



Brief research report

A pilot study investigating whether focusing on body functionality can protect women from the potential negative effects of viewing thin-ideal media images



Jessica M. Alleva^{a,*}, Jolanda Veldhuis^b, Carolien Martijn^a

^a Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

^b Department of Communication Science, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2015

Received in revised form 15 January 2016

Accepted 20 January 2016

Available online 12 February 2016

Keywords:

Body image
Body functionality
Body appreciation
Thin ideal
Media exposure
Prevention

ABSTRACT

This pilot study explored whether focusing on body functionality (i.e., everything the body can *do*) can protect women from potential harmful effects of exposure to thin-ideal images. Seventy women ($M_{\text{age}} = 20.61$) completed an assignment wherein they either described the functionality of their body or the routes that they often travel (control). Afterward, participants were exposed to a series of thin-ideal images. Appearance and functionality satisfaction were measured before the assignment; appearance and functionality satisfaction, self-objectification, and body appreciation were measured after exposure. Results showed that participants who focused on body functionality experienced greater functionality satisfaction and body appreciation compared to control participants. Therefore, focusing on body functionality could be a beneficial individual-level technique that women can use to protect and promote a positive body image in the face of thin-ideal images. Research including a condition wherein participants are exposed to (product-only) control images is necessary to draw firmer conclusions.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

According to the *sociocultural perspective* of body image, societal ideals of beauty are transmitted via a variety of sociocultural channels and are subsequently internalised by individuals. In turn, satisfaction or dissatisfaction with one's own appearance will depend on how closely one's body emulates these ideals (Tiggemann, 2011). Unfortunately, the current beauty ideal is more unrealistic than it ever has been, with the "ideal woman" being extremely thin (Diedrichs & Lee, 2011; Fouts & Burggraf, 2000; Grabe, Ward, & Hyde, 2008). Mass media are considered the most pervasive sociocultural channel that transmits this thin ideal (Tiggemann, 2011). Meta-analyses of correlational and experimental research have shown that exposure to thin-ideal media images is generally related to poorer body image outcomes, such as heightened body dissatisfaction (Grabe et al., 2008; Groesz, Levine, & Murnen, 2002) and *self-objectification* (Harper & Tiggemann, 2008), which entails viewing one's body from a third-person perspective

and valuing oneself based predominantly on physical appearance (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997).

Given the potential harmful effects of thin-ideal media images, societal-level strategies to mitigate their impact have been investigated. For example, adding information labels (about the weight status of models) to thin-ideal media images dampens their effect on women's body dissatisfaction (Veldhuis, Konijn, & Seidell, 2014). However, some societal-level strategies – such as implementing disclaimer labels for thin-ideal media images (about the digital alteration of specific body parts) – can actually *increase* body dissatisfaction in some individuals (e.g., those high in social comparison tendencies; Tiggemann, Slater, Bury, Hawkins, & Firth, 2013). Furthermore, implementing societal-level changes will likely take time and extensive effort, considering that the thin ideal is pervasive and many individuals and industries (e.g., the dieting industry) profit from this imagery (Tylka & Augustus-Horvath, 2011). Therefore, it is also important to investigate *individual-level* strategies that women can use to protect themselves from the potential effects of the media on their body image.

One such individual-level strategy might be to teach women to focus on the functionality of their body. *Body functionality* encompasses everything that the body is capable of *doing* – rather than how it *looks* – and includes functions related to physical capacities (e.g., walking), health (e.g., digestion), senses (e.g., sight), creative

* Corresponding author at: Department of Clinical Psychological Science, Maastricht University, P.O. Box 616, 6200 MD Maastricht, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 043 3881609.

E-mail address: Jessica.Alleva@maastrichtuniversity.nl (J.M. Alleva).

endeavours (e.g., dancing), communication (e.g., body language), and self-care (e.g., showering; Alleva, Martijn, Van Breukelen, Jansen, & Karos, 2015). Alleva et al. (2015) have shown that a one-week intervention training women with a negative body image to focus on their body functionality (using three structured writing assignments) led to improvements in body satisfaction and reductions in self-objectification, as well as to improvements in *body appreciation*, an “unconditional approval and respect for the body” (Avalos & Tylka, 2006, p. 486). Alleva et al. (2015) proposed that focusing on body functionality may improve body satisfaction by encouraging women to positively “reframe” how they view their body, from a potentially negative (*appearance-focused*) orientation to a positive (*functionality-focused*) orientation. Focusing on body functionality may reduce self-objectification because a functionality-based focus on the body is “antithetical” to self-objectification (Roberts & Waters, 2004) – it entails viewing the body as active and instrumental, rather than passive and aesthetic (Fredrickson & Roberts, 1997). Such a focus might also foster body appreciation by helping women realise the importance of their body for leading a normal and fulfilling life.

Although Alleva et al. (2015) demonstrated that focusing on body functionality can improve body image and reduce levels of self-objectification, they did not investigate how such a focus could impact women’s responses to thin-ideal media imagery. Therefore, the aim of this pilot study was to explore whether focusing on body functionality can also be beneficial for protecting women from the potential harmful effects of thin-ideal media images. Drawing from Alleva et al., focusing on body functionality could protect women from these effects by making them feel more positively about their body. It may also encourage them to re-evaluate the importance of appearance – which is arguably the primary focus of thin-ideal imagery – in comparison to body functionality. The feelings of body appreciation that are fostered by focusing on body functionality could encourage women to adopt a protective processing style, whereby they reject unrealistic appearance ideals to maintain a positive view of their body (Andrew, Tiggemann, & Clark, 2015; Avalos, Tylka, & Wood-Barcalow, 2005; Halliwell, 2013; Wood-Barcalow, Tylka, & Augustus-Horvath, 2010).

To achieve this aim, participants completed a writing assignment that instructed them to describe the functionality of their body (the functionality group) or the routes that they often travel (the control group). Then, they were exposed to a set of thin-ideal media images. State body satisfaction was measured before the assignment and after exposure, and self-objectification and body appreciation were measured after exposure. We expected that, after exposure, participants in the functionality group would demonstrate higher state body satisfaction and body appreciation, and lower levels of self-objectification, compared to control participants.

Method

Participants

Participants were 70 women ($n_{\text{functionality}} = 35$; $n_{\text{control}} = 35$) between 18 and 28 years old ($M_{\text{age}} = 20.61$, $SD = 2.11$) with a body mass index (BMI) between 15.92 and 29.62 ($M_{\text{BMI}} = 21.87$, $SD = 3.05$). The participants were undergraduates at Maastricht University, where the student population is predominantly Caucasian.

Materials

Writing assignment instructions. The instructions for the *functionality writing assignment* were modelled after those of Alleva et al. (2015). Participants obtained a description of “body

functionality” and a list of body functions. Subsequently, participants were instructed to write about the functions of their body and to reflect on why those functions are personally meaningful. For the *control writing assignment*, participants were given a list of potential routes (e.g., from home to university) and route-related details (e.g., flowers, street signs). They were instructed to write about the routes that they often travel and to describe their details. All participants were told that they: (a) would spend 15 min on the assignment; (b) should not stop writing until the 15 min passed; and (c) should not worry about spelling or grammar. While writing, participants could refer back to their respective lists for inspiration. The content of all participants’ writing assignment responses conformed to the instructions for their respective group.

Thin-ideal media imagery. A pool of 34 advertisements was pilot-tested by 12 undergraduate women (who did not participate in the main study). Each advertisement was retrieved via Google image search and featured only one female model. The pilot participants rated each advertisement using visual analogue scale (VAS) items to assess the models’ perceived thinness (0 = *extremely heavy* to 100 = *extremely thin*) and attractiveness (0 = *extremely unattractive* to 100 = *extremely attractive*), and the advertisements’ appeal (0 = *extremely unappealing* to 100 = *extremely appealing*). An average of the three VAS items was calculated for each advertisement, and the 12 advertisements with the highest mean were selected ($M = 68.47$, $SD = 3.13$). The advertisements were for perfume ($n = 7$) and purses ($n = 5$). They were interspersed with three product-only advertisements, rendering a set of 15 advertisements.

Measures

Body satisfaction. Body satisfaction was measured in terms of satisfaction with appearance and functionality. Appearance satisfaction was measured using two VAS items (Birkeland et al., 2005; Heinberg & Thompson, 1995). Participants indicated their current level of satisfaction and dissatisfaction (reverse-scored) with their physical appearance by sliding a bar on the computer screen (0 = *none* to 100 = *extreme*). Participants’ responses to the two VAS items were averaged; higher scores indicate greater appearance satisfaction. These items have good 5-min test–retest reliability and are sensitive to experimental manipulations (Birkeland et al., 2005). In this study, the internal consistency for these items was $\alpha = .90$ (pretest) and $\alpha = .95$ (posttest).

Functionality satisfaction was also measured using two VAS items. Participants indicated their current level of satisfaction and dissatisfaction (reverse-coded) with their “body functionality (i.e., everything your body can do).” The items were rated and scored in the same manner as the aforementioned items; higher scores represent greater functionality satisfaction. The internal consistency for these items was $\alpha = .89$ (pretest) and $\alpha = .89$ (posttest).

Self-objectification. Self-objectification was measured using the Self-Objectification Questionnaire (SOQ; Noll & Fredrickson, 1998). Participants ranked 10 body attributes according to how important they are to their physical self-concept (*least important* to *most important*). Five attributes pertain to appearance (e.g., weight) and five pertain to functionality (e.g., health). Each attribute was given a score from 0 (*least important*) to 9 (*most important*). The sum of the functionality attributes was then subtracted from the sum of the appearance attributes. Final scores range from –25 to 25; higher scores indicate higher levels of self-objectification. The SOQ demonstrated satisfactory construct validity in female undergraduates (Noll & Fredrickson, 1998).

Body appreciation. Body appreciation was measured using the Body Appreciation Scale-2 (BAS-2; Tylka & Wood-Barcalow, 2015),

Download English Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/en/article/902661>

Download Persian Version:

<https://daneshyari.com/article/902661>

[Daneshyari.com](https://daneshyari.com)