiovascular events commonly related to the use of cisplatin-based chemotherapy [9,10] or any other-cause mortality in general was observed. It is noteworthy that no advanced statistical methods were used to account for the residual selection bias that may have interfered with the OS benefit found in this monocentric analysis.

We performed both propensity score-weighted and competing risks analyses of a prospectively collected multicenter database to assess the comparative effectiveness of AC versus observation for postoperative pT3/T4 UCB in a contemporary setting. Based on the available evidence, we hypothesized that AC decreases the risk of overall and cancer-specific mortality without increasing the risk of other-cause mortality.

2. Patients and methods

2.1. Study population

We used the Prospective Multicenter Radical Cystectomy Series 2011 (PROMETRICS 2011), which is a prospectively collected RC data set from 18 tertiary care centers (in Germany, Italy, and Austria). All participating centers provided mandatory data-sharing agreements, as described previously [10], resulting in an institutional review board–approved study. The total cohort consisted of 679 patients undergoing RC for muscle-invasive or high-grade non–muscle-invasive UCB between January 1 and December 31, 2011. Individual eligibility criteria for AC were based on the consensus of an interdisciplinary tumor board at each center. Mirroring the inclusion criteria of RCTs [3,4], we selected only patients with either pT3/T4 or pN+ UCB at the time of RC for final analyses. Patients who received neoadjuvant chemotherapy or any radiation therapy, those with no information on systemic treatment, and those missing follow-up data were excluded from the study. This yielded a final study population of 224 individuals (Fig. 1).

2.2. Data assessment and covariates

Baseline clinical characteristics were assessed preoperatively and documented at the time of admission for RC. Clinical parameters

Please cite this article in press as: Vetterlein MW, et al. Effectiveness of Adjuvant Chemotherapy After Radical Cystectomy for Locally Advanced and/or Pelvic Lymph Node–Positive Muscle-invasive Urothelial Carcinoma of the Bladder: A Propensity Score–Weighted Competing Risks Analysis. Eur Urol Focus (2016), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.euf.2016.07.001

Download English Version:

https://daneshyari.com/en/article/8964922

Download Persian Version:

https://daneshyari.com/article/8964922

<u>Daneshyari.com</u>